

**Nampa WWTP Phase II/III Upgrades
Design Review Committee
Thursday, May 23, 2019
Nampa Public Library | 215 12th Avenue South, Nampa, ID
7:00 AM – 8:30 AM
MEETING SUMMARY**



Meeting Overview

On Thursday, May 23, 2019, the Nampa Wastewater Treatment Plant Design Review Committee (DRC) convened its eighth meeting. The objective of this meeting was to review the project packaging and delivery recommendation in detail and confirm DRC support for the recommended approach. The following is a summary of the discussion.

Meeting Summary

Elizabeth Spaulding, facilitator, welcomed DRC members and reviewed the agenda. Nate Runyan, City of Nampa, provided an update on the May 6 Nampa City Council meeting where the WWTP project packaging and delivery approach recommendation was presented. Based on outstanding questions at the meeting, it was determined that the DRC should review the recommendation in more detail to ensure that all questions and concerns are fully considered before providing the Council a show of support.

Project Deliver Approach Presentation and Discussion

Matt Gregg, Brown and Caldwell, provided an overview of the project delivery options that were reviewed by the DRC. Of highest concern is how Project Group F, which is the largest package of projects, is delivered. Matt reviewed the priorities identified by the DRC at previous meetings and explained how these priorities would be met through delivery features. Matt then reviewed the three potential delivery models being considered, including 1) Design-Bid-Build; 2) Construction Manager/General Contractor; and 3) Progressive Design-Build. Matt reviewed aspects of open-book pricing, procurement criteria and processes, and data on how Progressive Design-Build is becoming a preferred delivery approach nationally for wastewater treatment projects. The proposed delivery approach for Project Group F is Progressive Design-Build.

DRC members asked clarifying questions regarding critical aspects of the delivery approaches, including issues around risk, liability, cost control, and local capacity. Through discussion, it was determined that the Progressive Design-Build model is appropriate for Project Group F in that it will meet the interests of the City without placing undue risk on the City or strain on existing staff capacity. Nampa City Attorney Mike Hilty was also present at the meeting, and stated that he did not believe there were any contractual limitations or concerns with Progressive Design-Build.

Once all DRC questions were fully addressed, Elizabeth Spaulding took a vote of DRC members in support of a Progressive Design-Build approach for Project Group F. Six DRC members voted in support of the recommendation. No members voted against the recommendation.

James Hall, Admiral Beverage, and Carol Kenfield, citizen, both offered to attend the upcoming Public Hearing on June 3rd to provide DRC representation and show of support for the recommended delivery approach.