


Q1 What should the City of Nampa do with the aging elevated water tower?

Answered: 786 Skipped: 0



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |
|---|------------|
| <p>DEMOLISH (safely remove from exiting site)This is the lowest cost option. It includes demo of existing tank and construction of a new 1.5 million gallon (MG) tank at the same site. Demo cost is approximately \$160,000 (over 20 year life cycle cost), and the total project cost is \$5.44 million (over 20 year life cycle).</p> | 57.89% 455 |
| <p>ABANDON IN PLACE (preserves tank but will not be used)This is the second highest cost option. It includes preserving the tank for iconic purposes, but not to the level required for use in the drinking water system. It also requires construction of a new 1.5 MG tank at another location. The abandonment cost is approximately \$369,000 (over 20 year life cycle), and the total project cost is \$6.39 million (over 20 year life cycle).</p> | 26.34% 207 |
| <p>REHABILITATE (upgrade existing tank and keep in service)This is the highest cost option. In addition to preserving the 0.5 MG elevated tank as part of the water system, it requires construction of another 1.0 MG tank at another location. The rehabilitation cost is approximately \$790,000 (over a 20 year cycle), and the total project cost is \$6.61 million (over 20 year life cycle).</p> | 15.78% 124 |
| TOTAL | 786 |

| # | ADDITIONAL COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS: | DATE |
|---|--|--------------------|
| 1 | take savings and put in a splash pad or two in Nampa parks. Surrounding cities have many, do we have any? | 7/11/2018 7:42 AM |
| 2 | Some water towers should be saved - they are unique or beautiful - but this water tower is pretty hideous and unremarkable. I will admit I've only lived here for 11 yrs, but have never thought of the water tower when thinking of Nampa, in fact, more like... "oh yeah, there is a water tower by the freeway isn't there". :) | 7/10/2018 8:47 PM |
| 3 | It's definitely iconic and rebooking seems to be taking place either way | 7/10/2018 1:44 PM |
| 4 | Would/could the new tank have the name, Nampa, put on it? Then, I think a lot of people would go for this option. | 7/10/2018 11:37 AM |
| 5 | When I think of Nampa, it isn't a landmark like the depot or Lakeview Park is. I'd like to see it demolished. | 7/10/2018 10:41 AM |
| 6 | Can it not be demolished with some of the more pertant iconic pieces or even pictures made to be part of the new tower | 7/10/2018 9:50 AM |

| | | |
|----|---|--------------------|
| 7 | boy that is a tough call. I could be swayed to choose option #2, but I also think recycle/reuse is a worthy option. I would be opposed, however, to simply demolishing the tank. It's a part of Nampa's history, and looks so cool... | 7/10/2018 12:01 AM |
| 8 | I'm not sure what the plans entail, but it would be nice to retain the "nostalgia" of the old tank, in the construction of the new tank. I wish Nampa had retained some of it's actual historical landmarks, such as the Dewey Palace. I suppose a new water tower that looks similar to the old water tower, will have to suffice. | 7/9/2018 11:17 PM |
| 9 | Would it be possible to rehabilitate the water tower into a different functioning facility, such as a viewing tower or a possible restaurant spot? It would be a cool destination. | 7/9/2018 10:18 PM |
| 10 | Paint a mural of the iconic H2O tower on the new 1.5 mg tank | 7/9/2018 9:38 PM |
| 11 | My favorite thing to see when coming back into town.. | 7/9/2018 8:40 PM |
| 12 | Second choice is to rehabilitation | 7/9/2018 8:17 PM |
| 13 | These costs are astronomical!! | 7/9/2018 7:55 PM |
| 14 | We didn't save the Dewey Palace(we should have saved it) no need to save a water tank. | 7/9/2018 7:52 PM |
| 15 | In today's world of rising costs, taxes and other annual costs to community taxpayers all short term and long term costs associated with all city projects should be heavily weighed by decision makers. Rapid growth in the treasure valley is also stretching seniors costs of living in the community. I urge the Council to remove the out dated tank and install a new one at the same sight | 7/9/2018 7:26 PM |
| 16 | Whatever you do please paint Nampa Proud slogan on the tank to welcome all to our community. | 7/9/2018 7:22 PM |
| 17 | Where would new tank be built, and does cost reflect land purchase if thats required? | 7/9/2018 7:14 PM |
| 18 | Convince Dunkin' Donuts to buy advertising space on it, then paint it to look like a frosted donut with sprinkles. I'm afraid any other donut (like glazed) would just look like a hamburger bun. Hey! If DD isn't into it, maybe Big Jud's can advertise themselves by painting it as a giant burger! | 7/9/2018 7:10 PM |
| 19 | If a new water tower is built, it can reflect the cities art scene and portray historical and contemporary artwork of the city of Nampa. It could also be a more attractive vista than the current water tower :) | 7/9/2018 6:18 PM |
| 20 | It is an old water tower. Move forward. | 7/9/2018 5:32 PM |
| 21 | What is the size of the current tank? Nampa is growing and having more than one just in case of an emergency or contamination would benefit the residents best. | 7/9/2018 5:07 PM |
| 22 | Nampa has already lost so much history, let's keep this one! | 7/9/2018 4:50 PM |
| 23 | Will be of no value just to keep for the "Old-Timers" of which I am!!! | 7/9/2018 4:47 PM |
| 24 | Revamp old tower and maybe paint a new mural or something on it. | 7/9/2018 4:35 PM |
| 25 | We should keep our history alive,keep it. | 7/9/2018 4:15 PM |
| 26 | A cool architectural design for the new tower would be great for Nampa going forward something | 7/9/2018 3:29 PM |
| 27 | Is a Nampa trademark. Fix it | 7/9/2018 3:17 PM |
| 28 | We already have the old train station and the old historical buildings downtown that we do and should continue to keep up. Take pictures of the water tower and then take it down. Maybe the city could host a time for the public to come and get their picture (selfies) taken of an old landmark before it is demolished. Maybe you could even sell it at public auction! :) | 7/9/2018 3:08 PM |
| 29 | I don't think that we need to have an empty tank with the city name on it. The wisest thing would be to do the most cost effective. | 7/9/2018 3:00 PM |
| 30 | Give it a new paint job | 7/9/2018 2:44 PM |
| 31 | The city's logo and a nice paint job on the water tower would make the city look that much more appealing to visitors on the freeway and give the city a landmark to be proud of. Look up Ocean City, MD and West Fargo, ND water towers for good examples. | 7/9/2018 2:41 PM |
| 32 | I'm indifferent which avenue to take. Because I've only been a resident of Nampa since 2002, if there is a great majority who want to rehab the site, I'm all for it. Far be it for me as a newbie to overrule those life-timers that think it's iconic enough to preserve. | 7/9/2018 2:35 PM |
| 33 | paint it like a UFO for the tourists ... will help to bring in more income for the town ... | 7/9/2018 2:34 PM |

| | | |
|----|---|-------------------|
| 34 | There are other, more iconic things, in Nampa that preservation priority to go to. | 7/9/2018 2:32 PM |
| 35 | How do you plan to cover the cost of any of these options? Is the demo cost of \$160M a one-time cost all at once? | 7/9/2018 2:31 PM |
| 36 | curb growth - only so much water in the desert ground. | 7/9/2018 2:30 PM |
| 37 | It's an iconic landmark. We are so quick to destroy our past. | 7/9/2018 2:29 PM |
| 38 | I would love for local artists to make it beautiful! It sounds dangerous but I can guarantee there is an artist out there who can stand on a scissor lift for a few days and make a beautiful painting. When it gets weathered the picture can be redone. All the people that pass on the freeway will see it and it can give a beautiful impression of Nampa. I'm serious, think about it!!!! | 7/9/2018 2:26 PM |
| 39 | If the new tank will be elevated, demolition of the old one is fine. Otherwise, rehabilitate it. We need a way to maintain the water supply if the power goes out. | 7/9/2018 2:05 PM |
| 40 | Turn it into Hi-Rise condos (LOL) | 7/9/2018 1:57 PM |
| 41 | While not beautiful, it is a city icon and I would hate to see it go away. | 7/9/2018 1:51 PM |
| 42 | wasting money on having a landmark is not appropriate for my tax dollars. I see the tower very seldom, but I drive on our crappy roads daily. Spend money on what we use and quit spending money on a limited number of people and their "special" projects. | 7/9/2018 1:51 PM |
| 43 | This is not jsut the most cost-efficient option, but also the most environmentally friendly option. Building the infrasture for a water tower at a new site is a waste land at both the current and the theoretical alternative site. Use what's already in place please. | 7/9/2018 1:49 PM |
| 44 | It's part of Nampa history and needs to stay | 7/9/2018 1:38 PM |
| 45 | I do not feel it is iconic to Nampa like Meridian's water tower was. | 7/9/2018 1:37 PM |
| 46 | The water tower may be a landmark for some, but before we moved to the community, whenever we drove by the structure on the freeway, I always thought it was ugly and not a good advertisement for this beautiful city. | 7/9/2018 1:25 PM |
| 47 | Quit financing everything. | 7/9/2018 1:25 PM |
| 48 | It's iconic. I like seeing it when I drive down 84. | 7/9/2018 1:22 PM |
| 49 | Give an artistic appeal...paint Nampa on it and flowers | 7/9/2018 1:20 PM |
| 50 | hate to see it go, but we could use that 900K in other areas. | 7/9/2018 1:14 PM |
| 51 | Blow that sucker up! | 7/9/2018 1:12 PM |
| 52 | My husband, Rusty thinks it should be painted to resemble a UFO or paint the letters "NAMPA'S UFO" to attract MORE tourists....or at least "stand out from the crowd" | 7/9/2018 12:44 PM |
| 53 | eat it | 7/9/2018 11:58 AM |
| 54 | While I get it's a landmark, building a new one there would preserve that and update its functionality. Plus it is the most cost effective. | 7/9/2018 11:44 AM |
| 55 | I am strongly for preserving and restoring important landmarks, but I honestly don't see any aesthetic, cultural, or historical importance to this water tower. | 7/9/2018 11:22 AM |
| 56 | Restore as a landmark, non-functional Water Tower | 7/9/2018 11:16 AM |
| 57 | Personally, I don't see it as an iconic landmark, it looks like any other municipal water tank built in the last half century or so. I also don't consider it a landmark, I don't recall ever giving it a second thought, and I couldn't tell someone where it is without looking at a satellite photo of the city. I imagine most people would laugh if told this structure was one of the city's "iconic" landmarks. Saying it is iconic merely communicates that the city is a joke. | 7/9/2018 11:12 AM |
| 58 | Keep it. It's a Nampa landmark! Maybe paint a mural or something on it. | 7/9/2018 10:48 AM |
| 59 | always try to fix what you have. We need to reduce, reuse and recycle the hell out of everything we can. Thanks. | 7/9/2018 10:46 AM |
| 60 | Let's quit getting rid of landmarks and icons that make Nampa what it is. While I understand it may not meet the growing demands on increasing population, leaving it as a landmark and constructing a new tank seems to be the best option. | 7/9/2018 10:43 AM |

| | | |
|----|---|--------------------|
| 61 | Dont make the new one look boring and shitty | 7/9/2018 10:41 AM |
| 62 | Elevated storage is tied directly with fire suppression requirements and fire insurance rates. Keep it in service. | 7/3/2018 3:07 PM |
| 63 | I chose the most expensive option because Nampa doesn't have a 1% for Arts Ordinance to turn the Water Tower into a Public Art piece and it's been pattern of behavior that Nampa will demolish anything that doesn't offer a functional purpose despite it having historical or iconic relevance | 7/2/2018 6:54 PM |
| 64 | I'm a long-time Nampa Resident, and I hate to see us spending money on a mostly unusable tower. All of these additional fees are just too much for many people to afford. | 7/2/2018 5:13 PM |
| 65 | If we are going to keep it, I would rather have a more iconic style tank. | 7/2/2018 12:01 PM |
| 66 | I dont currently live in nampa but i am a current employe looking to move closer to work. | 7/1/2018 11:42 AM |
| 67 | Need fresh, clean and new water storage for rapidly growing Nampa, but leave and maintain original water tank as a landmark. Thank | 6/30/2018 8:21 PM |
| 68 | If we need an additional 1MG in capacity, it makes the most sense to demo the old and build what we need onsite. | 6/29/2018 10:01 PM |
| 69 | You could potentially see if there is anyone in the city that would want to take the tank dow for free for metal scrap with the contingency that they have the equipment to do so. It could also be cool to come out with an article about its history with the city. | 6/28/2018 10:30 PM |
| 70 | It's a plumbing fixture, not an icon. When we updated our bathroom we didn't preserve the old fixtures. | 6/28/2018 6:43 PM |
| 71 | A new concrete Tower could also carry some iconic artwork or the words Nampa in order to identify the city | 6/28/2018 6:36 PM |
| 72 | This is part of Nampa's history | 6/28/2018 4:46 PM |
| 73 | Thany you for asking the opinion of the citizens. | 6/28/2018 3:25 PM |
| 74 | I remember when it was built. Since that time it has been Nampa's Icon to welcome travelers driving down the Interstate to Nampa. | 6/28/2018 3:05 PM |
| 75 | Serves no purpose | 6/27/2018 10:07 PM |
| 76 | It's part of Nampa history!!! | 6/27/2018 8:46 PM |
| 77 | Doesn't seem to make much sense to spend extra money to save, especially considering the coming massive water rate hikes. You could use the extra million or so to help p a y for the water treatment upgrades and maybe not raise rates so much. I know that's not likely to hapenn | 6/27/2018 1:34 PM |
| 78 | Why isn't selling it one of the options? Somebody might want it for advertising or something. | 6/27/2018 12:54 PM |
| 79 | Paint Billy Bob loves Charlene on it in John Deere green! | 6/27/2018 9:55 AM |
| 80 | Some things are just not worth the extra expense and an old out of date water tower is one of them. On the other hand if some "save the tower" group wants to take over responsibility for maintaining the tower, maybe its another option. But not at the residence expense. Just one persons opion. | 6/26/2018 9:33 AM |
| 81 | If you are abandoning or demolishing it, why does it cost a total of x-million dollars? Once it is abandoned or demolished, isn't that the end of the story? Please provide more information! | 6/25/2018 8:38 PM |
| 82 | Paint it and use it as a landmark. It's part of Nampa. Don't destroy... | 6/25/2018 4:53 PM |
| 83 | The people, by and large, don't have the information needed to make an economic and prudent decision on this... you are seeking an emotional response. Don't spend \$6.6M and say it's the will of the people. Ask the emotional question; do we want to preserve this as an icon... and how best can the people volunteer to pay the \$369k. Don't put emotional decisions to uninformed people to spend tax dollars. We vote for you to wisely spend our money and make good decisions for the community. | 6/25/2018 4:30 PM |
| 84 | What does the average citizen know about a water tower not having engineering degrees. | 6/25/2018 4:25 PM |
| 85 | Who is footing the bill for either of these options, the taxpayers? | 6/25/2018 3:50 PM |
| 86 | Sentimental value is great to an extent, but it seems unnecessary to have to build a water tank in another location to preserve sentiment about the old one. Especially when it will cost 1.2M\$ more. | 6/25/2018 3:47 PM |


| | | |
|-----|---|--------------------|
| 87 | Have local painters paint it to support our troops and thank our vets | 6/25/2018 3:29 PM |
| 88 | \$950K over 20 years is a small price to pay for heritage. | 6/25/2018 3:14 PM |
| 89 | Many of the preservation folks enjoy the shape and the prominent NAMPA on the tower. The name can be replicated on a concrete reservoir with perhaps the simplified city logo. | 6/25/2018 3:02 PM |
| 90 | What about setting the top part of old tank on top of new tank. Give some nostalgic look for those who want it. | 6/25/2018 2:44 PM |
| 91 | There is no other responsible alternative but to remove the tank, anything else wastes taxpayer monies. | 6/25/2018 2:23 PM |
| 92 | Keep in place and paint a mural of Nampa's history... trains, farmers, etc. | 6/25/2018 2:16 PM |
| 93 | I would love to see it stay in place, but be refreshed with new paint. Possibly metallic silver so that it really catches the eye of those passing through the area? | 6/25/2018 12:46 PM |
| 94 | These numbers don't add up. Why does it only cost 5.28 million for a 1.5 mg tank in option #1 and 5.82 million for a 1.0 mg tank in option #3? It's obvious what some bureaucrat wants the vote outcome to be with these misleading numbers. | 6/25/2018 11:01 AM |
| 95 | What will the new tank look like? It should at least say NAMPA on the side like the current one does. | 6/25/2018 10:58 AM |
| 96 | The tank is an eye sore. Get rid of it!!! | 6/25/2018 10:57 AM |
| 97 | find state and federal public art funds to make it an iconic feature for nampa! | 6/25/2018 10:43 AM |
| 98 | Demo it! There is better things that the almost \$1 million saved can be spent on. | 6/25/2018 10:26 AM |
| 99 | Please leave there! It's been there all my life and would hate to see it go! | 6/25/2018 10:01 AM |
| 100 | IT JUST MAKES SENSE!!!!!! | 6/25/2018 10:01 AM |
| 101 | There are innovative design options for concrete water storage that would upgrade this landmark. Do something creative with the new structure that reflects positively on Nampa. | 6/25/2018 9:56 AM |
| 102 | The money saves from demolition can go to a lot more good elsewhere. Thank you for taking this feedback! | 6/25/2018 9:45 AM |
| 103 | I would suggest demolish and relocate the Tank to a new place. It might be worth while with all the growth happening in our area that the over pass next to the water tower eventually be given on and off ramps to assist in trafficking issues. | 6/25/2018 9:43 AM |
| 104 | Thanks for including our voices. | 6/25/2018 9:39 AM |
| 105 | I have lived in Nampa for 32 years. As much as I recognize the water tower as an iconic place in our city, I don't feel it is worth the additional cost to preserve it. | 6/24/2018 8:41 PM |
| 106 | If top can be saved place at Train Depot for historic value. If not sell for scrap metal. | 6/24/2018 7:04 PM |
| 107 | It's history!! | 6/23/2018 10:32 PM |
| 108 | Love it . Feels like home | 6/23/2018 6:56 PM |
| 109 | For those of us Nampa natives this water tower means something. Leave our history in its place. | 6/23/2018 6:26 PM |
| 110 | Why in God's name would it cost \$369,000 to simply do a nice paint job and leave it? I'm sure I'm not the only one who doesn't know the reasons for that cost? | 6/23/2018 2:07 PM |
| 111 | Why is everything coming due at one? Our sewage system has to be upgraded and paid for by the taxpayers of the city of Nampa now this has to be taken care of right away we are not made of money | 6/23/2018 2:03 PM |
| 112 | Okay bye. Great idea tho | 6/23/2018 1:04 PM |
| 113 | It appears this option isn't significantly more expensive than others considering spreading the cost out over 20 years | 6/23/2018 12:29 PM |
| 114 | We don't need 2 water tanks and we like the idea of having one, its a great iconic landmark. Let's preserve it and do an upgrade. It's a part of the city's history! | 6/23/2018 12:15 PM |
| 115 | We can paint "Nampa" on the replacement tank and have a new icon. | 6/23/2018 11:43 AM |

| | | |
|-----|---|--------------------|
| 116 | Turn into a historic landmark - ask local artist if they want to volunteer to paint a mural on it representing Nampa's history. (This would be at no cost to the City of Nampa) | 6/23/2018 9:20 AM |
| 117 | Always do the cheapest safest option. Why are u even asking for public opinion it's your job to do what's best for the city not what popular opinion is! Do your job year it down! | 6/23/2018 8:52 AM |
| 118 | This is not even a question, tear it down and do the right thing for tax payers. we don't need a say in everything, do the job you all were elected to do. | 6/23/2018 8:48 AM |
| 119 | Use the abandoned water tank as a mini Space Needle type of deal for Nampa. This will preserve it, bring in revenue for the upkeep & tourism for our city. A win-win situation! | 6/23/2018 6:54 AM |
| 120 | The water taste like chlorine | 6/23/2018 3:06 AM |
| 121 | I love Nampa and its landmarks as much as the next guy but it's time to adapt and move on to a more advanced and thought out future. | 6/23/2018 2:28 AM |
| 122 | Someone had made a comment on FB about turning it into an apartment. If you could find some sort of way to use the abandoned space and make some money off of it that would be great. It is an icon of Nampa. | 6/23/2018 12:31 AM |
| 123 | Why destroy a piece of history? Doesn't history mean anything to the city much less our useless mayor? | 6/22/2018 11:38 PM |
| 124 | If it's at the same site, getting a new one is the smartest idea. I would suggest putting "nampa" on the new one as well. | 6/22/2018 10:34 PM |
| 125 | I'd like to know what the new tank will look like & where the other location is. | 6/22/2018 9:42 PM |
| 126 | The real old was above the old city hall .when the time came to move on the city had no problem tearing it down. How about we take this one and make something like a water park ,climbing system,fun ride of some kind out of it? Maybe a rescue training center ? | 6/22/2018 9:34 PM |
| 127 | If it stays it, paint it some new not ugly color please. | 6/22/2018 9:03 PM |
| 128 | Abandon it in place but give it a fresh coat of paint and make it look nice. Should appease both parties and also let the 1.5m gal tank get built elsewhere. | 6/22/2018 8:59 PM |
| 129 | As long as it is not demolished I am fine | 6/22/2018 8:45 PM |
| 130 | The location is where a new, larger tank should be. If the tank is truly "iconic" then paint it and move it to the golf course where it will still be seen and will make a nice artistic touch to the golf course. | 6/22/2018 6:39 PM |
| 131 | I believe this is a landmark for Nampa,because it's so visible for anyone driving into town. I love this water tower and I could see it from my English classroom at Columbia High School. I think we should keep it. It's awesome. | 6/22/2018 6:22 PM |
| 132 | Let's keep some of our landmarks in our city!!! | 6/22/2018 6:00 PM |
| 133 | Do some kind of Nampa/Idaho/northwest themed art on the new water tower. Something with mountains would be awesome. | 6/22/2018 5:36 PM |
| 134 | Demolish it. Saving money is the right choice. We already pay some of the highest property tax in the state. | 6/22/2018 5:30 PM |
| 135 | I'd like to see a professional mural on the water tower, if it is outdated build a new one but leave the current with a nice mural. That would be bad ass! | 6/22/2018 5:13 PM |
| 136 | I think the new tank should be made similarly at least with the letters. While it is sad to see it go we should not waste money on something like this. Put that extra money to the school systems that are failing | 6/22/2018 5:08 PM |
| 137 | It always makes the most sense to be fiscally conservative. Use the \$900,000 savings for other purposes like roads and education. | 6/22/2018 4:55 PM |
| 138 | It's a water tank, not an icon. Mechanical systems of a city do not define it nor should they treated as a landmark. | 6/22/2018 4:38 PM |
| 139 | If not in danger of falling, keep it, repaint. Otherwise bring it down. | 6/22/2018 4:18 PM |
| 140 | It's an awesome thing that should be kept, whenever I come in to tow and I see that water tower I know I'm home | 6/22/2018 4:14 PM |

| | | |
|-----|--|--------------------|
| 141 | I've lived in Nampa 14+ years and I don't consider the water tower to be "iconic" -- there is no need to keep it! | 6/22/2018 3:11 PM |
| 142 | During demo, remove the area of the tank with the city name and reuse as part of a public art project. | 6/22/2018 2:52 PM |
| 143 | What does a water tank do? | 6/22/2018 2:48 PM |
| 144 | Make the new water town a colorful new icon to the city! | 6/22/2018 2:12 PM |
| 145 | Have you gone for more than one bid? | 6/22/2018 1:57 PM |
| 146 | This should be a no-brainer. This is not a architectural icon of the community worth saving for posterity. | 6/22/2018 1:32 PM |
| 147 | I have lived my whole life in the City of Nampa and appreciate the desire to preserve the water tower as a landmark; however, the cost of preservation is an issue. There are other projects in the city that will benefit Nampa's residents and culture more than the preservation of the water tower. | 6/22/2018 1:29 PM |
| 148 | It is part of Nampa's identity! | 6/22/2018 1:28 PM |
| 149 | Demolishing it would save money and make room for something new. | 6/22/2018 1:25 PM |
| 150 | Can the materials be sold or reused to lower the cost? | 6/22/2018 1:24 PM |
| 151 | These numbers don't really make sense. More details are needed. All of the options seem wildly expensive. | 6/22/2018 1:18 PM |
| 152 | Spruce it up, I pass by the tank daily at work on 11th N and enjoy seeing the landmark | 6/22/2018 1:17 PM |
| 153 | Taking down the old tank and replacing it with a newer/larger tank in the same spot would be great, as long as the new tank still says Nampa on it. The water tower is not just a landmark to Nampa residents, past and present, it is also a navigation tool that many of us as parents use while teaching our children how to find home. | 6/22/2018 1:11 PM |
| 154 | Can it be moved to a park and placed lower to the ground? | 6/22/2018 1:08 PM |
| 155 | Wasting money that could be used in other areas. | 6/22/2018 1:04 PM |
| 156 | Upgrade is always better to go with the changing times.... | 6/22/2018 1:01 PM |
| 157 | Keep it but make it pretty! Have a local artist make a mural! | 6/22/2018 12:56 PM |
| 158 | Turn it into an artist showcase like many of the utility covers throughout the Treasure Valley. Let artists design and paint it. | 6/22/2018 12:52 PM |
| 159 | Make the water tower bigger | 6/22/2018 12:52 PM |
| 160 | Even if rebuilt, I'd prefer it still say Nampa on the side. | 6/22/2018 12:48 PM |
| 161 | I would like to see several different bids put in for rehabilitation I think it's terrible that Idaho seems to want to destroy so many historic landmarks and we are losing our water towers I would love to see it saved possibly continued use but I do not understand the justification of these expenses | 6/22/2018 12:45 PM |
| 162 | Cost should be priority, keep it as low as possible | 6/22/2018 12:45 PM |
| 163 | While a landmark it is hardly useful as an abandoned structure. Use what could be saved from the highest cost and use it for another iconic landmark that will be more useful to those that utilize it. | 6/22/2018 12:31 PM |
| 164 | The tower is primarily functional, and city budget needs are monumental. We don't have a \$1M extra to allocate for upkeep/renovation of an unusable tank and must look ahead, not back. I am sentimental about grand old structures in general and we can devote ourselves to preserving more worthy historic sites. | 6/22/2018 12:31 PM |
| 165 | The city maybe growing leaps and bounds, but we have to keep some of what makes Nampa great | 6/22/2018 12:17 PM |
| 166 | Number 2 option is \$13K per year which isn't an exorbitant cost, but I would suggest an endowment be started by the historical society to pay for the upkeep so the City is not responsible if this is the option we go with. | 6/21/2018 11:59 AM |

Q2 Do you currently live in Nampa?

Answered: 783 Skipped: 3



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|-----|
| Yes | 93.23% | 730 |
| No | 6.77% | 53 |
| TOTAL | | 783 |