Call to Order and Pledge to Flag

Invocation – Doyle Fulkes – Southern Idaho Ministry Network

Roll Call

(1) Consent Agenda (Action Items)

All matters listed within the Consent Agenda are considered to be routine by the Council and will be enacted by one motion. There will be no separate discussion on these items unless a Councilmember or citizen so requests in which case the item will be removed from the Consent Agenda and placed on the Regular Agenda.

1-1. Minutes
   a. Planning & Zoning Commission 12-11-2018
   b. Board of Appraisers – 12-13-2018
   c. Regular City Council – 12-17-2018

1-2. Bills
   a. None

1-3. The City Council dispenses with the Three (3) Reading Rule of Idaho Code § 50-902 for all ordinances

1-4. Final Plat Approvals
   a. None

1-5. Authorize Public Hearings
   a. None

1-6. Authorize to Proceed with Bidding Process
   a. None

1-7. Authorization for Execution of Contracts and Agreements
   a. Authorize Mayor to approve the ITD/Nampa Signal FY19 Zone B1 Equipment Procurement project

   a. None

1-9. Resolutions
   a. Destruction of City Clerks Records
   b. Disposition of Fleet Property

*Or as Soon After 7:00 PM as Each Matter may be Heard
1-10. Licenses for 2018 and 2019
   a. None

1-11. Approval of Agenda

(2) Proclamation

2-1. None

Nampa Residents Wishing to Speak on an Agenda (5 persons limit) or Non-Agenda Item (5 persons limit) (3 Minute Limit)

Mayor & Council Comments

- Year-end Report – Mayor Debbie Kling

Agency/External Communications

- ITD I-84 Corridor Project Presentation – Amy Schroeder

(3) Staff Communications

3-1. Public Works Department Staff Report – Tom Points

(4) Unfinished Business

4-1. None

(5) Pending Ordinances (Postponed Due to Lack of Supporting Documentation)

5-1. 1st reading of ordinance for Annexation and Zoning to Light Industrial at 58 and 0 N. Kings Rd. for construction of Storage Units (A combined 3.87 acre or 168,577 sq. ft. portion of the South Half of the NW ¼ of the SW ¼ of Section 24, T3N, R2W, BM) for Cody Lane-Trek Investment Group (PH was 9-17-2018)

5-2. 1st reading of ordinance for Annexation and Zoning to RS 7 (Single Family Residential - 7,000 sq. ft.) for Carriage Hill West Subdivision east of Midway Rd. between W. Iowa Ave. and Lake Lowell Ave. (A 122.38-acre portion of the NW 1/4 of Section 31, T3N, R2W, BM - 368 Single Family Residential lots or 3.01 lots/gross acre) for Engineering Solutions, LLP representing Toll ID I LLC (PH was 11-05-2018)
5-3. Modification of Annexation and Zoning Development Agreement between Constantine LLC and the City of Nampa for property at 2305 E. Victory Rd. amending: a) condition 2 regarding dedication of right-of-way, b) condition 3 regarding fencing along the North Nampa Lateral, c) condition 4 subsections c, g, and k regarding building design standards, d) incorporating an additional 1.5 acres into the development, and e) substituting a new preliminary plat design which includes the original acreage and an additional acreage (A 4.07-acre portion of the E ½ of the NW ¼ of the NW ¼ of the NE ¼ Section 26, T3N, R2W, B.M.), Annexation and Zoning to RD (Two-Family Residential) at 0 E. Victory Rd. (A 1.5-acre or 65,296 sq. ft. portion of the East ½ of the NW ¼ of the NW ¼ of the NE ¼ of Section 26, T3N, R2W, BM) for Riley Planning Services representing Getty Capital of Idaho (DAMO 025-18, ANN 103-18) (PH was 11-19-2018)

5-4. Annexation and Zoning to RS6 at 2724 Seminole Dr. for Alan and Karen Wheatley for connection to city sewer services. (PH was 12-17-2018)

5-5. Annexation and Zoning to RS6 at 2420 E. Amity Ave. for Stephen Warren for connection to city water and sewer services. (PH was 12-17-2018)

5-6. Vacation of the Right-Of-Way located within the Nampa Industrial Corporation First Addition Subdivision, comprising 0.683 acres, located running north off industrial Rd, between Lot 1 on the west, Lots 6,7,8 Block 4 on the east and the Phyllis Canal and Parcel R311881000 to the north for NLH LLC, John Burrow, and Home Style Industries, to retain ownership of the adjacent vacated right-of-way. (PH was 12-17-2018)

5-7. Zoning Map Amendment from RS-6 to BC for the second parcel west of 11460 W Karcher Rd for Jeremiah Jenkins. (PH was 12-17-2018)

(6) New Business

6-1. Action Item: Award the Bid and Authorize the Mayor to sign the contract with EKC, Inc for the Remodel Project for Nampa Family Justice Center

6-2. Action Item: Reappointment of Erica Hernandez and appointment of Mary Knight to the Venue Management Advisory Commission

6-3. Action Item: Authorize the Parks and Recreation Department to give a 30-day notice to terminate the Letter of Agreement, dated September 4, 2018, with the South Valley Homeowners Association

6-4. Action Item: Authorize the Mayor to sign the Landscape License Agreement between the City of Nampa Parks and Recreation Department and the Nampa Meridian Irrigation District

6-5. Action Item: Award quote to Blue Sky Construction and authorize Mayor to sign contract for FY19 Aerial Sewer Replacement Project

6-6. Action Item: Authorize Mayor and Public Works Director to sign Task Order and Contract with Paragon Consulting, Inc. for professional services on Midland Boulevard Re-Striping (W. Greenhurst Road to Caldwell Boulevard) project

*Or as Soon After 7:00 PM as Each Matter may be Heard
6-7. **Action Item:** Authorize Public Works Director and/or Deputy Public Works Director (Water) signing authority in accordance with the City’s Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Permit (reviewed and approved by legal counsel)

6-8. **Action Item:** Authorize Public Works Director to Sign and Submit 2018 Stormwater Annual Report for National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit for Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Permit to the Environmental Protection Agency and the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality

6-9. **Action Item:** Resolution Implementing Increase of 3.88% for 2019 Irrigation Rates, effective March 1, 2019, and Direct Pass Through of Underlying Irrigation Districts’ Rates (as recommended by Board of Appraisers on December 13, 2018)

6-10. **Action Item:** Resolution Implementing Increase of 2.88% for 2019 Domestic and Irrigation Water Miscellaneous Fees, effective March 1, 2019 (as recommended by Board of Appraisers on December 13, 2018)

6-11. **Action Item:** Resolution Implementing Increase of 2.88% for 2019 Irrigation Hookup Fees, effective March 1, 2019 (as recommended by Board of Appraisers on December 13, 2018)

6-12. **Action Item:** Resolution Implementing Changes in the Services Rates and Fees Outlined in the Equivalent Dwelling Unit (EDU) and Strength Class Guide for Wastewater and Water User Classifications, effective March 1, 2019 (as recommended by Board of Appraisers on December 13, 2018)

6-13. **Action Item:** Resolution for fee increases for Parks, Recreation, Recreation Center, Golf, and Cemetery

(7) **Executive Session**

7-1. **Action Item:** Motion to Adjourn into Executive Session Pursuant to Idaho Code (1) (e) To consider preliminary negotiations involving matters of trade or commerce in which the governing body is in competition with governing bodies in other states or nations

(8) **Public Hearings**

8-1. **Action Item:** Variance of the required interior yard 5-foot side setback to allow a 3-foot interior yard side setback for all lots within Bella Commons Subdivision – Phase 2 PUD located in the SE ½ SE ¼ NW ¼ Section 7, T3N, R2W, BM for Chad Olsen DBA Envision 360, Inc.

8-2. **Action Item:** Modification of an Annexation and Zoning related Development Agreement (Ord. 3335 – Instr. # 200423257) between Lava Springs LLC and the City of Nampa by amending the Recitals Section and certain Commitments and Conditions thereof; also, annulling and rescinding Exhibits B & C [then substituting a new master plan in the stead of Exhibit B]; pertaining to Parcel # R29454 (3905 Southside Blvd.) a 6.85-acre property in a RS6 PUD

*Or as Soon After 7:00 PM as Each Matter may be Heard*
zoning district at the SW corner of the intersection of E. Locust Lane & Southside Blvd. for Matt Schultz representing Quatro Properties Nampa, Inc.

8-3. **Action Item:** Fee Increase for Parks, Recreation, Recreation Center, Golf and Cemetery

---

**Adjourn**

**Next Meeting**

**Regular Council at 6:00 PM – Tuesday, January 22nd, 2019 - City Council Chambers**

- Individuals, who require language interpretation or special assistance to accommodate physical, vision, hearing impairments, please contact the City Clerk’s Office at Nampa City Hall, (208) 468-5426. Requests should be made at least five (5) days prior to the meeting to allow time to arrange accommodations.
- Any invocation that may be offered before the official start of the Council meeting shall be the voluntary offering of a private citizen, to and for the benefit of the Council. The views or beliefs expressed by the invocation speaker have not been previously reviewed or approved by the Council and do not necessarily represent the religious beliefs or views of the Council in part or as a whole. No member of the community is required to attend or participate in the invocation and such decision will have no impact on their right to participate actively in the business of the Council. Copies of the policy governing invocations and setting forth the procedure to have a volunteer deliver an invocation are available upon written request submitted to the City Clerk.
Chairman McGrath called the meeting to order at 6:46 p.m.

Announcements: Planning Director Holm announced that Assistant Planning Director Robert Hobbs would be leaving employment with the City of Nampa on December 28th, and noted the good work he had done for the City.

Approval of Minutes: Sellman motioned and Kehoe seconded to approve the Minutes of the November 27, 2018 Planning and Zoning Commission meeting. Motion carried.

- City Councilor Haverfield reported on the City Council meeting of the 3rd December, 2018. Councilor Haverfield advised there were no public hearing items for that meeting.
- Councilor Haverfield informed the Commission regarding the purchases for a new aerial ladder truck and alternative 2-man response vehicle, and noted another fire engine would be coming soon. Councilor Haverfield noted the City of Nampa had an Insurance Rating of 2, the highest in the State of Idaho.
- The appointment of Matthew Garner and reappointment of Jeff Kirkman, stated Councilor Haverfield, to the Nampa Planning and Zoning Commission was approved by City Council.
- Councilor Haverfield also advised City Council had appointed Myron Smith and reappointed Roger Volkert and Mike Gable to the Building and Site Design Standards Committee.
- Councilor Haverfield noted the First Interstate Building on 12th Ave S would soon be utilized for additional City of Nampa office space.
- Councilor Haverfield discussed the authorization for the expansion of the Stoddard Pathway, with construction anticipated for fiscal year 2020.
- Grant applications were also approved for submittal for Transportation Grants; and noted the Mayor had received authorization to sign the Federal Aviation Administration grant application for the City.

Chairman McGrath proceeded to the business item on the agenda.

Business Item No. 1:
Subdivision Final Plat approval for Meriwether Park Subdivision No. 3 on the east side of Meriwether Park No. 2 and approximately 2,500 feet east of Franklin Blvd on Toussand Dr in an RS-7 (Single Family Residential – 7000 sq ft minimum lot size) zoning district (37 single family residential lots on 12.42 acres, 3.42 dwelling units per gross acre – A portion of the NE ¼ of Section 2 T3N R2W BM) for Corey Barton, dba Challenger Development, Inc (SPF-00075-2018).

Doug Critchfield – Planner II:
Critchfield discussed Meriwether Park No. 3 Final Plat located on 12.42 acres, proposed for 37 buildable and 3 common lots, within the RS-7 zoning district. The proposed subdivision continued Critchfield was located south of Ustick Rd and east of Franklin Blvd.

Critchfield reviewed the Staff Report and noted the comments and conditions of approval received in a Memorandum dated December 11, 2018, from the Engineering Division.

The proposed final plat was found to be in substantial conformance within acceptable limits to the approved Preliminary Plat of Meriwether Park Subdivision, and was also in compliance with the relevant RS-7 zoning Code and City of Nampa Subdivision standards.

Critchfield reviewed the recommended conditions of approval.

Kirkman motioned and Sellman seconded to recommend to City Council Final Plat approval for Meriwether Park Subdivision No. 3 on the east side of Meriwether Park No. 2, east of Franklin Blvd, in an RS-7 zoning district, for Challenger Development, Ind, subject to:

1. Generally, Applicant/Development shall:
   - Comply with all City department/division or outside agency requirements pertinent to this matter. This is to include any extant but applicable conditions from prior approvals for this subdivision as iterated in correspondence on file with the City pertaining to the approved Preliminary Plat of/for Meriwether Subdivision.
   - More specifically, compliance with requirements/conditions listed in the following item(s) of correspondence (unless waived and/or later amended by the Agency providing the comments):
     Specifically:
     a) Compliance with the requirement(s) listed in the December 11, 2018 memorandum from the City of Nampa Engineering Division, authored by Daniel Badger.
     b) Compliance with the requirement(s) listed in the November 9, 2018 memorandum from the City of Nampa Planning and Zoning Department authored by Karla Nelson.
     c) Compliance with the requirements listed in the November 9, 2018 e-mail from the City of Nampa Parks and Recreation Department, authored by Cody Swander.
     d) Compliance with the requirement(s) listed in the November 28, 2018 memorandum from the Nampa Engineering Division, GIS Section, authored by Alex Main.

2. Correct any spelling, grammar and punctuation and numbering errors that may be evident on the plat face and/or in the proposed Project plat development notes.

Motion carried.

Chairman McGrath introduced Matthew Garner, the new member of the Planning and Zoning Commission.

Matthew Garner stated he had lived in Nampa for most of his life, and gave a history of his work experience and education resulting in a Masters Degree in Architecture from the University of Idaho, and indicated he was now working with Randy Haverfield, Architecture Northwest.

Matthew Garner added his family included a wife and four children.

Chairman McGrath proceeded to the public hearing item on the agenda at 7:00 p.m.

Public Hearing No. 1:
Update to the Capital Improvement Plan/Impact Fees for: Police, Fire, Parks and Streets.
Recommendation to the City Council from the Planning and Zoning Commission regarding the possible adoption of the Capital Improvement Plan Update as an Amendment to the Nampa Comprehensive Plan, per IC 67-6509.

Chairman McGrath proceeded to public hearing.

Anne Wescott, of Galena Consulting, 1925 N Montclair Dr, Boise, 83702:
Ms Wescott stated she had been retained by the City of Nampa to update the Impact Fee program, as required by State law, at least every 5 years.
• The Final Draft Impact Fee Report, stated Ms Wescott, has been approved and recommended by the members of the Nampa Impact Fee Advisory Committee.
  
• According to Ms Wescott, the proposed Impact Fees will help Capital projects, including: A new police substation, vehicles and apparatus to support the addition of at least 26 new officers; two new fire stations and associated apparatus; The development of Orah Brandt and Midway Parks and construction of an additional pool; 32 streets projects to alleviate intersection congestion, and 10 roadway widening projects.
  
• Total amount to include in fees, according to Ms Wescott, would be $4,334,400 to be collected over the next 10 years, which would provide for total infrastructure requirements for the future 26 police officers to be hired over a 10 year period.
  
• Ms Wescott reiterated the fact the City of Nampa has a Fire Insurance rating of 2, which was dependent on how long it took for a fire engine to respond to a property call. In this case, added Ms Wescott, the City and the Rural Fire District would be included because the Rural Fire District pays the City on contract. With that change it would allow the City to collect fees on the rural district’s behalf and people who are building in the rural district would pay their proportional share.
  
• Two fire stations are currently identified stated Ms Wescott, and the City was right on the cusp of needing a third fire station, but was not including the third one at this time.
  
• The two new fire stations would mean two new fire engines, a ladder truck, and a squad vehicle, and the relevant equipment for the two new fire stations.
  
• Ms Wescott reviewed the Nampa Parks Department CIP and discussed how those fees were calculated from the increased population, with the level of service for parks calculated at the number of acres for parks per 1,000 population, which would calculate at approximately 70 acres for new parks.
  
• Impact fees, continued Ms Wescott, would be focused over the next 10 years in getting the two new parks developed: Midway Park and Orah Brandt Park.
  
• There would also be a pool to serve the new growth in the north.
  
• Ms Wescott discussed the fact that the soccer park would not be CIP eligible.
  
• Kehoe questioned why there would be one dog park on the east side of town and one on the west side but none in the central area of town. Badger noted there would have to be open land available in the interior part of the City and that would be extremely expensive.
  
• Kirkman inquired if bike paths were eligible for CIP funds and Ms Wescott stated the City already has a grant for trail development and therefore bike paths would not be eligible for CIP funds.
  
• Ms Wescott referred to the Transportation Master Plan – looking at the entire inventory of roadway infrastructure over a 20 to 40 year period.
  
• Ms Wescott noted the City of Nampa had been very successful in obtaining State and Federal grants, and the projects were then reviewed to determine which projects would could be maximized for leverage, using the Impact Fees to pay the remaining 7.34 percent and utilizing the State and Federal money for the rest of it.
  
• A lot of the growth demand, added Ms Wescott, involved the big street projects: overpasses and large intersections.
  
• Ms Wescott indicated the list of Nampa Streets Department projects in priority order, with approximately 34 intersections and 10 or 11 road projects, and discussed how it would be determined how much each project would be Impact Fee eligible.
  
• According to Ms Wescott, the portion for the City would be approximately $70 million, $40 million of which could come from Impact Fees.
  
• In the past, stated Ms Wescott, developers had been required to widen and improve street frontage adjacent their development, and sidewalks etc. Although the Impact Fee would be going up significantly, the developer would not be asked to do the same level of exaction as previously required for road widening, improvements, etc.
  
• The Impact Fees, continued Ms Wescott, would take the full cost and articulate all of the trips that are generated by that particular road and then everyone would pay the same percentage.
  
• Developers would still be able to participate in negotiated Development Agreements with the City, and if they constructed all of the infrastructure their impact fees could be credited.
  
• Jeff Barnes, Deputy Public Works Director responded to a question from Kehoe regarding Highway 16.
  
• Barnes stated the estimate for putting in an Interchange at I-84 and another Interchange at Franklin for Highway 16 was $90 million. Barnes stated the Highway 16 project would be extremely expensive and noted that just last month the ITD Board approved funds to purchase the right-of-way along the entire corridor and were pursuing
phasing the construction – which had not been confirmed as yet – but one of the first phases would be the phase off I-84 and Franklin.

- Discussion followed regarding the phasing of Highway 16.
- In response to a question from Kirkman regarding Impact Fees charged by other municipalities, Ms Wescott referred to Exhibit VII-2 – Impact Fee Comparisons, and noted the City of Nampa would be charging $1.52 per sq ft for Industrial uses for streets and noted that would be slightly less than other Treasure Valley communities.
- Ms Wescott noted the entire Capital Improvement Committee recommended approving the proposed Impact fees, and suggested Impact Fees do not affect growth.
- Ms Wescott cited examples of when Impact fees would apply, i.e. adding capacity and creating more demand for services.
- Van Auker, Jr considered the proposed Impact Fees would level the playing field between Nampa and Ada County, and suggested how to pay for growth had to be figured out.
- Ms Wescott advised the Committee had recommended a 4 month delay from City Council approval to when the new Impact Fees would be implemented.
- In response to a question from Garner, Ms Wescott stated the primary cause of the large increase was due to Streets. Additionally, Police fees had not been included in the last iteration.
- Chairman McGrath noted the major complaint received from Nampa residents with applications for new subdivisions/developments had been about traffic and the condition of the streets.
- Van Auker, Jr considered the proposed Impact Fee amendment would allow for more uniform street improvements.
- Ms Weston responded to a question from Kehoe and advised the City would now collect impact fees and build the roads and the developer would not pay exaction fees.

Chairman McGrath proceeded to public hearing.

Kent Brown of 3161 E Springwood, Meridian.
- Mr Brown stated he had been involved with development for 29 years, the first nine years with the City of Boise, and therefore had been on both sides of development issues.
- Mr Brown noted taxes were a little higher in Canyon County, and some of his clients had considered it a bonus they had not had to deal with Impact Fees.
- The advantage would be, added Mr Brown, that when applying for development on one of the improved roads, the neighbors would have a hard time complaining, because the developer would be paying their way with the Impact Fees.
- Mr Brown explained tax dollars generally pay for the two lanes that move traffic back and forth. The expansion and improvement from two lanes to anything wider was development driven.
- Mr Brown concurred with Kirkman’s comment that growth should definitely pay for itself.

Sellman motioned and Kehoe seconded to close public hearing. Motion carried.

- Kirkman thanked the Impact Fee Committee for their hard work on the proposed C.I.P. Fees as an amendment to the Nampa Comprehensive Plan.

Kehoe motioned and Van Auker, Jr seconded to recommend to City Council adoption of the updated Capital Improvement Plan/Impact Fees for Police, Fire, Parks and Streets, as an amendment to the Nampa Comprehensive Plan Per IC 67-6509. Motion carried.

Meeting adjourned at 7:50 p.m.

Norman L Holm, Planning Director

:sm
**MEETING MINUTES**

Welcome and Roll Call
Board Attendees: Tom Points, Sharon Harris, David Peterson, Daniel Badger, Michelle Camper, Hubert Osborne
Additional Attendees: Mayor Kling, Nate Runyan, Deborah Spille, Mark Hilty, Jacob Allen, Sheri Murray

Proposed Amendments to Agenda
- No requests received to amend Agenda

Staff Communications
1) Underlying Irrigation Districts Summary of Landowner Requests for Exclusion of Water Rights – Daniel Badger, P.E., City Engineer
   - No requests were received from underlying irrigation districts this quarter

New Business:
1) **Action Item:** City Summary of Landowner Irrigation Tax Exclusion and/or No Benefit Rate Requests – Daniel Badger, P.E., City Engineer
   - Summary presented for “A” property owner requests
     - Individual property owner requests presented and qualifiers for exclusion and/or reduced rate discussed
   MOTION made, Seconded, to accept staff’s recommendations in response to landowner requests for exclusion and/or reduced rate for “A.” 6 YES votes, 0 NO votes. MOTION PASSED

2) **Action Item:** Appeal of Domestic Water Utility Bill by Michael Dean and Kevin Wilda at 1807 South Camas Street - Deborah Spille, Treasurer
   - Staff summary, details of account events, and water consumption history provided
   - Due to spikes in water consumption, testing of the City’s system was performed. No leaks were detected
   - A leak was detected past the meter to the house, which is the responsibility of the property owner. Repair has since been made
   - In 2018 the customer account received two water/sewer credit adjustments as per policy (City Code 3-2-12)
   - Customer is appealing the application of the City’s credit adjustment policy
   - Staff recommends, as per policy, that no additional credit adjustments be made
   MOTION made, Seconded, to extend customer two additional sewer cycle credit adjustments per Utility Billing standard methodology and investigate feasibility of installing Acclara Meter as soon as possible for benefit of customer. 6 YES votes, 0 NO votes. MOTION PASSED
3) **Action Item:** Request from Epic Shine Carwash to Adjust Sewer Utility Bills – *Daniel Badger, P.E., City Engineer*
   - Representatives David Conley and Bryan Barker were in attendance.
   - History of Epic Shine Carwash presented
   - Study presented on data comparing water consumption from the water meter and sewer discharge
   - Customer requesting reduction of sewer bills to reflect 13% reduction in discharge to the city sanitary sewer system
   - Staff has reviewed the data and recommends 13% reduction of sanitary sewer bill for Epic Shine Carwash

   **MOTION** made, Seconded, to accept staff recommendation to reduce Epic Shine Carwash sanitary sewer bill 13%. 6 YES votes, 0 NO votes. **MOTION PASSED**

4) **Action Item:** Proposed Modifications to Utility Rebilling Policy – *Deborah Spille, Treasurer*
   - Updates to Utility Billing’s Rebilling Policy, including the removal of references to rebilling underbilled accounts (for two years) when the circumstance for underbilling are the result of a City error, were presented
     - Correcting and refunding overbilled customer accounts would remain the same
   - Question was raised about rebilling the customer who knowingly and fraudulently uses City services. Would this customer be excused from paying two years in arrears? Currently, there is no policy to identify this type of situation.
   - A recommendation was made to replace the language in the Utility Rebilling policy, Paragraph No. 4, to address this type of situation

   **MOTION TABLED. Item to be presented at next quarterly Board meeting.**
5) Action Item: Recommendation to Adopt Equivalent Dwelling Unit (EDU) and Strength Class Guide for Wastewater and Water User Classifications - Daniel Badger, P.E., City Engineer

- Based upon usage studies, changes to the current EDU guide were presented for bars (w/out dining facilities), and beauty salons
- Adding single family dwellings to the guide was also recommended
- Question was raised how small business can be assessed at a lessor rate, i.e., a large restaurant vs. a small restaurant
- Variables were discussed on billing and assessment. Some studies are currently underway to hopefully address these type of circumstances
- Staff recommended adoption of the proposed changes

MOTION made, Seconded, to adopt proposed changes to the Equivalent Dwelling Unit (EDU) and Strength Class Guide for Wastewater and Water User Classifications, effective March 1, 2019. 5 YES votes, 1 NO vote. MOTION PASSED

6) Action Item: 2019 Irrigation Rate Increase Recommendation – Jacob Allen, Public Works Business Manager

- History provided on past irrigation rate increases
- Four scenarios for 2019 rate increase presented
- Adequate fund balance called into question. Growth discussed and the ability to make emergency repairs if needed
- Water Comp plan, growth, operations, and maintenance costs discussed
- Comment made that citizens are questioning why they should have to pay for new growth. Developers should have to pay for new growth
  - Legal counsel stated why state law prohibits this
  - Request for increase in rates and fees is to maintain existing system and not fund new growth
- The questions of what can staff do to be creative in not increasing fees but maintain level of service was raised
- Staff recommended an increase of 4.95% effective March 1, 2019, for 2019 Irrigation Rate Increases

MOTION made, Seconded, to adopt ENR 2.88% plus 1% (3.88%) for 2019 Irrigation Rates Increase, effective March 1, 2019, and direct pass through of underlying irrigation districts’ rates. 5 YES votes, 1 NO vote. MOTION PASSED

- Request made to increase miscellaneous fees for domestic and irrigation water as presented, with the following changes:
- Correct “Damaged Meter” line item from Percent Change of NA to 2.88%
- Correct “1” Service Riser” line item from Percent Change of NA to 2.88%
- Remove all “Meter Connection Fee” line items from rate sheet (to be added to Water Hookup Fee rate sheet)
- Remove all “Domestic Service Line Fee” line items from rate sheet (to be added to Water Hookup Fee rate sheet)
- Remove all “Irrigation Service Line Fee” line items from rate sheet (to be added to Irrigation Hookup Fees rate sheet)
- With recommended edits to rate sheet, staff recommended an ENR increase of 2.88% effective March 1, 2019, for 2019 Domestic and Irrigation Water Miscellaneous Fees

MOTION made, Seconded, to adopt 2.88% increase for 2019 Domestic and Irrigation Water Miscellaneous Fees, effective March 1, 2019, with noted changes. 6 YES votes, 0 NO votes. MOTION PASSED

8) Action Item: 2019 Irrigation Hookup Fees Increase Recommendation – Jacob Allen, Public Works Business Manager

- Information provided on request to increase 2019 irrigation hookup fees
- Discussion was held on a motion to increase hookup fees by 2.88% (ENR Index) plus 1%
  - Legal counsel advised that ramifications could occur if additional 1% increase in assessed vs. just the ENR Index rate of 2.88%
- Staff recommended 2019 Irrigation Hookup Fees rate sheet be corrected to include the following line items:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trans Code</th>
<th>New Fee</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Current Revenue</th>
<th>Proposed Revenue</th>
<th>Percent Change</th>
<th>Est Annual Dollar Increm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1” Irrigation Service Line Fee</td>
<td>$1,664.00</td>
<td>$1,712.00</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1.5” Irrigation Service Line Fee</td>
<td>$1,748.00</td>
<td>$1,798.00</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2” Irrigation Service Line Fee</td>
<td>$1,832.00</td>
<td>$1,885.00</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- With recommended edits to rate sheet, staff recommended an ENR increase of 2.88% effective March 1, 2019, for 2019 Irrigation Hookup Fees

MOTION made, Seconded, to adopt 2.88% increase for 2019 Irrigation Hookup Fees effective March 1, 2019, with noted changes. 6 YES votes, 0 NO votes. MOTION PASSED

Meeting Adjourned

See “Attachment A” for December 13, 2018, Board of Appraisers meeting agenda and packet.
# City of Nampa
## Board of Appraisers Meeting Agenda
### Thursday, December 13, 2018, 3:15 p.m.
### Nampa City Hall, Mayor’s Conference Room

## Call to Order

## Roll Call of Board Members

## Proposed Amendments to Agenda

## Staff Communications
1. Underlying Irrigation Districts Summary of Landowner Requests for Exclusion of Water Rights – Daniel Badger, P.E., City Engineer

## New Business
1. **Action Item:** City Summary of Landowner Irrigation Tax Exclusion and/or No Benefit Rate Requests – Daniel Badger, P.E., City Engineer
2. **Action Item:** Appeal of Domestic Water Utility Bill by Michael Dean and Kevin Wilda at 1807 South Camas Street - Deborah Spille, Treasurer
3. **Action Item:** Request from Epic Shine Carwash to Adjust Sewer Utility Bills – Daniel Badger, P.E., City Engineer
4. **Action Item:** Proposed Modifications to Utility Rebilling Policy – Deborah Spille, Treasurer
5. **Action Item:** Recommendation to Adopt Equivalent Dwelling Unit (EDU) and Strength Class Guide for Wastewater and Water User Classifications - Daniel Badger, P.E., City Engineer
6. **Action Item:** 2019 Irrigation Rate Increase Recommendation – Jacob Allen, Public Works Business Manager
7. **Action Item:** 2019 Domestic and Irrigation Water Miscellaneous Fees Increase Recommendation – Jacob Allen, Public Works Business Manager
8. **Action Item:** 2019 Irrigation Hookup Fees Increase Recommendation – Jacob Allen, Public Works Business Manager

## Adjourn
Underlying Irrigation Districts
Summary of Landowner Requests for Exclusion of Water Rights
Board of Appraisers
December 13, 2018

Boise-Kuna Irrigation District
No requests were received from the Boise-Kuna Irrigation District since last report.

Nampa Meridian Irrigation District
No requests were received from the Nampa Meridian Irrigation District since last report.

Pioneer Irrigation District
No requests were received from the Pioneer Irrigation District since last report.
Nampa Municipal Irrigation System
Summary of Landowner Irrigation Tax Exclusion and/or No Benefit Rate Requests
Board of Appraisers
December 13, 2018

Since the last Board of Appraisers meeting, staff has received several exclusion and/or reduced rate (No Benefit) requests. Based upon field report findings, Staff makes the following recommendations to the Board of Appraisers:

A. **No change in customer status** as the following properties (see Attachment 1) do not meet the criteria for exclusion and/or reduced rate:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Landowner</th>
<th>Service Address</th>
<th>Exhibit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senn, Gennifer</td>
<td>0 East Cash Lane</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thompson, Tina</td>
<td>2202 Aries</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are no additional appeals to report at this writing.

**REQUEST:** Board of Appraisers authorize action as identified by staff and await City Council ratification.
A. Central Services:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Request: 10/31/2018</th>
<th>Irrigation District: Pioneer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reason for Request: Customer says she is paying for the street</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landowner’s Name: Gennifer Senn Madison Industrial Park Prop Owners</td>
<td>Service Address: 0 E Cash Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailing Address: 5118 Bugle Ridge Road Nampa ID 83686</td>
<td>Assessment No. R3103810000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is Request for Current Tax Season Only? 2018 and forward</td>
<td>Is Current Code at No Benefit Rate? YES ☒ NO ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Square Feet: 41,752.72</td>
<td>Total Dollar Assessment: $ 408.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone No. 208-867-4596</td>
<td>Ext. No. 5714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed By: Dawn Adams</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIELD SURVEY & REPORT OF PROPERTY

B. Engineering Division:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Survey: November 30, 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments: (See attached Field Survey &amp; Report)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Does this property meet required criteria for exclusion of irrigation tax? YES ☐ NO ☒
Does this property meet qualifications for No Benefit Rate? YES ☐ NO ☒

Completed By: J. Brooks Ext. No. 5459

C. Public Works Administration:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reviewed &amp; Approved By:</th>
<th>Date:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation to BOA: YES ☐ NO ☐</td>
<td>Date:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision Letter Sent By:</td>
<td>Date:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2018 FIELD SURVEY AND REPORT

Date Request Received from Central Services: October 31, 2018

Report Completed By: J. Brooks

Landowner’s Name: Gennifer Senn
Madison Industrial Park Prop Owners
5118 Bugle Ridge Road
Nampa ID 83686

Service Address: 0 - E. Cash Lane
Assessment No. R3103810000

Date of Survey: November 30, 2018
Site visit not necessary

Time Arrived at Site: NA

Time Left Site: NA

Exclusion Criteria: (Check all that Apply)

☐ Lands too high to be irrigated without pumping;
☐ Owners have installed an independent irrigation system because the district does not own a sufficient water right;
☐ Lands are not agricultural lands and the district has not constructed a distribution system or taken steps to do so; or
☐ In the case of lands of five (5) acres or less, the prior owner rendered the ditch or other conveyance to the land incapable of carrying water (without the knowledge or consent of the current owner).

Additional Comments: Parcel in question is Lot 1, Blk. 1 Madison Industrial Park Subdivision and is the common private road serving the lots. The irrigation assessment is based on the pervious and semi-pervious areas, not the entire lot area. The impervious area, paved section, is subtracted from the assessment area.

Recommendation: Based on the findings of this field survey report, it is recommended that neither an “Exclusion” nor “No Benefit Rate” be granted.

Does this property meet criteria for Irrigation Tax Exclusion? YES ☐ NO ☒
Does this property meet qualifications for No Benefit Rate? YES ☐ NO ☒

Completed By: Jim Brooks
Ext. No. 5459
MADISON INDUSTRIAL PARK
Irrigation Tax Exclusion and/or No Benefit Rate Request

Exhibit
Current Billing Time
Lot No: 173067
Imperv: 24,463.87 Sq Ft
SemiPerv: 6.17 Sq Ft
Perv: 17,286.17 Sq Ft
Zn: IL / Non-Residential

Current Billing Time
Lot No: 172821
Imperv: 22,079.12 Sq Ft
SemiPerv: 17,550.01 Sq Ft
Perv: 5,106.28 Sq Ft
Zn: IL / Non-Residential

Current Billing Time
Lot No: 172815
Imperv: 0 Sq Ft
SemiPerv: 0 Sq Ft
Perv: 36,140.92 Sq Ft
Zn: IL / Non-Residential

Current Billing Time
Lot No: 172825
Imperv: 0 Sq Ft
SemiPerv: 0 Sq Ft
Perv: 36,035.83 Sq Ft
Zn: IL / Non-Residential

Current Billing Time
Lot No: 172818
Imperv: 0 Sq Ft
SemiPerv: 0 Sq Ft
Perv: 31,468.27 Sq Ft
Zn: IL / Non-Residential

Current Billing Time
Lot No: 172817
Imperv: 0 Sq Ft
SemiPerv: 0 Sq Ft
Perv: 31,324.37 Sq Ft
Zn: IL / Non-Residential

Current Billing Time
Lot No: 172824
Imperv: 0 Sq Ft
SemiPerv: 0 Sq Ft
Perv: 31,074.09 Sq Ft
Zn: IL / Non-Residential

Current Billing Time
Lot No: 172823
Imperv: 14.83 Sq Ft
SemiPerv: 502.11 Sq Ft
Perv: 30,431.67 Sq Ft
Zn: IL / Non-Residential

Current Billing Time
Lot No: 172819
Imperv: 0 Sq Ft
SemiPerv: 0 Sq Ft
Perv: 29,803.27 Sq Ft
Zn: IL / Non-Residential

Current Billing Time
Lot No: 172822
Imperv: 22,079.12 Sq Ft
SemiPerv: 17,550.01 Sq Ft
Perv: 5,106.28 Sq Ft
Zn: IL / Non-Residential

Landcover per Customer

Parcel of Interest
County Parcels
Landcover (by Type)
Pervious
Semi-Pervious
Impervious
No Data

Not to scale
Date: 11/27/2018
# 2018 Irrigation Tax Exclusion and/or No Benefit Rate Customer Request Worksheet

## A. Central Services:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Request: 07-03-2018</th>
<th>Irrigation District: PIONEER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of Request: This was a pump house. Not able to Irrigate there. It is all gravel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for Request: This was a pump house for the subdivision. Not able to Irrigate there. It is all gravel.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landowner’s Name: Tina Thompson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Address: 2202 Aries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Mailing Address: 2212 Aries Drive  
Nampa ID 83651 |
| Assessment No. R1298552500 |
| Current Irrigation Code: Full Benefits |
| Is Request for Current Tax Season Only? Yes and forward |
| Is Current Code at No Benefit Rate? YES \(x\) NO |
| Square Feet: 7915.64 |
| Total Dollar Assessment: $123.69 |
| Phone No. |
| Cell No. |
| Completed By: Dawn Adams Ext. No. 5714 |

## B. Engineering Division:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Survey: September 5, 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments: (See attached Field Survey &amp; Report)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Does this property meet required criteria for exclusion of irrigation tax? YES \(x\) NO  
Does this property meet qualifications for No Benefit Rate? YES \(x\) NO  

| Completed By: J. Brooks Ext. No. 5459 |

## C. Public Works Administration:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reviewed &amp; Approved By:</th>
<th>Date:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation to BOA: YES (x) NO</td>
<td>Date:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision Letter Sent By:</td>
<td>Date:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 2018 FIELD SURVEY AND REPORT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Request Received from Central Services: <strong>July 3, 2018</strong></th>
<th>Report Completed By: J. Brooks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Landowner’s Name:</strong> Tina Thompson</td>
<td><strong>Service Address:</strong> 2202 Aries Drive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2212 Aries Drive</td>
<td>Nampa ID 83651</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Date of Survey:</strong> September 5, 2018</th>
<th><strong>Time Arrived at Site:</strong> NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site visit not necessary</strong></td>
<td><strong>Time Left Site:</strong> NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Exclusion Criteria:** (Check all that Apply)

- [ ] Lands too high to be irrigated without pumping;
- [ ] Owners have installed an independent irrigation system because the district does not own a sufficient water right;
- [ ] Lands are not agricultural lands and the district has not constructed a distribution system or taken steps to do so; or
- [x] In the case of lands of five (5) acres or less, the prior owner rendered the ditch or other conveyance to the land incapable of carrying water (without the knowledge or consent of the current owner).

**Additional Comments:** Pressure irrigation was extended to and through the Karcher Estates No. 1 Subdivision by the developer as required by the City. This included construction of an irrigation pump station on lot 15, block 2. This pump station has since been abandoned and portions of the easements, dedicated with the plat, have been vacated via Ordinance #2928 (see attached) in June of 2000. Lot is a buildable lot and appears to have all City services available or stubbed to lot. It is noted that landscaping and a small garden have been established on the lot (see exhibit 1).

**Recommendation:** Based on the findings of this field survey report, it is recommended that neither an “Exclusion” or “No Benefit Rate” be granted.

Does this property meet criteria for Irrigation Tax Exclusion? YES [x] NO [ ]

Does this property meet qualifications for No Benefit Rate? YES [x] NO [ ]

| Completed By: Jim Brooks | Ext. No. 5459 |
The Standard Headgate At The Inlet From The Pioneer Lateral.

Construct Pressure Irrigation Pump House and Sump. See Sheet 2A for Details.

Construct 12' Gravel Access Road

Construct Valved Connection from Pressure Irrigation to Storm Drain for Drainage

Remove 28 L.F. 12" C.P.

Contractor shall field verify location of Exist. 15'' Irrig. Siphon for possible conflict with Sewer or Storm Drain Pipes.
ORDINANCE NO. 2928

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF NAMPA, IDAHO, VACATING AN 8' WIDE EASEMENT WEST OF AN EXISTING 10' UTILITY EASEMENT LOCATED AT 2212 Aries Drive, IN THE CITY OF NAMPA, CANYON COUNTY, IDAHO, AND DIRECTING THE CITY ENGINEER TO ALTER THE USE AND AREA MAP ACCORDINGLY.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NAMPA, IDAHO:

That the following described vacation of a portion of a general utility easement located at 2212 Aries Drive, Nampa, Idaho, be and the same is hereby VACATED. That the real property hereby vacated is more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

See Exhibit "A" attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference.

That the City Engineer is hereby instructed and directed to alter the Use and Area Map in accordance with the above vacation.


APPROVED BY THE MAYOR OF THE CITY OF NAMPA, IDAHO, THIS 5th DAY OF June _______, 2000.

Approved:

By Mayor

By City Clerk NAMPA CITY CNTY RECORD

INSTRUMENT NO. 200021421
State of Idaho

Canyon County

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 5th day of June, 2000.

Deborah L. Bishop
Residing at: Nampa, Canyon County, Idaho
My Commission Expires: 06/13/2001
EXHIBIT “A”

VACATION OF IRRIGATION EASEMENT FOR 2212 ARIES DRIVE

The Westerly 8.00 feet of the Easterly 18.00 feet of Lot 15 of Block 2 of New Karcher Estates No. 1 Subdivision as shown on the official plat thereof on file in the office of the Canyon County Recorder in Book 17 of Plats at Page 17.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM:

The Northerly 8.00 feet of the legal described above.
Date: December 5, 2108

To: Board of Appraisers

Fr: Deborah Spille, City Treasurer

Re: Michael Dean – UB Customer Appeal

Michael Dean and Kevin Wilda are the Utility account owners for 1807 S Camas in Nampa. Mr. Wilda is appealing the application of the city’s Adjustment Policy. His letter of appeal and the Adjustment Policy are included.

I’ve also attached a staff summary and details of account events and water consumption history from February 2014 to August 2018. This summary describes two separate inquires regarding water consumption. The first inquiry was in January 2016. UB explained the water consumption and the observation of a hose connected to the house. They explained the impact on the bill when domestic water is used outdoors.

The second consumption inquiry was in June of 2018. The customer contacted UB regarding a ‘huge burst’ of water and stated a plumber had repaired a leak. UB proceeded to request a leak check (two meter reads a few days apart) to validate repair and establish a water consumption average that is used to adjust up to two bills. This leak check indicated a 3,100 cubic feet two-month average. Mr. Wilda contacted UB and stated he did not feel the adjustment was adequate, the city requested an additional leak check that resulted in a 2,500 cubic feet average. This second adjustment, more favorable to the customer, was applied to the account.

The account has received two credit adjustments as per policy.
Hello and Good Day,

I'm writing regarding account number 125099-001, under the name of the homeowner Michael Dean at 1807 S Camas St. My name is Kevin Wilda and I want to share my experience with the city of Nampa's water division over the last few years for your company's quality assurance purposes and to request your assistance in this matter.

I have lived at this address for a little over three years. After the first year residing here, our water bill began steadily climbing. I suspected a leak, so I called your company. I spoke with Sandy, who told me it was likely a leaky faucet, toilet, or hose connected to the outside of the house. I explained to her that I didn't have any of these issues and she told me that the increase was normal. After about a year and a half of consistent increases with no extreme changes in our water use habits and several calls to the water company with concerns, no help was offered and I was continually told that the bill and increases were normal.

On the morning of May 30, 2018 I woke up to a flooded yard and water gushing into the gutter and down the street from near the water meter in my front yard. An employee from the city finally came to check things out and determined that that the leak we were suspicious of had broken the pipe near the water meter. We immediately paid a plumber to come and fix the pipe. After the repairs we called and again spoke to Sandy who told us that if we had called with these concerns before the break took place, that she certainly would have sent someone to check the meter while all of the water in the house was off to see if there was a leak. Unfortunately that was not our experience and Sandy and other representatives we spoke to failed to meet our concerns with action any of the multiple times we contacted the company about the increases. They simply made arrangements for us to be able to pay our outrageous water bills and told us that our bill was normal.

On May 9, 2018 I paid a $340 water bill. The following bill for 3/28 to 5/25 was $403.67. After speaking to Sandy’s supervisor, $396.12 of that bill was credited. We are now facing a bill of $337.93 for the dates of service that include 5/30, which was the day that the leak finally broke through the pipe. I have been told that they are unable to give any further credits on the account and that you are the only ones who can make any adjustments at this point. It is my intention to inform you of this issue, not only to correct the lack of service we needed while this issue were occurring, but also to create awareness for those who have the power to insure that our community is not overcharged for the basic human need of clean water and that their concerns are given adequate attention when they notice that those services are not functioning properly.

Please adjust my bill to reflect our actual water consumption and inform me of what steps will be taken to make sure that this issue does not repeat itself with other Nampa city consumers. I'm happy to help in any way I can.

Thank you for your time,
Kevin Wilda

[Signature] 08-22-18
3-2-12: ADJUSTMENTS:

Any bill for water supplied or service rendered will be considered a proper charge unless protest is made to the utility billing office within sixteen (16) days after presentation of the bill. Adjustment will only be allowed when evidence clearly shows that the excessive charge is due to underground leaking pipes or fixtures, and not wasteful use and then only when repairs have been promptly made and reported to the utility billing office. No allowances will be made covering more than two (2) billing periods including the billing period in which the protest was made. Adjustments will be made on previously established averages, or on an average established after the leak is repaired, and in addition the user will be charged a pumping fee for excess water usage. The utility billing office shall determine the excess consumption due to leakage. (Ord. 2087)
1807 S Camas St

On February 21, 2014, Michael Dean signed up for services at 1807 S Camas. From February of 2014 through May of 2015, the customer averaged about 1500 CF per billing. In July of 2015, the customer was billed for 2039 CF. Then in September he was billed for 2737 CF.

On November 2, 2015, we collected a read of 82193 off the meter. This reflected 4071 CF of usage. We requested a re-read of the meter before processing the bills. On November 9, 2015, the meter techs re-read the meter and reported a read of 83067. This indicated the 11/2 read was correct. The techs also noted that there was a hose connected to the house. The usage was billed.

On January 12, 2016, the customer called asking why the bills were high. We explained to him the techs indicated a hose connected to the house. We also explained how the usage affects his bill. We told him his next bill would also have high consumption (4598 CF).

On February 2, 2016, Michael called and stated his kids are living in the house but had not put the account in their names. We offered to close his account, but he stated he would work it out with his kids.

On March 7, 2016, Kevin’s name was added to the account. Kevin is Michael’s son.

On March 31st, we billed for 3494 CF. The billed consumption after this varied from as low as 2503 CF to as high as 9563 CF.

On June 1, 2018, a female called and stated they had a huge burst. She was upset because, per the plumber, this leak had been happening for years. We requested a leak check on 6/4/18 and a re-read on 6/11/18. On June 4th, the meter tech indicated there was no flow and got a read of 159677. The read on 6/11 was 160030.

On June 13th, we called Michael to let him know the balance after the adjustment and that he would get one more adjustment.

Another leak check was requested on July 6th and a re-read was requested for July 13th. On July 6th, the tech indicated no flow and got a read of 161343. On July 9th, Kevin called and requested additional adjustments due to constant high usage. We explained we were getting additional reads to get a better idea of actual usage. Also on July 9th, Michael called and requested a hearing. He stated he wants 2 years of adjustments done for the leak. The re-read on July 13th came back with a read of 161614.

On July 17th, we did an adjustment to correct our previous leak adjustment and entered a credit adjustment of $396.12 for both the 1st and 2nd leak adjustment allowed per policy. We called Michael and explained the balance on the account was $7.55. We also explained if this was unsatisfactory, the next step would be to write a letter to the BOA.

On August 22nd, Kevin brought in a letter for the BOA. This was forwarded to the BOA. On August 29th, we called Kevin to notify him the BOA would be meeting on September 19th at 10 am. We stated he was welcomed to attend and present his case. We told him the appeal should be heard within the first 30 minutes of the meeting.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Typically, when there is a leak, the consumption billed does not decrease. The meter read history shows fluctuation in the consumption billed. The customers reported the leak was fixed on June 1st. This was confirmed by our meter tech on June 4th. The reads collected on June 11th (160030), July 13th (161614), and August 13th (163165) reflect consumption of a little over 1,500 CF per month or 3,100 per billing period. Some of the bills within the 2 years in question were for a little over the 3,100 CF average. The recommendation is to do the two leak adjustments allowed per policy, which have already been applied to the account.
### Utility Billing

#### Account Comments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>User: Rodrigueza</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Printed: 08/28/2018 - 9:53 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Account No: 125099-001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Creation Date</th>
<th>Close Date</th>
<th>Comment Status</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2/18/2014</td>
<td></td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>BUYING TOTAL IN HOME 3 RETIRED ALLYSON CURSHAW-208-284-6415 PENI DEAN-208-963-0046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/28/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>CUSTOMER CALLED ABOUT COURTESY CALL THEY KNOW TO PAY THE REST BEFORE 5 MONDAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/12/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>MICHEAL CALLED AND WANTED TO KNOW WHY THE HIGH BILLS. I EXPLAINED TO HIM THE HOSE TO THE HOUSE AND HOW THE USAGE WORKS. ALSO HIS NEXT BILL WILL BE EVEN BIGGER THAT HIS LAST AND I DID LET HIM KNOW THAT.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/2/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>MICHAEL CALLED AND SAID HIS KIDS ARE LIVING IN HOUSE THEY HAVEN'T PUT IT IN THERE NAMES I OFFERED TO TAKE IT OUT OF HIS NAME HE SAID NO HE WOULD WORK IT OUT WITH THE KIDS!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/16/2017</td>
<td></td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>PER DAWN WAIVE LATE FEE 3/16/17 DUE TO SYSTEM ERROR. PAYMENT PLAN WAS SET UP FOR 3/16/17 BUT FAILED IN ERROR.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/12/2017</td>
<td></td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>MUST PAY $95.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/1/2018</td>
<td></td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>CUSTOMER SAID HE HAD A HUGE BURST. GETTING LEAK CHECK AND REREADS DONE. CUSTOMER SAID IT BLEW UP. SHE IS UPSET BECAUSE IT'S BEEN LEAKING, PER PLUMBER, FOR YEARS. SHE MIGHT WANT 2 YEARS ADJ.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/13/2018</td>
<td></td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>CALLED AND SPOKE WITH MICHAEL. LET HIM KNOW THE TOTAL DUE AND DUE DATE. HE IS ALSO AWARE OF THE LEAK. GET ONE MORE ADJ 10:07 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/5/2018</td>
<td></td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>FEMALE IS NOT ON ACCT. GETTING A COUPLE MORE READS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/9/2018</td>
<td></td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>I SPOKE WITH KEVIN. HE IS THE SECOND NAME ON THE ACCOUNT. HE FEELS THAT HE SHOULD BE GIVEN MORE ADJUSTMENTS. BECAUSE OF THE CONSTANT HIGH USAGE, WE ARE GETTING MORE READS. TO SEE IF THE LEAK HAS BEEN CORRECTED AND TO GET A BETTER IDEA OF HIS ACTUAL USAGE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/9/2018</td>
<td></td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>MICHAEL CALLED AND WANTS A HEARING, WANTS 2 YEARS OF ADJ DONE FOR A LEAK. HE IS VERY PERSISTANT ABOUT HAVING 2-3 YEARS ADJ BECAUSE HE DIDN'T HAVE A CHOICE FOR ANY OTHER WATER COMPANY.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creation Date</td>
<td>Close Date</td>
<td>Comment Status</td>
<td>Comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/17/2018</td>
<td></td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>CALLED MICHAEL LET TO LET HIM KNOW $7.55 IS HIS BALANCE. IF HIS SON CALLS AND SAYS IT'S NOT GOOD ENOUGH, DIRECT HIM TO ME SO I CAN TELL HIM HIS NEXT STEP IS TO WRITE A LETTER TO THE B.O.A AND HAVE IT INTO MICHAEL FUSS BY END OF AUGUST FOR SEPT 19TH BOA MEETING. OTHER THAN THAT, WE HAVE GONE AS FAR AS POLICY WILL ALLOW US.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/31/2018</td>
<td></td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>SON WILL BE BRING IN A COPY OF THE LETTER FOR THE BOA AND BRING IT IN SO WE CAN SCAN IT INTO HIS FILE AND WE CAN SEND IT TO BOA PER SANDY'S NOTES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/22/2018</td>
<td></td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>SON CAME IN AND OVER LICKED THE LETTER, DIDN'T WANT TO GIVE IT TO ME BECAUSE I TOLD HIM THE BOA DIDN'T EXIST (?) HE SAID MY OBSERVATION OF HIM NOT FEELING COMFORTABLE GIVING IT TO ME....WANTED TO GIVE TO SOMEONE ELSE SO ITOLD HIM TO GIVE IT TO BARB EVEN THOUGH I WAS GOING TO GIVE IT TO SOMEONE WHO WAS ON THE BOARD.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Creation Date</th>
<th>Close Date</th>
<th>Comment Status</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/7/2016</td>
<td>3/11/2016</td>
<td>Closed PP</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/7/2017</td>
<td>3/10/2017</td>
<td>Closed PP</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/12/2017</td>
<td>7/12/2017</td>
<td>Closed PP</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/12/2017</td>
<td>7/20/2017</td>
<td>Closed PP</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/5/2017</td>
<td>9/6/2017</td>
<td>Closed PP</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creation Date</td>
<td>Close Date</td>
<td>Comment Status</td>
<td>Comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/6/2017</td>
<td>9/7/2017</td>
<td>Closed PP</td>
<td>0.00 per kevin his pay day because of the holiday is a day late Payments: 09/07/2017 - 129.00 applied from 129.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/6/2017</td>
<td>9/14/2017</td>
<td>Closed PP</td>
<td>128.43 Payment Plan completed on 09/07/2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/6/2018</td>
<td>3/7/2018</td>
<td>Closed PP</td>
<td>115.00 redid the payment arrangement so it wont fail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/6/2018</td>
<td>3/22/2018</td>
<td>Closed PP</td>
<td>158.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/7/2018</td>
<td>3/7/2018</td>
<td>Closed PP</td>
<td>0.00 Payments: 03/07/2018 - 113.53 applied from 113.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/7/2018</td>
<td>3/23/2018</td>
<td>Closed PP</td>
<td>0.00 Payment Plan completed on 03/07/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/26/2018</td>
<td>5/10/2018</td>
<td>Closed PP</td>
<td>0.00 KEVIN Payments: 03/21/2018 - 159.63 applied from 159.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/7/2017</td>
<td>3/9/2017</td>
<td>Failed PP</td>
<td>0.00 Payment Plan completed on 05/09/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/7/2017</td>
<td>3/16/2017</td>
<td>Failed PP</td>
<td>0.00 Payment Plan failed on 03/10/2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/9/2017</td>
<td>5/9/2017</td>
<td>Failed PP</td>
<td>0.00 Payment Plan failed on 03/10/2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/9/2017</td>
<td>5/12/2017</td>
<td>Failed PP</td>
<td>0.00 Payment Plan failed on 05/15/2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creation Date</td>
<td>Close Date</td>
<td>Comment Status</td>
<td>Comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/14/2017</td>
<td>9/14/2017</td>
<td>Failed PP</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Payment Plan failed on 09/15/2017 SON KEVIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/14/2017</td>
<td>9/25/2017</td>
<td>Failed PP</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Payment Plan failed on 09/15/2017 KEVIN TOLD HIM TO PAY 8.43 TO PREVENT SHUT OFF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/9/2018</td>
<td>7/20/2018</td>
<td>Failed PP</td>
<td>189.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Payment Plan failed on 07/26/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/28/2018</td>
<td>9/14/2018</td>
<td>Pmt Plan</td>
<td>337.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CUSTOMER SUBMITTED LETTER TO BOA; PUT IN PAYMENT ARRANGEMENT SO ACCT DOES NOT GET SHUT OFF WHILE WAITING FOR DECISION FROM BOA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Comments: 35
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account Number</th>
<th>Read Date</th>
<th>Reading</th>
<th>Consumption</th>
<th>Reading Period/Year</th>
<th>New Billed</th>
<th>Estimated</th>
<th>Order Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>125099-001</td>
<td>11/6/2018</td>
<td>168,079.00</td>
<td>2,851.00</td>
<td>11/2018</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125099-001</td>
<td>9/10/2018</td>
<td>165,228.00</td>
<td>3,902.00</td>
<td>09/2018</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125099-001</td>
<td>7/5/2018</td>
<td>161,326.00</td>
<td>7,737.00</td>
<td>07/2018</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125099-001</td>
<td>5/3/2018</td>
<td>153,589.00</td>
<td>9,563.00</td>
<td>05/2018</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125099-001</td>
<td>3/2/2018</td>
<td>144,026.00</td>
<td>7,803.00</td>
<td>03/2018</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125099-001</td>
<td>1/2/2018</td>
<td>136,223.00</td>
<td>6,070.00</td>
<td>01/2018</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125099-001</td>
<td>11/3/2017</td>
<td>130,153.00</td>
<td>4,540.00</td>
<td>11/2017</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125099-001</td>
<td>9/8/2017</td>
<td>125,613.00</td>
<td>4,738.00</td>
<td>09/2017</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125099-001</td>
<td>7/14/2017</td>
<td>120,875.00</td>
<td>5,652.00</td>
<td>07/2017</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125099-001</td>
<td>5/5/2017</td>
<td>115,223.00</td>
<td>3,827.00</td>
<td>05/2017</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125099-001</td>
<td>317/2017</td>
<td>111,396.00</td>
<td>4,053.00</td>
<td>03/2017</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125099-001</td>
<td>1/10/2017</td>
<td>107,343.00</td>
<td>3,802.00</td>
<td>01/2017</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125099-001</td>
<td>11/3/2016</td>
<td>103,541.00</td>
<td>5,070.00</td>
<td>11/2016</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125099-001</td>
<td>9/9/2016</td>
<td>98,471.00</td>
<td>3,164.00</td>
<td>09/2016</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125099-001</td>
<td>7/1/2016</td>
<td>95,307.00</td>
<td>2,519.00</td>
<td>07/2016</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125099-001</td>
<td>5/5/2016</td>
<td>92,788.00</td>
<td>2,503.00</td>
<td>05/2016</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125099-001</td>
<td>3/3/2016</td>
<td>90,285.00</td>
<td>3,494.00</td>
<td>03/2016</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125099-001</td>
<td>117/2016</td>
<td>86,791.00</td>
<td>4,598.00</td>
<td>01/2016</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125099-001</td>
<td>11/2/2015</td>
<td>82,193.00</td>
<td>2,737.00</td>
<td>11/2015</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125099-001</td>
<td>9/4/2015</td>
<td>78,122.00</td>
<td>2,039.00</td>
<td>09/2015</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125099-001</td>
<td>7/20/2015</td>
<td>75,365.00</td>
<td>2,039.00</td>
<td>07/2015</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DATE: December 6, 2018

TO: Board of Appraisers

FROM: Daniel Badger, P.E., City Engineer

SUBJECT: Epic Shine Carwash Sewer Bill Adjustment

- The Epic Shine Carwash was constructed at 128 East Hawaii Avenue in 2017.
- The owner, Dave Conley, recognizing that some water from the carwash does not make it to the sanitary sewer system from evaporation, or leaving the facility on the vehicles, undertook a study to determine what percentage of water makes it to the sewer.
- The study included installing a sewer meter at the Epic Shine Carwash in Meridian and comparing the data of water consumption from the water meter and sewer discharge.
- The results of the study are attached (see Exhibit A) and show an average decrease from the water into the facility to the water discharged to the sanitary sewer of 13%.
- The owner has requested a reduction of his sewer bills for the Nampa carwash to reflect the 13% reduction in discharge to the City’s sanitary sewer system.
- The Engineering Division has reviewed the data and recommends approval of the request.

Request: BOA decision and recommendation to City Council to reduce the sanitary sewer bill for Epic Shine Carwash at 128 East Hawaii Avenue by 13%.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Suez Water Meter</th>
<th>CCF Conversion to Gallons</th>
<th>Sewer Meter</th>
<th>Gallons discharged into Eagle sewer</th>
<th>Evaporation Rate</th>
<th>Epic Shine Master Control Center - Cars Washed</th>
<th>Total Gallons</th>
<th>Net Gallons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Centum Cubic Feet</td>
<td>748.052</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>First Day</td>
<td>Last Day</td>
<td>CCF Used</td>
<td>Total Gallons</td>
<td>Frist Day</td>
<td>Last Day</td>
<td>Total Gallons</td>
<td>First Day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>16,984</td>
<td>17,924</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>702,944.5</td>
<td>316,782</td>
<td>948,278</td>
<td>631,496</td>
<td>10.16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>17,924</td>
<td>18,753</td>
<td>829</td>
<td>620,060.3</td>
<td>948,278</td>
<td>1,485,383</td>
<td>537,105</td>
<td>13.38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>18,753</td>
<td>19,652</td>
<td>899</td>
<td>672,723.2</td>
<td>1,485,383</td>
<td>2,051,411</td>
<td>566,028</td>
<td>15.86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>19,652</td>
<td>20,643</td>
<td>991</td>
<td>741,319.5</td>
<td>2,051,411</td>
<td>2,639,997</td>
<td>588,586</td>
<td>20.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>20,643</td>
<td>21,469</td>
<td>826</td>
<td>617,891.0</td>
<td>2,639,997</td>
<td>3,180,134</td>
<td>540,137</td>
<td>12.58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>21,469</td>
<td>22,133</td>
<td>664</td>
<td>496,706.5</td>
<td>3,180,134</td>
<td>3,615,611</td>
<td>435,477</td>
<td>12.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>22,130</td>
<td>22,601</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>352,332.5</td>
<td>3,615,611</td>
<td>3,916,802</td>
<td>301,191</td>
<td>14.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>22,601</td>
<td>23,233</td>
<td>632</td>
<td>472,768.9</td>
<td>3,916,802</td>
<td>4,370,133</td>
<td>453,331</td>
<td>4.11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>23,233</td>
<td>24,040</td>
<td>807</td>
<td>603,678.0</td>
<td>4,370,133</td>
<td>4,843,875</td>
<td>473,742</td>
<td>21.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>24,040</td>
<td>24,887</td>
<td>847</td>
<td>633,600.0</td>
<td>4,843,875</td>
<td>5,440,870</td>
<td>596,995</td>
<td>5.78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 12 Months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5,914,024.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5,124,088.0</td>
<td>13.08%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MEMORANDUM

Date: December 4, 2108
To: Board of Appraisers
Fr: Deborah Spille, City Treasurer
Re: Proposed updates to the Utility Rebilling Policy

The Utility Rebilling Policy is attached. Proposed updates are noted including the removal of references to rebilling underbilled accounts when the circumstance for underbilling are the result of a city error. Correcting and refunding overbilled customer accounts has been retained.
City of Nampa Utility Billing
401 3rd St. South
Nampa, ID 83651
(208) 468-5711

Policy No. 029-10004-2010
Page 1 of 1

Effective Date January 28, 2010

Policy

Description – A rebilling policy that addresses the time period of rebilling, circumstances for rebilling, refunds and additional payments.

1. Errors in Preparation – Malfunctions – Failure to Bill: Whenever the billing for utility service was not accurately determined for reasons such as a meter malfunctioned or failed, bills were estimated, metering equipment was incorrectly installed, programmed or calibrated, bills were inaccurately prepared, or the utility failed to bill for service, the utility shall prepare a corrected billing immediately correct the customer account.

2. Rebilling Time Period: When it is determined that a malfunction, or error or failure to bill has occurred and has resulted in the customer being overcharged, undercharged or unbilled, the utility shall rebill the customer for a period not to exceed two (2) years from the time of the malfunction, or error or failure to bill.

3. Refunds: The utility shall promptly prepare a corrected billing indicating the refund due to the customer and issue a credit on the customer’s account. When the credit amount exceeds a billing period’s normal billed amount for said customer, the customer shall be notified and may request a refund of the amount in excess of one period’s billing.

4. Additional Payments: The utility shall promptly prepare a corrected billing for a customer who has been undercharged or unbilled indicating the amount owed to the utility. An unbilled or undercharged customer shall be given the opportunity to make payment arrangements on the amount not to exceed a six (6) month repayment period.

Source: Public Utilities Commission, Rules 203 and 204
DATE: December 6, 2018  
TO: Board of Appraisers  
FROM: Daniel Badger, P.E.  
SUBJECT: Equivalent Dwelling Unit (EDU) and Strength Class Guide for Wastewater and Water User Classifications  

- The City assesses domestic water and wastewater connection fees based on the volume of water anticipated to be generated and the waste strength.  
- The City adopted Resolution 5-2015 (see Attachment 1) on February 2, 2015, that set the usage rates by business type.  
- At the request of building permit applicants, staff has completed usage studies of the following business types:  
  - Bars (w/out dining facilities) (see Attachment 2)  
    - Change from 30 gallons per day (gpd) per seat to 50 gpd per 1000 sf  
  - Beauty Salons (see Attachment 3)  
    - Change from 150 gpd per chair to 65 gpd per chair  
- In addition, staff have added single family dwellings to the table at the rate of 1 EDU and strength class of SE2.  
- Engineering Division staff has reviewed the data and recommends the aforementioned changes.  

REQUEST: BOA decision and recommendation to City Council to adopt proposed changes to the Equivalent Dwelling Unit (EDU) and Strength Class Guide for Wastewater and Water User Classifications, effective March 1, 2019 (see Attachment 4).
RESOLUTION NO. 5-2015

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NAMPA, CANYON COUNTY, IDAHO, IMPLEMENTING INCREASES IN THE SERVICE FEES CHARGED BY THE CITY OF NAMPA FOR WASTEWATER RATES AND USER FEES, AND ADOPTION OF WASTEWATER EDU GUIDE.

WHEREAS, the City of Nampa is a duly-formed municipal corporation of the State of Idaho and operates a wastewater treatment facility and system providing wastewater services to system users; and

WHEREAS, the City of Nampa Board of Appraisers, as constituted under Nampa City Code 3-1-1 and 8-2-4, has reviewed the proposed increases to wastewater rates and user fees and has recommended approval by the City Council of the proposed increases; and

WHEREAS, the City of Nampa Board of Appraisers, as constituted under Nampa City Code 3-1-1 and 8-2-4, has reviewed the proposed Wastewater EDU Guide and has recommended adoption by the City Council as proposed; and

WHEREAS, the City Council deems it necessary, reasonable, and in the best interest of the City, to increase certain service fees charged by the City of Nampa for wastewater services and adjust those service fees as needed; and

WHEREAS, the City Council deems it necessary, reasonable, and in the best interest of the City to adopt the Wastewater EDU Guide for determining user classification for wastewater services; and

WHEREAS, the City Council adopted Resolution 1-2015 on January 20, 2015, this resolution is intended to ratify that resolution and clarify the effective date for changes involving existing customers whose SE classification assignment has been affected thereby.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NAMPA, IDAHO:

Section 1. The City of Nampa, Canyon County, Idaho, does hereby implement the wastewater fee increases as described in EXHIBIT A, attached hereto and, by this reference, incorporated herein as if set forth in full, effective April 1, 2015.
Section 2. The City of Nampa, Canyon County, Idaho, does hereby implement the Wastewater EDU Guide for determining user classification for wastewater services as described in EXHIBIT B, attached hereto and, by this reference, incorporated herein as if set forth in full, effective February 1, 2015. For those existing customers affected by a decrease in SE classification assignments, said change shall be made effective February 1, 2015. For those existing customers affected by an increase in SE classification assignments, said change shall be made effective April 1, 2015.


APPROVED BY THE MAYOR OF THE CITY OF NAMPA, IDAHO, THIS 2ND DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2015.

Approved:

Mayor Robert L. Henry

Attest:

City Clerk or Deputy
**City of Nampa**

**Fee Change Request Form**

**Department** Public Works Department - EXHIBIT A

**Effective Date** 04/01/2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trans Code</th>
<th>New Fee?</th>
<th>Description - WASTEWATER Rates</th>
<th>Current Revenue</th>
<th>Proposed Revenue</th>
<th>Percent Change*</th>
<th>Est Annual Dollar Incr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>User Fees</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No Sewer Only, bi-monthly rate</td>
<td>$14.48</td>
<td>$15.19</td>
<td>4.90%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SE1 No Waste Strength BOD mg/l (0000-0200)</td>
<td>$1.85</td>
<td>$1.94</td>
<td>4.90%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SE2 No Waste Strength BOD mg/l (0200-0400)</td>
<td>$2.30</td>
<td>$2.41</td>
<td>4.90%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SE3 No Waste Strength BOD/mg/l (0400-0600)</td>
<td>$2.97</td>
<td>$3.12</td>
<td>4.90%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SE4 No Waste Strength BOD/mg/l (0600-0800)</td>
<td>$3.49</td>
<td>$3.66</td>
<td>4.90%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SE5 No Waste Strength BOD/mg/l (0800-1000)</td>
<td>$4.31</td>
<td>$4.52</td>
<td>4.90%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SE6 No Waste Strength BOD/mg/l (1000-1500)</td>
<td>$5.10</td>
<td>$5.35</td>
<td>4.90%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SE7 No Waste Strength BOD/mg/l (1500-2000)</td>
<td>$6.18</td>
<td>$6.48</td>
<td>4.90%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Other Fees</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No Birch Service Area Latecomer Fee</td>
<td>$636.00</td>
<td>$636.00</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No Septic Haulers (per 1000 gal - full load only)</td>
<td>$135.00</td>
<td>$135.00</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No Septic Hauler License Fee (first truck)</td>
<td>$13.00</td>
<td>$13.00</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No Septic Hauler License Fee (each additional truck)</td>
<td>$13.00</td>
<td>$13.00</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Industrial Rates</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No Industrial Flow (per million gallons)</td>
<td>$2,264.05</td>
<td>$2,374.99</td>
<td>4.90%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No Industrial BOD (per pound)</td>
<td>$0.198</td>
<td>$0.21</td>
<td>4.90%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No Industrial TSS (per pound)</td>
<td>$0.160</td>
<td>$0.17</td>
<td>4.90%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No Industrial TKN (per pound)</td>
<td>$1.384</td>
<td>$1.45</td>
<td>4.90%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No Industrial TP (per pound)</td>
<td>$0.146</td>
<td>$0.15</td>
<td>4.90%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Description - WASTEWATER Hook-up Fees</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Hook-up Fees (non-industrial)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SE1 No Waste Strength BOD mg/l (0000-0200) per EDU°</td>
<td>$1,236.00</td>
<td>$852.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,088.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SE2 No Waste Strength BOD mg/l (0200-0400) per EDU°</td>
<td>$1,236.00</td>
<td>$1,652.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,888.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SE3 No Waste Strength BOD/mg/l (0400-0600) per EDU°</td>
<td>$1,236.00</td>
<td>$2,118.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,354.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SE4 No Waste Strength BOD/mg/l (0600-0800) per EDU°</td>
<td>$1,236.00</td>
<td>$2,585.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,821.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SE5 No Waste Strength BOD/mg/l (0800-1000) per EDU°</td>
<td>$1,236.00</td>
<td>$3,848.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,084.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SE6 No Waste Strength BOD/mg/l (1000-1500) per EDU°</td>
<td>$1,236.00</td>
<td>$4,664.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,900.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SE7 No Waste Strength BOD/mg/l (1500-2000) per EDU°</td>
<td>$1,236.00</td>
<td>$5,829.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$7,065.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* If an individual fee is increased more than 5.0%, or if the proposed fee is new, public notice and a public hearing is required.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description - WASTEWATER Industrial Capacity Optimization Fee</th>
<th>Current Revenue</th>
<th>Proposed Revenue</th>
<th>Percent Change*</th>
<th>Est Annual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Industrial Flow (per million gal. of unused capacity)</td>
<td>$ 444.67</td>
<td>$ 444.67</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Industrial BOD (per pound of unused capacity)</td>
<td>$ 0.044</td>
<td>$ 0.044</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Industrial TSS (per pound of unused capacity)</td>
<td>$ 0.036</td>
<td>$ 0.036</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Industrial TKN (per pound of unused capacity)</td>
<td>$ 0.329</td>
<td>$ 0.329</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Industrial TP (per pound of unused capacity)</td>
<td>$ 0.076</td>
<td>$ 0.076</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Refer to attached 'Wastewater EDU Guide' for standard commercial customer EDU assumptions

Comments on Competitiveness of New Rate

Reasons why Fee Change is Needed and What New Funding will be Used for

Funds additional capital requirements for Phase I Upgrades.

* If an individual fee is increased more than 5.0%, or if the proposed fee is new, public notice and a public hearing is required.
### WASTEWATER EDU GUIDE

**City of Nampa Equivalent Dwelling Units**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Type</th>
<th>Strength Class</th>
<th>Usage</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bakery (Wholesale)</td>
<td>SE6</td>
<td>100 gpd</td>
<td>per gross 1,000 s.f.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bars (w/out Dining Facilities)</td>
<td>SE4</td>
<td>30 gpd</td>
<td>per seat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beauty Salon</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>150 gpd</td>
<td>per chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car Wash</td>
<td>SE1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Individual evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EDUs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Laundry</td>
<td>SE3</td>
<td>500 gpd</td>
<td>per machine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daycare Center</td>
<td>SE3</td>
<td>2.5 gpd</td>
<td>per person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental/Medical Clinics</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>125 gpd</td>
<td>per practitioner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Service Gas Stations</td>
<td>SE1</td>
<td>250 gpd</td>
<td>per fueling position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garages</td>
<td>SE1</td>
<td>60 gpd</td>
<td>per bay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gym/Workout Facilities</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>100 gpd</td>
<td>per 1,000 gross s.f.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitals &amp; Convalescent Centers</td>
<td>SE3</td>
<td>200 gpd</td>
<td>per bed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotels/Motels/Clubs</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>50 gpd</td>
<td>room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Laundromat</td>
<td>SE6</td>
<td>500 gpd</td>
<td>per machine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory (Pharmacies)</td>
<td>SE1</td>
<td>40 gpd</td>
<td>per 1,000 gross s.f.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laundromat</td>
<td>SE1</td>
<td>500 gpd</td>
<td>per washing machine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market/Store (w/ Garbage Grinder)</td>
<td>SE4</td>
<td>50 gpd</td>
<td>per 1,000 gross s.f.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-family Housing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duplex</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>EDUs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triplex</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>EDUs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-plex or Larger</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EDU per unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Building</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>50 gpd</td>
<td>per 1,000 gross s.f.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restaurants (drive in)</td>
<td>SE4</td>
<td>30 gpd</td>
<td>per seat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restaurants (sit down)</td>
<td>SE4</td>
<td>30 gpd</td>
<td>per seat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail &amp; Department Stores</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Retail (&lt;30,000 sq. ft.)</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EDU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large Retail (&gt;30,000 sq. ft.)</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>10 gpd</td>
<td>per 1,000 gross s.f.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools/Colleges/Universities</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>10 gpd</td>
<td>per person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Dormitories</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>40 gpd</td>
<td>per room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theaters</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>5 gpd</td>
<td>per seat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>3 gpd</td>
<td>per 1,000 gross s.f.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ATTACHMENT 2
Bars (w/out dining facilities)
1918 Bar
Read Date

Reading

Petes

49,562.00 02/2017

1,116.00

182,596.00 03/2017

2,503.00

3/2/2017

356.00 03/2017

887,901.00

1/4/2017

48,446.00 01/2017

1,805.00

1/4/2017

180,093.00 01/2017

2,899.00

1/4/2017

112,455.00 01/2017

1,653.00

10/28/2016

46,641.00 11/2016

1,989.00

10/28/2016

177,194.00 11/2016

4,544.00

10/28/2016

110,802.00 11/2016

1,694.00

8/29/2016

44,652.00 08/2016

2,191.00

8/29/2016

172,650.00 08/2016

3,161.00

8/29/2016

109,108.00 08/2016

2,623.00

6/30/2016

42,461.00 07/2016

1,869.00

6/23/2016

169,489.00 07/2016

2,487.00

6/23/2016

106,485.00 07/2016

1,618.00

4/22/2016

40,592.00 05/2016

2,491.00

4/22/2016

167,002.00 05/2016

2,293.00

4/22/2016

104,867.00 05/2016

1,107.00

2/29/2016

38,101.00 03/2016

597.00

2/29/2016

164,709.00 03/2016

2,605.00

2/29/2016

103,760.00 03/2016

1,442.00

2/9/2016

37,504.00 03/2016

935.00

12/30/2015

162,104.00 01/2016

2,708.00

12/30/2015

102,318.00 01/2016

1,617.00

2/9/2016

37,504.00 02/2016

0.00

10/26/2015

159,396.00 10/2015

3,657.00

10/26/2015

100,701.00 10/2015

1,673.00

12/30/2015

36,569.00 01/2016

1,395.00

2,162.00

8/26/2015

Reading PerioConsumption Read Date

155,739.00 08/2015

2,717.00

Reading

Tiny's
2/16/2017

3/3/2017

8/26/2015

Reading PerioConsumption

10/26/2015

35,174.00 10/2015

1,278.00

6/26/2015

153,022.00 06/2015

5,519.00

6/26/2015

96,866.00 06/2015

1,676.00

8/26/2015

33,896.00 08/2015

1,107.00

4/22/2015

147,503.00 04/2015

2,422.00

4/22/2015

95,190.00 04/2015

99,028.00 08/2015

1,665.00

6/26/2015

32,789.00 06/2015

1,233.00

2/26/2015

145,081.00 03/2015

3,019.00

2/26/2015

93,525.00 03/2015

2,919.00

4/22/2015

31,556.00 04/2015

1,230.00

12/23/2014

142,062.00 12/2014

5,505.00

12/22/2014

90,606.00 12/2014

2,721.00

2/26/2015

30,326.00 03/2015

1,263.00

10/22/2014

136,557.00 10/2014

2,763.00

10/22/2014

87,885.00 10/2014

2,071.00

12/23/2014

29,063.00 12/2014

1,285.00

8/25/2014

133,794.00 09/2014

3,096.00

8/25/2014

85,814.00 09/2014

3,383.00

10/22/2014

27,778.00 10/2014

901.00

6/26/2014

130,698.00 07/2014

4,470.00

6/26/2014

82,431.00 07/2014

4,127.00

8/27/2014

26,877.00 09/2014

937.00

4/23/2014

126,228.00 04/2014

3,960.00

4/23/2014

78,304.00 04/2014

2,486.00

6/26/2014

25,940.00 07/2014

986.00

2/21/2014

122,268.00 02/2014

4,692.00

2/21/2014

75,818.00 02/2014

1,921.00

4/23/2014

24,954.00 04/2014

1,114.00

12/26/2013

117,576.00 01/2014

3,375.00

12/27/2013

73,897.00 01/2014

2,092.00

2/21/2014

23,840.00 02/2014

862.00

10/25/2013

114,201.00 10/2013

2,748.00

10/25/2013

71,805.00 10/2013

1,724.00

1/3/2014

22,978.00 01/2014

1,263.00

9/6/2013

111,453.00 09/2013

3,562.00

9/3/2013

70,081.00 09/2013

2,845.00

10/28/2013

21,715.00 10/2013

815.00

7/1/2013

107,891.00 07/2013

3,753.00

6/25/2013

67,236.00 07/2013

2,175.00

9/6/2013

20,900.00 09/2013

1,006.00

4/25/2013

104,138.00 04/2013

3,730.00

4/25/2013

65,061.00 04/2013

1,830.00

7/1/2013

19,894.00 07/2013

1,109.00

3/2/2013

100,408.00 03/2013

9,541.00

3/2/2013

63,231.00 03/2013

1,829.00

4/25/2013

18,785.00 04/2013

997.00

1/4/2013

90,867.00 01/2013

3,734.00

1/4/2013

61,402.00 01/2013

2,247.00

3/4/2013

17,788.00 03/2013

1,240.00

10/25/2012

87,133.00 11/2012

4,580.00

10/25/2012

59,155.00 11/2012

2,004.00

1/4/2013

16,548.00 01/2013

1,374.00

8/28/2012

82,553.00 08/2012

3,641.00

8/28/2012

57,151.00 08/2012

2,937.00

10/25/2012

15,174.00 11/2012

895.00

6/28/2012

78,912.00 07/2012

2,895.00

6/28/2012

54,214.00 07/2012

1,534.00

8/29/2012

14,279.00 08/2012

1,084.00

5/10/2012

76,017.00 05/2012

4,280.00

5/10/2012

52,680.00 05/2012

2,144.00

6/28/2012

13,195.00 07/2012

927.00

2/29/2012

71,737.00 03/2012

6,085.00

2/29/2012

50,536.00 03/2012

1,766.00

5/10/2012

12,268.00 05/2012

1,657.00
1,312.00

1/5/2012

65,652.00 01/2012

4,556.00

1/5/2012

48,770.00 01/2012

2,261.00

2/29/2012

10,611.00 03/2012

10/27/2011

61,096.00 11/2011

2,961.00

10/27/2011

46,509.00 11/2011

2,386.00

1/5/2012

9,299.00 01/2012

1,834.00

8/24/2011

58,135.00 08/2011

4,202.00

8/24/2011

44,123.00 08/2011

2,404.00

10/27/2011

7,465.00 11/2011

1,255.00

6/27/2011

53,933.00 06/2011

2,977.00

6/27/2011

41,719.00 06/2011

2,098.00

8/24/2011

6,210.00 08/2011

1,059.00

4/26/2011

50,956.00 05/2011

3,714.00

4/26/2011

39,621.00 05/2011

2,257.00

6/27/2011

5,151.00 06/2011

1,269.00

2/18/2011

47,242.00 02/2011

3,462.00

2/18/2011

37,364.00 02/2011

2,438.00

4/26/2011

3,882.00 05/2011

1,481.00

12/21/2010

43,780.00 12/2010

2,939.00

12/21/2010

34,926.00 12/2010

2,257.00

2/22/2011

2,401.00 02/2011

1,572.00

10/25/2010

40,841.00 10/2010

2,449.00

10/25/2010

32,669.00 10/2010

2,281.00

12/21/2010

829.00 12/2010

828.98

9/1/2010

38,392.00 08/2010

2,502.00

9/1/2010

30,388.00 08/2010

2,586.00

11/18/2010

0.02 01/2011

0.00

7/8/2010

35,890.00 06/2010

3,185.00

7/8/2010

27,802.00 06/2010

2,096.00

5/10/2010

32,705.00 05/2010

3,771.00

5/10/2010

25,706.00 05/2010

2,498.00

3/5/2010

28,934.00 03/2010

2,760.00

3/5/2010

23,208.00 03/2010

2,852.00

1/12/2010

26,174.00 01/2010

2,954.00

1/12/2010

20,356.00 01/2010

2,334.00

11/9/2009

23,220.00 11/2009

2,577.00

11/9/2009

18,022.00 11/2009

9/10/2009

20,643.00 09/2009

2,933.00

9/10/2009

15,410.00 09/2009

3,199.00

7/7/2009

17,710.00 07/2009

3,377.00

7/6/2009

12,211.00 07/2009

3,066.00

5/3/2009

14,333.00 05/2009

3,120.00

5/3/2009

9,145.00 05/2009

2,422.00

3/3/2009

11,213.00 03/2009

3,373.00

3/3/2009

6,723.00 03/2009

2,163.00

1/3/2009

7,840.00 01/2009

5,830.00

1/3/2009

4,560.00 01/2009

2,550.00

11/3/2008

2,010.00 11/2008

2,000.00

11/3/2008

2,010.00 11/2008

2,000.00

9/3/2008

10.00 09/2008

4,710.00

9/3/2008

10.00 09/2008

3,060.00

7/3/2008

526,880.00 07/2008

1,130.00

7/3/2008

191,070.00 07/2008

2,020.00

5/3/2008

525,750.00 05/2008

2,960.00

5/3/2008

189,050.00 05/2008

1,940.00

3/3/2008

522,790.00 03/2008

2,220.00

3/3/2008

187,110.00 03/2008

1,980.00

1/3/2008

520,570.00 01/2008

3,270.00

1/3/2008

185,130.00 01/2008

2,340.00


517,300.00 11/2007

2,860.00


182,790.00 11/2007

2,840.00


514,440.00 09/2007

4,480.00


179,950.00 09/2007

5,000.00

7/3/2007

509,960.00 07/2007

3,810.00

7/3/2007

174,950.00 07/2007

4,910.00

5/3/2007

506,150.00 05/2007

2,860.00

5/3/2007

170,040.00 05/2007

3,110.00


503,290.00 03/2007

2,730.00


166,930.00 03/2007

2,050.00

1/3/2007

500,560.00 01/2007

2,540.00

1/3/2007

164,880.00 01/2007

2,130.00

11/3/2006

498,020.00 11/2006

3,130.00

11/3/2006

162,750.00 11/2006

2,320.00

2,612.00

9/3/2006

494,890.00 09/2006

4,330.00

9/3/2006

160,430.00 09/2006

3,110.00

7/3/2006

490,560.00 07/2006

3,840.00

7/3/2006

157,320.00 07/2006

2,670.00

5/3/2006

486,720.00 05/2006

680.00

5/3/2006

154,650.00 05/2006

3,790.00

4/24/2006

486,040.00 04/2006

0.00


150,860.00 03/2006

2,500.00

1/3/2006

148,360.00 01/2006

2,510.00

11/3/2005

145,850.00 11/2005

2,380.00

9/3/2005

143,470.00 09/2005

4,040.00

7/3/2005

139,430.00 07/2005

3,080.00

5/3/2005

136,350.00 05/2005

2,680.00

3/3/2005

133,670.00 03/2005

2,640.00

1/3/2005

131,030.00 01/2005

3,390.00

11/3/2004

127,640.00 11/2004

3,540.00

Consumption Period

3966 Days

Consumption Period

4441 Days

Consumption Period

2206 Days

Consumption

228,136.00 gal

Consumption

1,066,836.00 gal

Consumption

48,445.98 gal

gal/Day

57.522945 gal/day

gal/Day

240.2242738 gal/day

gal/Day

21.96101 gal/day

Square Footage

1554 sf

Square Footage

2250 sf

Square Footage

1440 sf

gal/day/sf

0.037 gal/day/sf

gal/day/sf

0.107 gal/day/sf

gal/day/sf

0.015 gal/day/sf

Average gal/day/sf
Average gal/day/1000 sf

0.05
50


### Beauty Salons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Razor Rays</th>
<th></th>
<th>Canthas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Read Date</td>
<td>Consumption</td>
<td>Units</td>
<td>Read Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/24/2014</td>
<td>2400 CF</td>
<td></td>
<td>2/24/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/6/2014</td>
<td>3358 CF</td>
<td></td>
<td>12/26/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/25/2013</td>
<td>1714 CF</td>
<td></td>
<td>10/23/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/3/2013</td>
<td>2423 CF</td>
<td></td>
<td>8/26/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/25/2013</td>
<td>3475 CF</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/26/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/29/2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4/24/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days</td>
<td>364</td>
<td></td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Consumption</td>
<td>119381 gal</td>
<td></td>
<td>116740 gal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average daily consumption</td>
<td>328 gal/day</td>
<td></td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Seats</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gal/Day/Seat</td>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average Gal/Day/Seat 65
## City of Nampa EDU (Equivalent Dwelling Unit) and Strength Class Guide

**for Wastewater and Water User Classifications**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Type</th>
<th>Strength Class</th>
<th>Usage</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bakery (Wholesale)</td>
<td>SE6</td>
<td>100 gpd</td>
<td>per gross 1,000 s.f.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bars (w/out Dining Facilities)</td>
<td>SE4</td>
<td>50 gpd</td>
<td>per gross 1,000 s.f.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beauty Salon</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>65 gpd</td>
<td>per chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car Wash</td>
<td>SE1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Individual evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EDU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Laundry</td>
<td>SE3</td>
<td>500 gpd</td>
<td>per machine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daycare Center</td>
<td>SE3</td>
<td>2.5 gpd</td>
<td>per person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental/Medical Clinics</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>125 gpd</td>
<td>per practitioner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Service Gas Stations</td>
<td>SE1</td>
<td>250 gpd</td>
<td>per fueling position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garages</td>
<td>SE1</td>
<td>60 gpd</td>
<td>per bay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gym/Workout Facilities</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>100 gpd</td>
<td>per 1,000 gross s.f.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitals &amp; Convalescent Centers</td>
<td>SE3</td>
<td>200 gpd</td>
<td>per bed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotels/Motels/Clubs</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>50 gpd</td>
<td>room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Laundromat</td>
<td>SE6</td>
<td>500 gpd</td>
<td>per machine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory (Pharmacies)</td>
<td>SE1</td>
<td>40 gpd</td>
<td>per 1,000 gross s.f.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laundromat</td>
<td>SE1</td>
<td>500 gpd</td>
<td>per washing machine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market/Store (w/ Garbage Grinder)</td>
<td>SE4</td>
<td>50 gpd</td>
<td>per 1,000 gross s.f.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-family Housing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duplex</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>EDUs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triplex</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>EDUs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-plex or Larger</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EDU per unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Building</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>50 gpd</td>
<td>per 1,000 gross s.f.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restaurants (drive in)</td>
<td>SE4</td>
<td>30 gpd</td>
<td>per seat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restaurants (sit down)</td>
<td>SE4</td>
<td>30 gpd</td>
<td>per seat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail &amp; Department Stores</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Retail (&lt;30,000 sq. ft.)</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EDU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large Retail (&gt;30,000 sq. ft.)</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>10 gpd</td>
<td>per 1,000 gross s.f.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools/Colleges/Universities</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>10 gpd</td>
<td>per person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Family Residential</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EDU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Dormitories</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>40 gpd</td>
<td>per room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theaters</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>5 gpd</td>
<td>per seat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>3 gpd</td>
<td>per 1,000 gross s.f.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes
- **EDU (Equivalent Dwelling Unit)**
- **Usage** is measured in gallons per day (gpd).
2019 Recommended Irrigation Rates Increase

- In 2018 the Board of Appraisers (BOA) adopted an ENR increase of 3.3% for irrigation rates

- At the time, revenue forecasts were in-line with future expense forecasts and a larger rate increase was not needed. Since that time there have been changes in the forecast that have prompted staff to investigate the need for a higher increase for fiscal year 2019
  - It was discovered that several irrigation customers were being charged more than they should have been, resulting in reimbursements of $160,910.80
  - It is forecasted that construction costs could increase up to 20% due to new tariffs on steel and other materials

- The question for the BOA is how to move forward in 2019. Staff proposes the following options:
  - A. Take no increase.
  - B. ENR\(^1\) (Engineering News-Record) increase of 2.88% (average increase from November 1, 2017, thru September 30, 2018 (see Exhibits A and A.1)
  - C. Adopt an increase of 4.95%, effective March 1, 2019, with an anticipated additional request of 4.95%, effective March 1, 2020 (see Exhibit B and B.1)
  - D. Adopt a 10% increase, effective March 1, 2019, to bring forecasted revenues and fund balance to anticipated need (see Exhibit C and C.1)

- Staff recommends an increase of 4.95%, effective March 1, 2019

REQUEST: BOA decision and recommendation to City Council for 2019 Irrigation Rates Increase.

Footnote #1: ENR Construction Cost Index is a common construction index for comparing pricing over time. The index uses labor, concrete, steel and other construction materials.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trans Code</th>
<th>New Fee?</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Current Revenue</th>
<th>Proposed Revenue</th>
<th>Percent Change*</th>
<th>Est Annual Dollar Incr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Residential Irrigation Base Charge</td>
<td>$15.33</td>
<td>$15.77</td>
<td>2.88%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Residential Irrigation Tier 1</td>
<td>$0.010819</td>
<td>$0.011131</td>
<td>2.88%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Residential Irrigation Tier 2</td>
<td>$0.000794</td>
<td>$0.000817</td>
<td>2.88%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Residential Irrigation No Benefit Base Charge</td>
<td>$15.33</td>
<td>$15.77</td>
<td>2.88%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Residential Irrigation No Benefit Tier 1</td>
<td>$0.002704</td>
<td>$0.002782</td>
<td>2.88%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Residential Irrigation No Benefit Tier 2</td>
<td>$0.000198</td>
<td>$0.000204</td>
<td>2.88%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Residential Irrigation Base Charge</td>
<td>$15.33</td>
<td>$15.77</td>
<td>2.88%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Residential Irrigation Tier 1</td>
<td>$0.017276</td>
<td>$0.017774</td>
<td>2.88%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Residential Irrigation Tier 2</td>
<td>$0.001267</td>
<td>$0.001303</td>
<td>2.88%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Residential Irrigation No Benefit Base Charge</td>
<td>$15.33</td>
<td>$15.77</td>
<td>2.88%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Residential Irrigation No Benefit Tier 1</td>
<td>$0.004319</td>
<td>$0.004443</td>
<td>2.88%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Residential Irrigation No Benefit Tier 2</td>
<td>$0.000317</td>
<td>$0.0003262</td>
<td>2.88%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Comments

Tier 1 = Total lot square footage under 21,780  
Tier 2 = Total lot square footage over 21,780

### Comments on Competitiveness of New Rate

Increase based on ENR (Engineering News-Record) 11.01.17 - 09.30.18 2.88% Index.

### Reasons why Fee Change is Needed and What New Funding will be Used for

Rate increase needed for operations and maintenance.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3,852,623.15</td>
<td>$4,725,408.68</td>
<td>$4,184,112.00</td>
<td>$4,794,302.60</td>
<td>$5,027,379.45</td>
<td>$5,260,982.52</td>
<td>$5,596,461.94</td>
<td>$5,964,299.32</td>
<td>$6,128,622.13</td>
<td>$6,422,846.77</td>
<td>$6,723,612.75</td>
<td>$7,058,667.82</td>
<td>$7,491,738.84</td>
<td>$7,810,451.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2,828,167.15</td>
<td>$1,807,445.00</td>
<td>$3,363,777.00</td>
<td>$8,058,724.64</td>
<td>$5,322,772.51</td>
<td>$5,782,082.66</td>
<td>$6,676,904.48</td>
<td>$6,352,877.12</td>
<td>$6,064,034.69</td>
<td>$7,089,532.86</td>
<td>$7,219,071.99</td>
<td>$7,514,960.51</td>
<td>$7,822,278.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund Balance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1,024,455.91</td>
<td>$2,937,663.68</td>
<td>$1,810,335.00</td>
<td>$2,536,342.05</td>
<td>$1,667,608.34</td>
<td>$1,149,580.26</td>
<td>$527,527.86</td>
<td>$1,157,202.15</td>
<td>$1,025,087.27</td>
<td>$1,069,313.81</td>
<td>$1,078,042.25</td>
<td>$1,043,466.92</td>
<td>$1,008,173.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net, Fund Balance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Projected Rate Inc.:

- FY 2016: 3.30%
- FY 2017: 2.88%
- FY 2018: 2.50%
- FY 2019: 2.50%
- FY 2020: 2.50%
- FY 2021: 2.50%
- FY 2022: 2.50%
- FY 2023: 2.50%
- FY 2024: 2.50%
- FY 2025: 2.50%
- FY 2026: 2.50%
- FY 2027: 2.50%
- FY 2028: 2.50%
- FY 2029: 2.50%
# City of Nampa
## Fee Change Request Form

**Department** | Public Works - Water - Irrigation Rates  
**Effective Date** | 03/01/2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trans Code</th>
<th>New Fee?</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Current Revenue</th>
<th>Proposed Revenue</th>
<th>Percent Change*</th>
<th>Est Annual Dollar Incr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Residential Irrigation Base Charge</td>
<td></td>
<td>$15.33</td>
<td>$16.09</td>
<td>4.95%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Residential Irrigation Tier 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.010819</td>
<td>$0.011355</td>
<td>4.95%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Residential Irrigation Tier 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.000794</td>
<td>$0.000833</td>
<td>4.95%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Residential Irrigation No Benefit Base Charge</td>
<td></td>
<td>$15.33</td>
<td>$16.09</td>
<td>4.95%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Residential Irrigation No Benefit Tier 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.002704</td>
<td>$0.002838</td>
<td>4.95%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Residential Irrigation No Benefit Tier 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.000198</td>
<td>$0.000208</td>
<td>4.95%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Non-Residential Irrigation Base Charge</td>
<td></td>
<td>$15.33</td>
<td>$16.09</td>
<td>4.95%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Non-Residential Irrigation Tier 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.017276</td>
<td>$0.018131</td>
<td>4.95%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Non-Residential Irrigation Tier 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.001267</td>
<td>$0.001330</td>
<td>4.95%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Non-Residential Irrigation No Benefit Base Charge</td>
<td></td>
<td>$15.33</td>
<td>$16.09</td>
<td>4.95%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Non-Residential Irrigation No Benefit Tier 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.004319</td>
<td>$0.004533</td>
<td>4.95%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Non-Residential Irrigation No Benefit Tier 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.000317</td>
<td>$0.000332</td>
<td>4.95%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Comments

- **Tier 1** = Total lot square footage under 21,780  
- **Tier 2** = Total lot square footage over 21,780

### Comments on Competitiveness of New Rate

Increase based on 4.95% increase.

### Reasons why Fee Change is Needed and What New Funding will be Used for

Rate increase needed for operations and maintenance.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenue</td>
<td>$3,802,323.15</td>
<td>$4,125,498.58</td>
<td>$4,381,112.06</td>
<td>$4,794,303.00</td>
<td>$5,208,195.13</td>
<td>$5,531,459.06</td>
<td>$5,856,914.80</td>
<td>$6,180,289.11</td>
<td>$6,493,801.15</td>
<td>$6,802,672.13</td>
<td>$7,131,760.38</td>
<td>$7,477,513.59</td>
<td>$7,843,730.61</td>
<td>$8,221,692.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses</td>
<td>$2,828,283.57</td>
<td>$3,490,829.00</td>
<td>$3,294,154.10</td>
<td>$3,029,744.48</td>
<td>$2,742,082.48</td>
<td>$2,484,962.48</td>
<td>$2,256,712.88</td>
<td>$2,092,428.61</td>
<td>$1,925,952.24</td>
<td>$1,733,986.72</td>
<td>$1,575,948.24</td>
<td>$1,419,471.97</td>
<td>$1,290,367.06</td>
<td>$1,175,710.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund Balance</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min. Fund Balance</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Projected Rates: 3.30%, 4.95%, 4.95%, 4.00%, 3.50%, 3.50%, 2.50%, 2.50%, 2.50%, 2.50%, 2.50%, 2.50%, 2.50%
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trans Code</th>
<th>New Fee?</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Current Revenue</th>
<th>Proposed Revenue</th>
<th>Percent Change*</th>
<th>Est Annual Dollar Incr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Residential Irrigation Base Charge</td>
<td>$15.33</td>
<td>$16.86</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Residential Irrigation Tier 1</td>
<td>$0.010819</td>
<td>$0.011901</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Residential Irrigation Tier 2</td>
<td>$0.000794</td>
<td>$0.000873</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Residential Irrigation No Benefit Base Charge</td>
<td>$15.33</td>
<td>$16.86</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Residential Irrigation No Benefit Tier 1</td>
<td>$0.002704</td>
<td>$0.002974</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Residential Irrigation No Benefit Tier 2</td>
<td>$0.000198</td>
<td>$0.000218</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-Residential Irrigation Base Charge</td>
<td>$15.33</td>
<td>$16.86</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-Residential Irrigation Tier 1</td>
<td>$0.017276</td>
<td>$0.019004</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-Residential Irrigation Tier 2</td>
<td>$0.001267</td>
<td>$0.001394</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-Residential Irrigation No Benefit Base Charge</td>
<td>$15.33</td>
<td>$16.86</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-Residential Irrigation No Benefit Tier 1</td>
<td>$0.004319</td>
<td>$0.004751</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-Residential Irrigation No Benefit Tier 2</td>
<td>$0.000317</td>
<td>$0.0003488</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments**

Tier 1 = Total lot square footage under 21,780  
Tier 2 = Total lot square footage over 21,780

**Comments on Competitiveness of New Rate**

Increase based 10% increase.

**Reasons why Fee Change is Needed and What New Funding will be Used for**

Rate increase needed for operations and maintenance.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenue</td>
<td>$3,662,023.15</td>
<td>$4,125,408.58</td>
<td>$4,164,112.00</td>
<td>$4,704,283.00</td>
<td>$5,343,860.30</td>
<td>$5,928,544.48</td>
<td>$5,808,671.24</td>
<td>$6,183,793.32</td>
<td>$6,953,079.02</td>
<td>$6,797,101.19</td>
<td>$7,126,576.89</td>
<td>$7,472,273.12</td>
<td>$7,854,985.12</td>
<td>$8,219,598.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenditure</td>
<td>$2,628,147.15</td>
<td>$1,827,443.00</td>
<td>$5,285,777.98</td>
<td>$6,058,712.64</td>
<td>$5,927,772.51</td>
<td>$5,782,063.88</td>
<td>$6,028,604.48</td>
<td>$6,532,072.12</td>
<td>$6,794,091.79</td>
<td>$6,864,034.96</td>
<td>$7,089,332.88</td>
<td>$7,325,171.88</td>
<td>$7,574,890.81</td>
<td>$7,982,278.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund Balance</td>
<td>$2,034,276.07</td>
<td>$5,405,820.08</td>
<td>$4,294,154.16</td>
<td>$3,029,744.48</td>
<td>$2,850,621.15</td>
<td>$2,715,082.65</td>
<td>$2,534,599.72</td>
<td>$2,365,386.02</td>
<td>$2,094,298.65</td>
<td>$1,907,382.59</td>
<td>$2,634,499.62</td>
<td>$2,177,910.88</td>
<td>$2,437,005.49</td>
<td>$2,770,888.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min. Fund Balance</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,537,500.00</td>
<td>$1,575,000.00</td>
<td>$1,612,500.00</td>
<td>$1,650,000.00</td>
<td>$1,687,500.00</td>
<td>$1,725,000.00</td>
<td>$1,762,500.00</td>
<td>$1,800,000.00</td>
<td>$1,837,500.00</td>
<td>$1,875,000.00</td>
<td>$1,912,500.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Projected Rate Inc.:
- **3.30%**
- **10.00%**
- **3.00%**
- **3.00%**
- **2.50%**
- **2.50%**
- **2.50%**
- **2.50%**
- **2.50%**
2019 Recommended Domestic and Irrigation Water Miscellaneous Fees Increase

- The 2015 Cost of Service Study (COS) established new annual rates and fees for domestic and irrigation water

- On March 1, 2016, new irrigation rates and fees methodology went into effect

- The 2016 domestic and irrigation water miscellaneous fees increase was significant. To avoid additional significant miscellaneous fees increase in the future, staff believes adjusting fees annually by an index is appropriate. Staff proposes the following index options for 2019:
  A. ENR\(^1\) (Engineering News-Record) increase of 2.88% (average increase from November 1, 2017 thru September 30, 2018).
  B. No Increase.

- Staff recommends the ENR increase of 2.88%, for domestic and irrigation water miscellaneous fees, effective March 1, 2019, to slow rate increase projection (see Exhibit A)

REQUEST: BOA decision and recommendation to City Council for 2019 Domestic and Irrigation Water Miscellaneous Fees Increase, effective March 1, 2019.

Footnote #1: ENR Construction Cost Index is a common construction index for comparing pricing over time. The index uses labor, concrete, steel and other construction materials.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trans Code</th>
<th>New Fee</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Current Revenue</th>
<th>Proposed Revenue</th>
<th>Percent Change</th>
<th>Est Annual Dollar Incr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>After Hours Fee</td>
<td>$41.00</td>
<td>$42.00</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Past Due Fee</td>
<td>$41.00</td>
<td>$42.00</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Tampered Meter Box</td>
<td>$51.00</td>
<td>$52.00</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Damaged Meter</td>
<td>$103 + Parts</td>
<td>$106 + Parts</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Domestic Service Charge</td>
<td>$31.00</td>
<td>$32.00</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Irrigation Service Charge</td>
<td>$31.00</td>
<td>$32.00</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Monthly Budget Billing - Inside City</td>
<td>1/2 Inside City Bi-monthly Base Charge</td>
<td>1/2 Inside City Bi-monthly Base Charge</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Monthly Budget Billing - Outside City</td>
<td>1/2 Outside City Bi-monthly Base Charge</td>
<td>1/2 Outside City Bi-monthly Base Charge</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>M&amp;M Subdivision - Fixed Charge</td>
<td>$70.00</td>
<td>$72.00</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1&quot; Service Riser</td>
<td>$77.00</td>
<td>$79.00</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Service Line Boring</td>
<td>Pass Through Cost</td>
<td>Pass Through Cost</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Main Line Construction (per ft)</td>
<td>Pass Through Cost</td>
<td>Pass Through Cost</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Curb Stop Removal</td>
<td>$103.00</td>
<td>$106.00</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1&quot; Meter Connection Fee</td>
<td>$463.00</td>
<td>$479.00</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1.5&quot; Meter Connection Fee</td>
<td>$679.00</td>
<td>$699.00</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2&quot; Meter Connection Fee</td>
<td>$865.00</td>
<td>$899.00</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1&quot; Domestic Service Line Fee</td>
<td>$1,495.00</td>
<td>$1,538.00</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1.5&quot; Domestic Service Line Fee</td>
<td>$1,876.00</td>
<td>$1,930.00</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2&quot; Domestic Service Line Fee</td>
<td>$2,405.00</td>
<td>$2,474.00</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1&quot; Irrigation Service Line Fee</td>
<td>$1,664.00</td>
<td>$1,712.00</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1.5&quot; Irrigation Service Line Fee</td>
<td>$1,748.00</td>
<td>$1,798.00</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2&quot; Irrigation Service Line Fee</td>
<td>$1,832.00</td>
<td>$1,885.00</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments on Competitiveness of New Rate

Increase based on ENR (Engineering News Record) 11.01.17 - 09.30.18 2.88% average increase.

Reasons why Fee Change is Needed and What New Funding will Be Used for

Fees increase needed for operations and maintenance.
2019 Recommended Irrigation Water Hookup Fee Increase

- The 2018 irrigation water hookup fees increased by the ENR Index rate. To avoid additional significant hookup fee increases in the future, staff believes adjusting fees annually by an index is appropriate. Staff proposes the following index options for 2019:
  A. ENR¹ (Engineering News-Record) increase of 2.88% (average increase from November 1, 2017 thru September 30, 2018).
  B. No Increase.

- Staff recommends the ENR increase of 2.88%, for irrigation water hookup fees, effective March 1, 2019 (see Exhibit A)

REQUEST: BOA decision and recommendation to City Council for 2019 Irrigation Water Hookup Fee Increase, effective March 1, 2019.

Footnote #1: ENR Construction Cost Index is a common construction index for comparing pricing over time. The index uses labor, concrete, steel and other construction materials.
## Department
**Public Works - Water - Irrigation Hookup Fees**

### Effective Date
**03/01/2019**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trans Code</th>
<th>New Fee?</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Current Revenue</th>
<th>Proposed Revenue</th>
<th>Percent Change</th>
<th>Est Annual Dollar Incr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Hookup Fee - Irrigation (per SCE*)</td>
<td>$537.00</td>
<td>$553.00</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Comments on Competitiveness of New Rate
Increase based on ENR (Engineering News-Record) 11.01.17 - 09.30.18 2.88% Index with fees rounded to whole dollars.

### Reasons why Fee Change is Needed and What New Funding will be Used for
Fees increase needed for operations, maintenance, and systematic replacement of irrigation infrastructure to provide continuous and reliable utility services. Hookup fees shall apply to all new connections, and change of use for existing connections on or after March 1, 2018.

### Service Capacity Equivalent (SCE) Fee Information
#### Service Capacity Equivalent (SCE) Basis
- SCE Factors based on American Water Works Association (AWWA) maximum continuous flow data
- Fee applied to AWWA meter factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Line Size</th>
<th>AWWA Factors</th>
<th>Fee Schedule</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1&quot;</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>$553.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5&quot;</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>$1,106.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2&quot;</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>$1,769.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3&quot;</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>$3,539.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4&quot;</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>$5,530.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6&quot;</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>$11,060.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8&quot;</td>
<td>32.00</td>
<td>$17,695.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mayor Kling called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.

Clerk made note that Councilmembers Rodriguez, Bruner, Hogaboam, Levi, Haverfield, Skaug were present.

❖ (1) Consent Agenda (Action Items) ❖

MOVED by Levi and SECONDED by Haverfield to approve the Consent Agenda as presented; Regular Council Minutes of December 3, 2018; Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee Minutes; Board of Appraisers Minutes; Airport Commission Minutes of November 13, 2018; Planning & Zoning Commission Minutes of November 27, 2018; Library Commission Minutes; bills paid; The City Council dispenses with the three (3) reading rule of Idaho Code § 50-902 for all ordinances; final and preliminary plat approvals: 1) Meriwether Park Subdivision No. 3 on the east side of Meriwether Park No. 2 and approximately 2,500 feet east of Franklin Boulevard on Toussand Drive in an RS-7 (Single Family Residential – 7,000 sq ft minimum lot size) zoning district for Corey Barton, dba Challenger Development, Inc.;

Authorize Public Hearings: 1) Update to the Capital Improvement Plan/Impact Fees for: Police, Fire, Parks and Streets. Recommendation to the City Council from the Planning and Zoning Commission regarding adoption of the Capital Improvement Plan update as an amendment to the Nampa Comprehensive plan per IC 67-6509; 2) Fee changes for Parks. Recreation, Recreation Center, golf and Cemetery; Authorize to Proceed with Bidding Process: 1) Irrigation Water Quality FY-19 project; 2) Locust Lane Irrigation well house and components project; Authorization for execution of Contracts and Agreements: 1) Authorize Mayor to Sign Landlord’s Consent, Included as EXHIBIT B in the Security Agreement and Assignment of Leases and Rents between Teton Leasing, LLC and Idaho Central Credit Union, for Lot 2150 at Nampa Municipal Airport (Reviewed and Approved by Legal Counsel); 2) Authorize Mayor to Sign Lessor’s Consent, Estoppel Certificate and Agreement with U.S. Bank for Lot 0830 at the Nampa Municipal Airport (Reviewed and Approved by Legal Counsel); Monthly Cash Report: 1) November 2018; 2) Quarter 4 FY18 Investment Report; Resolutions: 1) Disposition of Police Property; 2) Disposition of Wastewater Property; 3) Disposition of Waterworks Property; 4) Disposition of Surplus Property for Facilities; License for 2018: 1) Pawnbroker – Ken’s Loan and Jewelry, 608 12th Avenue South; 2) Pawnbroker – Pawn 1, 724 12th Avenue South; 3) Pawnbroker – Little Antique/Max Cash Pawn, 319 12th Avenue South; 4) Alcohol – 2C Family Brewing Company, 1215 1st Street South; Miscellaneous Items: 1) None. Mayor Kling asked for a roll call vote with all Councilmembers present voting YES. Mayor Kling declared the MOTION CARRIED

❖ (2) Proclamation ❖

Item #2-1 - None

❖ Mayor Kling asked if there was any Nampa Residents wishing to speak on any agenda item were (5 persons limit): ❖

• None
Mayor Kling asked if there was any Nampa Residents wishing to speak on any item that was not on the agenda (5 persons limit):

- Curtis Homer – thanked the council for their support for the Rodeo Board
- Kenton Lee – 216 12th Avenue North – opposition to the panhandling signs
- Nate Roskan, 217 South Powerline Road – opposition to the Panhandling signs and would like to have a committee to help with the wording on the signs

Councilmember Skaug, Councilmember Hogaboam, and Councilmember Rodriguez made comments and asked questions.

- Margi Potter, 403 12th Avenue South, Salvation Army – Panhandling signs, bell ringing for Police ($1,488.87); Fire ($1,260.82); Nampa High School Cheerleaders ($478.92); Columbia Highschool Cheerleaders ($334.24); Skyview Highschool Cheerleaders ($312.78); Rotary ($2,250.75); TV Kawanis ($777.57); Women’s Century Club ($1,483.30); Exchange Club ($784.73); Nampa Kawanis right now ($140.35) their buckets were super heavy yesterday. Christmas dinner is being served at Family Shelter Christmas day 5:00 p.m.

Mayor Kling’s and Council Comments

- Councilmember Skaug – In future if we give 3 minutes, we probably should not go into discussion
- Councilmember Levi – David’s Hope a memorial put out at Kohlerlawn - given the first Hope award last month and have a baseball with Cody Allen signature
- Councilmember Hogaboam – Merry Christmas
- Mayor Kling said that we have a very giving community

Agency/External Communications

- None

(3) Staff Communications

Item #3-1. – Public Works Director Tom Points presented a staff report to update the council on current projects as follows:

Street Division Snow Removal Update – The first snowfall of the season was experienced the evening of Saturday, December 1. In anticipation of the pending storm, Street Division crews began winter maintenance activities on Friday, November 30, by applying Magnesium Chloride (MgC12) and salt. The following highlights labor hours and material expenditures:
Wastewater Upgrades – Recycled Water Program – In February 2018, City Council adopted the Nampa Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) Facility Plan which recommended the development of a recycled water program to meet regulatory requirements, optimize the use of Nampa’s water resources, and promote economic development. The recycled water program delivers a high-quality water resource to the local community for agricultural, irrigation, and industrial uses, while meeting Nampa’s future growth and wastewater regulatory requirements.

The Nampa WWTP will be upgraded to produce Class A recycled water as part of the Phase II/III Upgrades. During the summer months, the Class A recycled water will be discharged to the Phyllis Canal. Phase II completes the WWTP future growth components and enables production of 2 million gallons per day of recycled water for industrial customers. Phase III completes the WWTP
Development of the recycled water program requires a reuse permit issued by the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality (IDEQ). This permit will govern the quality of the water discharged to the Phyllis Canal. The Phyllis Canal discharge addresses regulatory requirements for meeting temperature limits in Indian Creek and provides a man-made waterway for conveyance of irrigation water. The Wastewater Program Management Team (WPMT) have been working with the Pioneer Irrigation District and IDEQ to develop this permit application. The city’s intent in these discussions has been to match the quality of the recycled water with its intended use. To this end, key points of the application include:

- Standard requirements for Class A Recycled Water
- No temperature limits for discharge to Phyllis Canal
- Phosphorus limits to support the operations of Phyllis Canal, but not less than 0.35 mg/L
- Total nitrogen limits of 30 mg/L
- Compliance point established at the end of the distribution pipe to Phyllis Canal

A meeting is scheduled with IDEQ in mid-December for a final review of the draft permit. Staff anticipates submitting the formal application in early 2019.

✦ (4) Unfinished Business ✦

Item #4-1. – None

✦ (5) Pending Ordinances (Postponed Due to Lack of Supporting Documentation) ✦

5-1. 1st reading of ordinance for Annexation and Zoning to Light Industrial at 58 and 0 N. Kings Rd. for construction of Storage Units (A combined 3.87 acre or 168,577 sq. ft. portion of the South Half of the NW ¼ of the SW ¼ of Section 24, T3N, R2W, BM) for Cody Lane-Trek Investment Group (PH was 9-17-2018)

5-2. 1st reading of ordinance for Annexation and Zoning to RS 7 (Single Family Residential - 7,000 sq. ft.) for Carriage Hill West Subdivision east of Midway Rd. between W. Iowa Ave. and Lake Lowell Ave. (A 122.38-acre portion of the NW 1/4 of Section 31, T3N, R2W, BM - 368 Single Family Residential lots or 3.01 lots/gross acre) for Engineering Solutions, LLP representing Toll ID I LLC (PH was 11-05-2018)

5-3. Modification of Annexation and Zoning Development Agreement between Constantine LLC and the City of Nampa for property at 2305 E. Victory Rd. amending: a) condition 2 regarding dedication of right-of-way, b) condition 3 regarding fencing along the North Nampa Lateral, c) condition 4 subsections c, g, and k regarding building design standards, d) incorporating an additional 1.5 acres into the development, and e) substituting a new preliminary plat design which includes the original acreage and an additional acreage (A
4.07-acre portion of the E ½ of the NW ¼ of the NW ¼ of the NE ¼ Section 26, T3N, R2W, B.M.), Annexation and Zoning to RD (Two-Family Residential) at 0 E. Victory Rd. (A 1.5-acre or 65,296 sq. ft. portion of the East ½ of the NW ¼ of the NW ¼ of the NE ¼ of Section 26, T3N, R2W, BM) for Riley Planning Services representing Getty Capital of Idaho (DAMO 025-18, ANN 103-18) (PH was 11-19-2018)

(6) New Business

Item #6-1. - Mayor Kling presented the request for reappointment of Rick Bugatsch as chair of the Nampa Housing Authority.

MOVED by Haverfield and SECONDED by Hogaboam to approve the reappointment of Rick Bugatsch as chair of the Nampa Housing Authority. The Mayor asked all in favor say aye with all Councilmembers present voting AYE. The Mayor declared the MOTION CARRIED

Item #6-2. - Mayor Kling presented the request for appointment of Rebecca White to the Nampa Library Board.

Library Director Clair Connley asked council to appoint Rebecca White as the next liaison. I want to thank the Mayor for allowing the Library and the Library Board to be involved in this that was very important to us and it turned out well. We had 5 applicants, one of them did not return a call for an interview, so we interviewed 4. They were wonderful Nampa citizens, they loved the library, they talked about all sorts of events. Rebecca really stood out, she has grown up in Nampa she was born here, the library is really important to here, the old library she went to all of the time. She has two children a sixth grader and a first grader, so she is right in the middle of all of the educational stuff and she knows how important it is. She gets libraries. That is why she is a perfect fit.

Rebecca White said that she is honored to join the Library Board. I grew up in Nampa such a important part of my history being a part of the library, the educational activities, the literacy involvement, I have moved on to become and educator myself and working in technology and education technology. I am really excited and honored to join a great board and director.

MOVED by Bruner and SECONDED by Rodriguez to approve the appointment of Rebecca White to the Nampa Library Board. The Mayor asked all in favor say aye with all Councilmembers present voting AYE. The Mayor declared the MOTION CARRIED

Item #6-3. - Mayor Kling presented the request for the Police Department for US Night Vision and funding options.
Captain Brad Daniels presented a staff report explaining that this memorandum is intended as a request to award bid to US Night Vision and authorize Mayor to sign lease purchase agreement with Community Leasing Partners.

Over the last two years, the Nampa Police Department has been conducting research into the use of night vision equipment for its Special Operations Group as well as Patrol division. There is a tremendous advantage in both safety and tactics with the use of night vision equipment during low to no light conditions. Starting in January of 2017 the police department began evaluating suppliers of night vision equipment to determine the best equipment to fit the needs of our mission. At least 12 different companies were evaluated in this process over the nearly two-year period. Over the last few months the department selected and reviewed two different local companies that can supply the necessary equipment and were able to offer a bid for the equipment. This equipment is very specialized and only produced by a few companies nationally and only one in this area. To satisfy the need for timely maintenance and repair we selected only companies in proximity to the City of Nampa. The second company was a dealer who offered the equipment and maintenance but at a much higher price point. A third local dealer was consulted but ultimately could not provide the necessary equipment. US Night Vision, a company who has their headquarters in the City of Nampa, was selected based on their price point, customer service, and quality of equipment.

This is a lease purchase from US Night Vision and Community Leasing Partners will carry the lease. City legal has reviewed and signed off on the agreement which is attached. The term of the lease is five years with the option to cancel the lease if funding is not secured on an annual basis. The DHS grant we currently share with CCSO will cover year one, $20,000, of the total purchase enabling us to coordinate the balance due through the normal budget process. The second year of the lease/purchase will be included in the FY2020 general government budgeting process and then each year thereafter until the lease has been fulfilled. The equipment has a useful lifespan of more than 10 years with normal wear. This is the newest generation of night vision equipment and prior generations were operational for over two decades. Maintenance of the equipment will be conducted directly through US Night Vision through their local office here in Nampa.

Night Vision Options

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community Leasing Partners (Kansas City Bank)</th>
<th>City Fund Balance (City Budget)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>US Night Vision</strong> 22-night vision devices, mounts, 24 IR laser designators total cost $99,370</td>
<td><strong>US Night Vision</strong> 22-night vision devices, mounts, 24 IR laser designators total cost $99,370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-year lease to own contract 4.44%. ($20,000 down payment from Homeland Security) 4 payments of $21,435.15 for a total of $105,740.60</td>
<td>$20,000 from Homeland Security. $79,370 from City Budget.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeland Security Funds $20,000 (Paid to US Night Vision) as the down payment and next payment of $21,435.60 would be on the second year followed by 3 more payments each year.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total $105,740.60</td>
<td>Total $99,370</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Regular Council  
December 17, 2018  

City Attorney Mark Hilty explained as you know that my office has reviewed and approved what are called non-appropriation leases for the city on a couple of occasions, most recently with respect to the purchase of the fire truck. What I want to report to council is our preference that need to be funded through some kind of allocation through fund balance paid back by the police department over time. That is not a debt, the non-appropriation funding leases likewise are not a debt and the current state of the Idaho law is that provided that the lease can be cancelled in any fiscal year by a decision not to appropriate funds to pay the lease price, that also the court has told us it’s not a debt.

My colleagues in the Idaho Municipal Attorney Association, many of them take a much more conservative view than my office does, fearing that the court may revisit this issue, there is currently one case on point, the facts of that case are a little bit odd. It had to with development in downtown Boise where there were multiple millions of dollars at issue and it was very vigorously contested but there is a sense that this area of the law may still be in flux. We are comfortable with what we have told you so far and we are comfortable with community leasing partners, we have worked with Mt West Bank on their leasing program and certainly there is promise there. There is a word of caution and if there is another way to do this particularly for something of this size, we would urge council to carefully consider that.

Councilmembers made comments and asked questions.

MOVED by Hogaboam and SECONDED by Rodriguez to authorize the $79,370 to come out of our current reserves and addition to the $20,000 from Home Land Security be clear for the total of $99,370 that is provided in our packet to purchase 22 night vision devices, 24 IR laser designators. The Mayor asked for a roll call vote with all Councilmembers present voting YES. The Mayor declared the

MOTION CARRIED

Item #6-4. – Mayor Kling presented the request for approval of beer, wine, and liquor license for Mesa Downtown LLC, 1213 1st Street South.

Economic Development Assistant Director Robyn Sellers presented a staff report explaining that Mesa Downtown LLC is seeking approval of City Council for an Alcohol License for their location at 1213 1st Street South. Mesa Downtown LLC has met all permitting requirements as regulated by the Idaho State Police, Alcoholic Beverage Control and Canyon County. As required by State Statute 23-913 this location is “not within 300 feet of any public school, church, or any other place of worship.” Mesa Downtown has invested a significant amount of funds to restore a historic building in downtown Nampa. The owners have demonstrated they are committed to being a great community partner and enhancing the downtown area. Economic Development Department supports the approval of Mesa Downtown LLC Alcohol License.
MOVED by Skaug and SECONDED by Haverfield to approve the beer, wine and liquor license for Mesa Downtown LLC at 1213 1st Street South. The Mayor asked for a roll call vote with all Councilmembers present voting YES. The Mayor declared the MOTION CARRIED

Item #6-5. - Mayor Kling presented the request to authorize the Mayor and Public Works Director to sign task order with T-O Engineers, Inc. to provide design, survey and engineer of record services for the Aerial Sewer Replacement FY-19 project.

Tom Points presented a staff report explaining that each year as part of the City's Asset Management program the Wastewater Division identifies sanitary sewer lines and infrastructure that need rehabilitation or replacement.

In 2017 (FY17) the city had to perform an emergency repair on an aerial sewer crossing at Broadmore Ave to stop sewage discharge into Indian Creek. The emergency repair highlighted the need for the city to be more proactive in replacing failing aerial sewer crossings.

The Wastewater Division identified five (5) aerial sanitary sewer crossings in need of replacement (Exhibit A).

The aerial crossings will be designed in FY19 and construction in FY20 to coincide with the irrigation offseason. Constructing the project during the irrigation offseason will reduce the need for bypass pumping and improve constructability.

T-O Engineers, Inc. was selected by interview to design the project and assist with easement acquisition, bidding and construction.

The Aerial Sewer Replacements FY19 project has an approved FY19 Wastewater Division budget of $684,850.

T-O Engineers, Inc. has provided a Scope of Work and Labor Estimate to provide design, survey and engineer of record services for $49,210. (Exhibit B).

MOVED by Bruner and SECONDED by Haverfield to authorize the Mayor and Public Works Director to sign task order with T-O Engineers, Inc. to provide design, survey and engineer of record services for the Aerial Sewer replacements FY19 project in the amount of $49,210 time and materials not to exceed. The Mayor asked for a roll call vote with all Councilmembers present voting YES. The Mayor declared the MOTION CARRIED
Item #6-6. - Mayor Kling presented the request to authorize the Mayor and Public Works Director to sign Task Order and Contract for Professional Services between the City of Nampa and Parametrix, Inc. for the Zone F Annual Pipeline Replacement project.

Tom Points presented a staff report explaining that the Engineering Division, as part of the Public Works Asset Management Program, identified the following deteriorated or undersized water lines to be replaced in asset management zone F. (see Exhibit A)

The project will address known issues identified within the Water Master Plan as well as undersized water main identified by the City of Nampa Waterworks Division. In total the design will replace approximately 5,800 feet of water main and appurtenances.

The project will be designed in house in FY19 and construction is anticipated for FY20.

Engineering will need consultant services to obtain survey and CAD support for the design. Engineering requested professional services from Parametrix, Inc. to provide survey and CAD support.

Parametrix, Inc. submitted a scope of work (Exhibit B) in the amount of $33,210.00

By city staff completing the design of these improvements the estimated savings to the city are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated consultant design costs</th>
<th>$ 153,736.00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actual consultant costs</td>
<td>$ (33,210.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total estimated savings</td>
<td>$ 120,526.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Engineering Division has reviewed the Scope of Work and Labor Estimate and recommends approval.

MOVED by Hogaboam and SECONDED by Bruner to authorize the Mayor and Public Works Director to sign task order and contract for Professional Services between the City of Nampa and Parametrix, Inc. for the Zone F Annual Pipeline Replacement project in the amount $33,210.00, time and materials not to exceed. The Mayor asked for a roll call vote with all Councilmembers present voting YES. The Mayor declared the

MOTION CARRIED

Item #6-7. - Mayor Kling presented the request to authorize the Mayor and Public Works Director to sign Task Order and Contract for Professional Services between the City of Nampa and Mountain Waterworks for the FY18 Water Improvement Project – 11th Ave/2nd St & 11th Ave/4th St.
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Tom Points presented a staff report explaining that the Engineering Division, as part of the Public Works Asset Management Program, identified the following deteriorated water lines to be replaced in 11th Avenue North (see Vicinity Map - Exhibit A):

1. 8" water line in 2nd Street North crossing 11th Avenue North  
2. 6" water line in 4th Street North crossing 11th Avenue North

The improvements, identified by the City Water Department, will replace the deteriorated pipe and appurtenances. These pipes are in danger of immediate breakage and one had an emergency repair completed in the summer of 2018.

The project will install approximately 820 linear feet of 6" and 8" pipe using pipe bursting along with new valves and hydrants.

Engineering interviewed three consultants for professional services. Mountain Waterworks scored highest based on their utility design experience, understanding of the existing site conditions, desire to innovate (for efficiency and cost savings), and public involvement strategies.

Mountain Waterworks submitted a scope of work (Exhibit B) in the amount of $27,360.00. The estimated project costs are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Design consulting (FY19 Water funds)</td>
<td>$27,360.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Construction Inspection</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Construction Cost</td>
<td>$111,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total estimated cost</td>
<td>$153,360.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Project design and construction can be funded with savings from in-house design of Zone F Annual Pipeline Replacement and FYI 9 (water enterprise budget contingency).

Engineering Division has reviewed the Scope of Work and Labor Estimate and recommends approval.

**MOVED** by Haverfield and **SECONDED** by Bruner to **authorize** the **Mayor** and **Public Works Director** to **authorize** the **new project** and to **sign task order** and **contract** for **Professional Services** between the City of Nampa and Mountain Waterworks for the FY18 Water Improvement Project – 11th Ave/2nd Street and 11th Avenue /4th Street in the amount of $27,360.00 time and materials not to exceed. The Mayor asked for a roll call vote with all Councilmembers present voting **YES**. The Mayor declared the **MOTION CARRIED**
<p>Regular Council<br>December 17, 2018</p><p>❖ (8) Public Hearings ❖</p><p><strong>Item #8-1. - Mayor Kling opened a public hearing for annexation and zoning to RS-6 at 2724 Seminole Drive for Alan and Karen Wheatley for connection to city sewer services.</strong></p><p>Alan Wheatley, 2724 Seminole Drive presented the request.</p><p>Planning and Zoning Director Norm Holm presented the following staff report explaining that the request is for annexation and zoning to RS-6 (Single Family Residential – 6,000 sq. ft.) for the purpose to connect the property 2724 Seminole Drive. The applicants Alan and Karen Wheatley indicated that the property will continue to be their residence.</p><p><strong>General Information</strong></p><p><strong>Planning and Zoning Commission Recommendation:</strong> Approval subject to the below specified conditions. <strong>Planning & Zoning History:</strong> The applicant’s septic system failed. They have already connected to city sewer subject to completion of the annexation of the property into the City of Nampa. <strong>Status of Applicant:</strong> Owner and Resident. <strong>Annexation Location:</strong> 2724 Seminole Dr. (Lot 2, Block 3, Morning Dove Subdivision and an approximate .51-acre or 22,005 sq. ft. portion of the NE ¼ Section 2, T2N, R2W, BM). <strong>Proposed Zoning:</strong> RS 6 (Single Family Residential – 6,000 sq. ft.). <strong>Total Size:</strong> .51-acre or 22,005 sq. ft. <strong>Existing Zoning:</strong> County R1 (Single Family Residential). <strong>Comprehensive Plan Designation:</strong> Low Density Residential. <strong>Surrounding Land Use and Zoning:</strong> North- Single Family Residential, County- R1 then City- RS 6; South- Single Family Residential, City- RS 6 then County- R1; East- Single Family Residential, City- RS 6; West- Single Family Residential, County- R1. <strong>Applicable Regulations:</strong> In order for a property to be annexed it must be contiguous with the city limits or be enclaved by other properties so annexed. The parcel connects with the city limits at its south and east boundaries. The parcel is part of a 95-acre enclaved area. <strong>Existing Uses:</strong> Single family dwelling and outdoor yard area.</p><p><strong>Special Information</strong></p><p><strong>Public Utilities:</strong> No available water main adjacent the property. 8” sewer main along the easterly property line. 6” irrigation main along the easterly property line. <strong>Public Services:</strong> Police and fire already service city incorporated areas near the location. <strong>Physical Site Characteristics:</strong> Existing single-family residential parcel. <strong>Transportation:</strong> Access to the property is from Seminole Dr. to Bannock Ave. to the Southside Blvd. arterial. <strong>Correspondence:</strong> No correspondence has been received from any area property owners or residents either opposing or supporting the request for annexation and zoning to RS 6.</p><p><strong>Staff Findings and Discussion</strong></p><p>From a land use standpoint, the location is shown on the comprehensive plan “future land use map” as being compatible with the zoning that has been requested. If the City Council accepts the
Planning & Zoning Commission recommendation and votes to approve the requested annexation and zoning the following findings are suggested:

1) The parcel connects with the city limits at its south and east boundaries. The parcel is part of a 95-acre enclaved area.

2) The area can reasonably be assumed to be available for the orderly development of the city with the city limits having grown into the area and the adjacent lands have been annexed and developed.

3) The proposed zoning conforms with the city’s comprehensive plan future land use map for low density residential land use and is reasonably compatible with existing and proposed land uses in the area.

4) The property owner requested annexation and zoning to RS 6 to connect the property to city sewer service.

**Recommended Conditions of Approval**

Staff suggests the Commission recommend approval of the Annexation and Zoning to the City Council subject to the following engineering required conditions of approval:

1) Abandonment of the existing septic system will be accomplished under the guidelines established by Southwest District Health Department.

No one appeared in favor of or in opposition to the request.

MOVED by Bruner and SECONDED by Hogaboam to close the public hearing. Mayor Kling asked all in favor say aye with all Councilmembers present voting AYE. Mayor Kling declared the

**MOTION CARRIED**

MOVED by Skaug and SECONDED by Haverfield to approve the annexation and zoning to RS-6 at 2724 Seminole Drive for Alan and Karen Wheatley for connection to city sewer services with staff conditions and authorize the City Attorney to draw the appropriate ordinances. The Mayor asked for a roll call vote with all Councilmembers present voting YES. The Mayor declared the

**MOTION CARRIED**

**Item #8-2.** - Mayor Kling opened a public hearing for annexation and zoning to RS-6 at 2420 East Amity Avenue for Stephen Warren for connection to city water and sewer services.
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Stephen Warren, 2420 Amity Avenue presented the request.

Norm Holm presented the following staff report explaining that the request is for annexation and zoning to RS-6 (Single Family Residential – 6,000 sq. ft.) for the purpose to connect the property 2420 East Amity Avenue to city water and sewer for Stephen Warren.

General Information

Planning and Zoning Commission Recommendation: Approval subject to the below specified conditions. Planning and Zoning History: The applicant has requested annexation and zoning to connect the rural residential property to city water and sewer service. Status of Applicant: Owner. Annexation Location: 2420 E. Amity Ave. (The Easterly 137.5 feet of Lot 27 of Park Place Subdivision, situated in the SE ¼ of Section 26, T3N, R2W, BM.) Proposed Zoning: RS 6 (Single Family Residential – 6,000 sq. ft.). Total Size: Approximately .95 acre or 41,491 sq. ft. Existing Zoning: County R2 (Medium Density Residential). Comprehensive Plan Designation: Medium Density Residential. Surrounding Land Use and Zoning: North- Single Family Residential, City- RA; South- Single Family Residential, City- RS 6; East- Enclaved Rural Residential, County- R2; West- Single Family Residential, City- RA. Applicable Regulations: In order for a property to be annexed it must be contiguous with the city limits or be enclaved by other properties so annexed. The parcel connects with the city limits at its north, west, and south boundaries. The parcel is part of a 10-parcel, 13.5-acre enclaved area. Existing Uses: Rural residential parcel with single family dwelling, outbuildings, and about a .63 acre of cultivated/uncultivated area to the north.

Special Information

Public Utilities: 12” water main in E. Amity Ave. 10” sewer main in E. Amity Ave. 10” irrigation main in E. Amity Ave. Public Services: Police and fire already service city incorporated areas near the location. Physical Site Characteristics: Existing rural residential parcel with single family residence, outbuildings, and cultivated/uncultivated land. Transportation: Access to the property is from E. Amity Ave. Correspondence: No correspondence has been received from any area property owners or residents either opposing or supporting the request for annexation and zoning to RS 6.

Staff Findings and Discussion

From a land use standpoint, the location is shown on the comprehensive plan “future land use map” as being compatible with the zoning that has been requested. If the Planning & Zoning Commission recommends approval of the requested annexation and zoning the following findings are suggested:
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1) The requested annexation parcel connects with the city limits along its north, west, and south boundaries. The parcel is part of a 10-parcel 13.5-acre enclaved area.

2) The area can reasonably be assumed to be available for the orderly development of the city with the city limits having grown into the area and the adjacent lands have been annexed and developed.

3) The proposed zoning conforms with the city’s comprehensive plan future land use map for medium density residential land use and is reasonably compatible with existing and proposed land uses in the area.

4) The property owner requested annexation and zoning to RS 6 to connect the property to city water and sewer service.

**Recommended Conditions of Approval**

Staff suggests the Commission recommend approval of the Annexation and Zoning to the City Council subject to the following engineering required conditions of approval:

2) Abandonment of the existing septic system will be accomplished under the guidelines established by Southwest District Health Department.

3) Disconnection from the existing well to be verified by City Building Department Plumbing inspector with issuance and inspection of a City Building Department Plumbing permit.

No one appeared in favor of or in opposition to the request.

MOVED by Haverfield and SECONDED by Skaug to close the public hearing. Mayor Kling asked all in favor say aye with all Councilmembers present voting AYE. Mayor Kling declared the

MOTION CARRIED

MOVED by Bruner and SECONDED by Rodriguez to approve the annexation and zoning to RS-6 at 2420 East Amity Avenue for Stephen Warren for connection to city water and sewer services with staff conditions and authorize the City Attorney to draw the appropriate ordinance. The Mayor asked for a roll call vote with all Councilmembers present voting YES. The Mayor declared the

MOTION CARRIED

Item #8-3. - Mayor Kling opened a public hearing for a vacation of the right-of-way located within the Nampa Industrial Corporation First Addition Subdivision, comprising 0.683 acres,
located running north off industrial Rd, between Lot 1 on the west, Lots 6, 7, 8 Block 4 on the east and the Phyllis Canal and Parcel R311881000 to the north for NLH LLC, John Burrow, and Home Style Industries, to retain ownership of the adjacent vacated right-of-way.

Rachell Meyers representing NLH, LLC presented the request.

Randy Raptosh representing Homestyle Industries addressed the council.

Norm Holm presented the following staff report explaining that the request is for a vacation of an alley right of way parcel. The applicant Troy Jenkins/Nampa Land Holdings is requesting vacation of the alley right of way since it is no longer subdivided and all under one ownership. Each current occupant NLH LLC; John Burrow; and Home Style Industries will retain possession.

**General Information**

**Status of Applicant(s):** Owners

**Existing Zoning:** IL (Light Industrial)

**Location:** That alley right-of-way parcel located running north off Industrial Rd., between Lot 1 on the west, Lots 6, 7, 8, Block 4 on the east and the Phyllis Canal and Parcel R3118801000 to the north.

**Size of Vacation Area:** The alley right-of-way area is .683 acres or 29,751 sq. ft.

**Surrounding Land Use and Zoning:**
North- Industrial Park and Light Industrial, IP (Industrial Park) and IL (Light Industrial)
South- General Commercial and Light Industrial, BC (Community Business)
East- Light Industrial, IL (Light Industrial)
West- General Commercial, IL (Light Industrial)

**Comprehensive Plan Designation:** Light Industrial

**Applicable Regulations:** State law requires the consent of adjoining property owners. The owners along either side of the alley right-of-way parcel have provided the attached required consent.

**Description of Existing Uses:** The northeasterly .406 acres of the right-of-way vacation area is occupied and proposed to be transferred to Troy Jenkins/Nampa Land Holdings (NLH LLC, the Applicant). The westerly .219 acres of the right-of-way vacation area is proposed to be transferred to John Burrow (Canyon Marine), the owner of the property adjacent to the west. The easterly .58 acres of the right-of-way vacation area is proposed to be transferred to Randy Raptosh (Home-Style Industries, Inc.), the owner of the property adjacent to the east.
Special information

Planning & Zoning History: The proposed alley right-of-way vacation area was originally platted on the plat of Nampa Industrial Corporation First Addition as access to the properties to which ownership of the vacation area is proposed to be transferred.

Public Utilities: No city sewer or irrigation mains are located within the proposed right-of-way vacation area. A 6” water main is located within the approximately the first 128’ of the proposed 20’ wide alley vacation area north of Industrial Road.

Environmental: Approval of the vacation will have no effect on area properties.

Correspondence: As of the date of this staff report no objections have been raised by any utility companies or surrounding property owners concerning the proposed vacation. Fire, Building, and Engineering Departments have not expressed any opposition to the right-of-way vacation.

Staff Findings and Discussion

Planning staff sees no reason why the vacation of this alley right-of-way should not be granted. Approval of the vacation will allow for the transfer of the property to the adjacent owners.

Recommended Approval Conditions

If the City Council following the public hearing determines to approve the requested alley right-of-way vacation the following conditions are requested by the Engineering Division and by Idaho Power Company:
1) That a full width utility easement be retained for all existing public utilities, or owners have legal descriptions with exhibits created that blanket the existing utilities and dedicate same for the use, and maintenance.
2) As requested by Idaho Power reservation to Idaho Power the continued right to operate, maintain, repair, replace, or otherwise modify or add to their facilities within the right-of-way, including the right of ingress and egress thereto.

No one appeared in favor of or in opposition to the request.

Councilmembers asked questions.

MOVED by Haverfield and SECONDED by Hogaboam to close the public hearing. Mayor Kling asked all in favor say aye with all Councilmembers present voting AYE. Mayor Kling declared the

MOTION CARRIED
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**MOVED** by Hogaboam and **SECONDED** by Rodriguez to approve the **vacation** of the **right-of-way** located within the Nampa Industrial Corporation First Addition Subdivision, comprising 0.683 acres, located running north off industrial Rd, between Lot 1 on the west, Lots 6,7,8 Block 4 on the east and the Phyllis Canal and Parcel R311881000 to the north for NLH LLC, John Burrow, and Home Style Industries, to retain ownership of the adjacent vacated right-of-way with staff conditions and authorize the City Attorney to draw the appropriate ordinance. The Mayor asked for a roll call vote with all Councilmembers present voting **YES**. The Mayor declared the **MOTION CARRIED**.

**Item #8-4.** - Mayor Kling opened a **public hearing** for a **zoning map amendment** from **RS-6** to **BC** for the second parcel west of **11460 West Karcher Road** for **Jeremiah Jenkins**.

Jeremiah Jenkins, 11460 West Karcher Road presented the request.

Norm Holm presented the following staff report explaining that the request is for a zoning map amendment from RS-6 (single Family Residential – 6,000 sq. ft.) to BC (Community Business) for property located at Lot 2, Block 3, Crestwood Estates 1 – the second parcel west of 11460 West Karcher Road a .67 – acre (or approximate 965’ long x 34’ wide strip) part of the SW ¼ of Section 7, T3N, for Jeremiah Jenkins.

**General Information**

**Planning & Zoning Commission Recommendation:** Approval, subject to the below stated conditions of approval.

**Planning & Zoning History:** This narrow strip of land was originally and erroneously annexed and zoned RS 6 by the developer of Crestwood Estates. The subdivision survey mistakenly included the strip and was later quick claim deeded back to the owner of the parcel to the east. The applicant seeks to correct that error and zone it to BC, the same as the two adjacent parcels he owns to the east.

**Citizen Input:** Following the P&Z hearing prior to the City Council hearing phone contact was received from the manager of the Crestwood Home Owners Association expressing concern over the rezone and the fact that it was thought the lot was a common area owned by the homeowners. I explained that the applicant is shown as owner of the parcel as shown on the County GIS system. As of this date no official communications have been received from any homeowners or the association regarding opposition to the rezone.

**Proposed Land Uses:** The applicant seeks to combine the strip with the two adjacent parcels to the east for a future Nursery/Landscape business operation.
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**Surrounding Land Use and Zoning:**  
North- Elijah Drain then single family residential, RS 6 (Single Family Residential – RS 6)  
South- Commercial, BC (Community Business)  
East- Rural residential, BC  
West- Crestwood Estates subdivision, RS 6

**Comprehensive Plan Designation:** Medium Density Residential on the map with General Commercial to the east. The General Commercial designation to the east is interpreted via the adopted map note as being stretchable to include the abutting parcels in the direction of either designation. The requested zoning map amendment therefore complies with the General Commercial land use designation to the east with no requirement for a Comprehensive Plan Future Land Use Map Amendment.

**Applicable Regulations:** Rezones or zoning map amendments must be reasonably necessary, in the interest of the public, further promote the purposes of zoning, and agree with the adopted comprehensive plan for the neighborhood.

**Special Information**

**Public Utilities:**  
8” sewer main located in E. Maine Ave.  
8” water main located in E. Maine Ave.  
8” irrigation main located to the south side of E. Maine Ave.

**Public Services:** All present.  
**Transportation and Traffic:** The property has frontage on W. Karcher Rd. and will be combined with the adjacent two parcels to the east (also owned by the applicant) for eventual commercial development.

**Environmental:** The rezone would have little effect on the adjoining properties. The adjoining properties to the east and south on both sides of W. Karcher Road are already zoned BC.

**Staff Findings and Discussion**

The requested rezone is appropriate. The parcel has a General Commercial use designation on the Comprehensive Plan future land use map. The requested zoning map amendment is interpreted as complying with this designation. If the Planning Commission votes to recommend to the City Council approval of the rezone the following findings are suggested:

1) Rezone of the subject property to BC is reasonably necessary in order to allow the applicant to combine it his adjacent property to correct an error in the original RS zoning placed on the
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property and bring the zoning in conformance with the future land use he intends for the property.

2) Rezone of the subject property to BC is in the interest of the property owner and conforms to the adopted comprehensive plan designation of General Commercial use.

3) The proposed Commercial use of the subject property will be compatible with the existing and proposed commercial uses along W. Karcher Road.

4) The use of a development agreement to establish any conditions for the requested zoning amendment serves no purposes.

At the date of this memo no statements of opposition or support from any property owners, businesses, or residents in or around the area have been received.

**Recommended Condition(s) of Approval**

If the City Council accepts the Planning & Zoning Commission recommendation and votes to approve this zoning map amendment the Engineering Division requests that at the time of redevelopment of the site, and issuance of building permits, the following improvements are required, but not limited too –

1. Full frontage improvements –
   a) Curb, gutter, and sidewalk
   b) Landscaping as required
   c) Pavement widening as required
   d) Any modifications or changes to the access will be required to meet the city’s adopted Access management Policy and be approved by ITD.

2. Right of Way – W. Karcher Road is under the jurisdiction of ITD (Idaho Department of Transportation). Contact ITD for right-of-way dedication requirements for the future widening of W. Karcher Road.

No one appeared in favor of or in opposition to the request.

Councilmembers asked questions.

**MOVED** by Haverfield and **SECONDED** by Bruner to close the public hearing. Mayor Kling asked all in favor say aye with all Councilmembers present voting **AYE**. Mayor Kling declared the

**MOTION CARRIED**

**MOVED** by Rodriguez and **SECONDED** by Skaug to approve the **zoning map amendment** from RS-6 to BC for the second parcel west of 11460 West Karcher Road for Jeremiah Jenkins with
staff conditions and authorize the City Attorney to draw the appropriate ordinance. The Mayor asked for a roll call vote with all Councilmembers present voting **YES**. The Mayor declared the MOTION CARRIED

**Item #8-5.** - Mayor Kling opened a **public hearing** to **approve** and **authorize** the **submission** to **HUD** the 2017 CDBG CAPER (end year report).

Economic Development Assistant Director Robyn Sellers presented the following staff report explaining that at the end of each program year, the city must prepare a Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) to provide information to HUD and citizens about the year’s accomplishments. This information allows HUD, city officials, and the public to evaluate the city’s performance and determine whether the activities undertaken during the year helped to meet the city’s five-year goals and to address priority needs identified in the Consolidated Plan and the Annual Action Plan.

In the following documents, you will find the financial summary and project status for Program Year 2017. This project period is from October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018.

The financial summary in Chart 1 identifies the allocations that were made for PY 2017 projects, including all the amendments as of September 30, 2018. In PY 2017, the city allocated $759,294 for all projects.

In Chart 1 below, the project status is identified with all projects funded in PY 2017 and projects from previous years still open. In addition, it also identifies the expected number of beneficiaries, allocated funding, status as of the end of the program year, amount drawn for the project and any funding remaining to be drawn. In PY 2017 $355,451.60 was expended to projects funded in PY2017, not including program income retained by the Meals on Wheels Program.

Over the course of the program year a significant number of city sponsored projects were completed with the critical needs housing repairs, ADA park Improvements, and inclusive design playground. Seven old projects remain open at the end of PY 2017. During this Program Year, the city expended approximately $618,175 in CDBG for all projects in all program years. The bulk of other expenditures were for the activities under the Public Service Projects, Park projects, ADA improvements and administration of the CDBG program.
### 2017 CDBG Allocation Financial Summary - Update

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>CDBG</th>
<th>Program Income</th>
<th>Prior Year Reallocation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administration &amp; Planning</td>
<td>CDBG Admin &amp; Planning</td>
<td>$142,920.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Service</td>
<td>Community Family Shelter</td>
<td>$40,000.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Jesse Tree</td>
<td>$30,000.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meals on Wheels</td>
<td>$25,000.00</td>
<td>$7,736.50</td>
<td>$7,736.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Housing Rehabilitation</td>
<td>$145,000.00</td>
<td>$67,661.24</td>
<td>$67,661.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brush Up Nampa</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Autumn Gold Home Ownership Assistance</td>
<td>$30,000.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Development</td>
<td>Hunters Park</td>
<td>$54,365.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>North Canyon Street Pedestrian Improvements</td>
<td>$48,866.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$4,195.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Family Justice Center</td>
<td>$208,143.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trinity New Hope Playground</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$759,294.00</td>
<td>$75,397.74</td>
<td>$4,195.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Source Components of 2017 Action Plan Fund Allocation

- 2017 Entitlement Grant: $759,294.00
- Program Income Retained by Subrecipient: $7,736.50
- Program Income Retained by City of Nampa: $67,661.24
- 2015 Grant Funds Reallocated to North Canyon Ped Improvements: $4,195.00

**TOTAL REVENUE ALLOCATED**: $831,150.24

### ACTUAL DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Maximum Expenditure Allowed Per Regulation</th>
<th>Actual Expended and % Of Allowable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Program Planning and Administration (20% of Entitlement &amp; Current Year Program Income)</td>
<td>$149,618.41</td>
<td>$108,513.72, 14.29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Services (15% of Entitlement &amp; Prior Year Program Income)</td>
<td>$111,271.70</td>
<td>$88,365.21, 11.64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Community Development</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$96,672.85, N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Previous Year Program Income Retained by Subrecipient: $4,000
- Previous Year Program Income Retained by City of Nampa: $18,597.71
- Previous Year Total Program Income: $22,597.71
- Current Year Program Income Retained by Subrecipient: $7,736.50
- Current Year Program Income Retained by City of Nampa: $71,455.24
- Current Year Total Program Income: $79,191.74
No one appeared in favor of or in opposition to the request.

Councilmembers asked questions.
MOVED by Rodriguez and SECONDED by Hogaboam to close the public hearing. Mayor Kling asked all in favor say aye with all Councilmembers present voting AYE. Mayor Kling declared the

MOTION CARRIED

MOVED by Haverfield and SECONDED by Rodriguez to approve the City of Nampa’s Community Development Block Grant Program Year 2017 Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) for submission to U. S. Housing and Urban Development. The Mayor asked for a roll call vote with all Councilmembers present voting YES. The Mayor declared the

MOTION CARRIED

Item #8-6. - Mayor Kling opened a public hearing to approve and amend the CDBG 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 Action Plans to reallocated underspent funds to the 2018 Family Justice Center project.

Robyn Sellers presented the following staff report explaining that the Family Justice Center building has several updates and fixes that are needed. The largest portion of the HVAC system needs to be upgraded. The roofing is very old and needs to be replaced and electrical work brought up to code. Attached to this memo is the scope of work and bid for the project that outlines the cost of the project and specific work activities. City staff proposes to use the CDBG funds already allocated for the Family Justice Center project for program year 2018 and funds to reallocated from prior program years 2015, 2016 and 2017.

The total cost identified in the bid by Garland/DBS Inc is $454,528. The cost is considered a maximum cost. Because Garland has to bid all of the subcontractor work they include an escalation factor to cover how the bids come in. City staff anticipates the bids to come in much lower than the maximum amount. If the cost of construction is higher than is budgeted, then staff will reduce or modify the scope of work to fit the budget.

Additionally, the Family Justice Center has funding that was allocated for program Year 2017. City staff recommends still using the 2017 funds as already approved by City Council. The 2017 project funds are intended to create the new Hope Support Center a room that will accommodate trainings, group sessions and meeting and will create two more interview/counseling rooms for victims of family violence or trauma. The approved funding for the 2017 project is $208,143. City Staff will go out to bid for the project by the end of 2018.

City Staff proposes to use CDBG funds for the 2018 project and move forward with completing the public process to reallocate CDBG funding for the Family Justice Center project.

No one appeared in favor of or in opposition to the request.
Regular Council  
December 17, 2018

Councilmembers asked questions.

MOVED by Rodriguez and SECONDED by Bruner to close the public hearing. Mayor Kling asked all in favor say aye with all Councilmembers present voting AYE. Mayor Kling declared the

MOTION CARRIED

MOVED by Hogaboam and SECONDED by Skaug to amend the City of Nampa’s Community Development Block Grant Program Year 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 Action Plans to reflect the following amendments: to reallocate underspend funds remaining from projects in program years 2015 and 2016 combined with funding for 2017 Family Justice Center project to complete the co18 Family Justice Center project, CDBG Family Justice Center Project Funding Sources – PY 2018 Project Year FJC Allocation $126,564.00; PY 2017 FJC project funds to be used in 2018 $208,143.00; PY 2016 underspent funds to reallocate $72,253.45; PY 2015 underspent funds to reallocate $23,569.88; TOTAL CDBG FUNDS AVAILABLE $430,530.33. The Mayor asked for a roll call vote with all Councilmembers present voting YES. The Mayor declared the MOTION CARRIED

✦ (6) New Business ✦

Item #6-8. - Mayor Kling presented the request to award the bid and authorize the Mayor to sign the contract with Garland/DBS, Inc. for the roof replacement, electrical work and mechanical work at the Mangum Building not to exceed the amount of $430,530.36 was postponed at the request of staff until the next regular meeting.

✦ (7) Executive Session ✦

Item #7-1. - Mayor Kling presented a request to adjourn into Executive Session Pursuant to Idaho Code 74-206 (1) (e) to consider preliminary negotiations involving matters of trade or commerce in which the governing body is in competition with governing bodies in other states or nations. X4

Item #7-2. - Mayor Kling presented a request to adjourn into Executive Session Pursuant to Idaho Code 74-206 (1) (b) to consider the evaluation, dismissal or disciplining of, or to hear complaints or charges brought against, a public officer, employee, staff member or individual agent, or public-school student.

MOVED by Haverfield and SECONDED by Skaug to adjourn into executive session at 7:39 p.m. pursuant to Idaho Code 74-206 (1) (e) to consider preliminary negotiations involving matters of trade or commerce in which the governing body is in competition with governing bodies in other states or nations and (b) to consider the evaluation, dismissal or disciplining of, or to hear
complaints or charges brought against, a public officer, employee, staff member or individual agent, or public-school student. The Mayor asked for a roll call vote with all councilmembers present voting **YES**. The Mayor declared the **MOTION CARRIED**

**MOVED** by Skaug and **SECONDED** by Haverfield to **conclude the executive session** at 9:10 p.m. during which discussion was held regarding Idaho Code 74-206 (1) (e) To consider preliminary negotiations involving matters of trade or commerce in which the governing body is in competition with governing bodies in other states or nations and (b) considering the evaluation, dismissal or disciplining of, or to hear complaints or charges brought against, a public officer, employee, staff member or individual agent, or public-school student. The Mayor asked for a roll call vote with all Councilmembers present voting **YES**. The Mayor declared the **MOTION CARRIED**

**MOVED** by Skaug and **SECONDED** by Haverfield to **adjourn** the **meeting** at 9:11 p.m. The Mayor declared the **MOTION CARRIED**

Passed this 7th day of January 2019.

____________________________________
MAYOR

ATTEST:

____________________________________
CITY CLERK
CONSENT TO BID
ITD/NAMPA SIGNAL FY19 ZONE B1 EQUIPMENT PROCUREMENT PURCHASE

- In an effort to stay current with today’s traffic signal equipment standards, the City of Nampa Streets Traffic Division desires to upgrade three City of Nampa / Idaho Transportation Department Intersections with upgraded traffic signal control equipment and corridor timing. As a part of this effort the State has agreed to help share the cost as outlined in the 2010 Traffic Signal Operations and Maintenance Cooperative Agreement.

- The Garrity Boulevard corridor is the highest accident location in the City. This project will enhance signal timing to improve safety and efficiency along the corridor.

- A scope of work was developed to improve signal equipment at the following intersections: (see Exhibit A)
  
  - Garrity Boulevard & Flamingo Avenue
  - Garrity Boulevard & Stamm Lane
  - Garrity Boulevard & Kings Road

- The Traffic Signal Operations and Maintenance Cooperative Agreement between the City of Nampa and ITD was executed in 2010. The Agreement includes the following key points, (see Exhibit B):

- The City will pay all costs of all design and construction and be reimbursed by ITD District 3.

- The estimated project costs are $126,000.00. Funding is as follows (see Exhibit C):
  
  - Idaho Transportation Department $76,778.00
  - City of Nampa Portion $49,222.00

  Total $126,000.00

- The Streets Traffic Division has budgeted in their FY2019 budget all costs associated with these Intersection Improvements, including State reimbursement amounts.

- Engineering recommends approval of the ITD/Nampa Signal FY19 Zone B1 Equipment Procurement consent to bid.

REQUEST:

1) Authorize staff to proceed with the formal bidding process for the ITD/Nampa Signal FY’19 Zone B1 Equipment Project procurement.
CITY OF NAMPA
2019 – ZONE B1 INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS
SCOPE OF WORK

In an effort to stay current with today’s traffic signal equipment standards, the City of Nampa Traffic Division desires to upgrade three CON/ITD Intersections with upgraded traffic signal control equipment and corridor timing. As a part of this effort the City of Nampa is requesting that ITD help share the cost as outlined in the 2010 Traffic Signal Operations and Maintenance Cooperative Agreement.

- Intersection improvements include the following intersections:
  - Garrity & Flamingo (I-84 Business Loop)
  - Garrity & Stamm (I-84 Business Loop)
  - Garrity & Kings (I-84 Business Loop)

- Associated Documents:
  - See Appendix A – 2010 Executed Traffic Signal Maintenance SLA
  - See Appendix B - Shared Intersection Locations
  - See Appendix C - Equipment Detail and Cost

Each of the intersections shall be defined as its own project and may receive different equipment improvements based on safety, life expectancy, lane configuration and traffic flow. However, all intersection improvements are directed to extend the lifespan of the traffic signal, diversify operations, improve transportation safety and reduce operational expenses and inventory replacement cost.

Work Description:

In general, the work to be done shall consist of providing traffic signal upgrade improvements in Zone B1 of the City of Nampa’s Asset Management Document. When making these improvements the City shall furnish all tools, equipment, materials and labor services to perform all necessary work. Traffic signal upgrade improvements may include but are not limited to - traffic signal cabinet, controller, pedestrian / vehicle signals, detection systems, fiber optic interconnect, communications equipment, traffic emergency vehicle preemption systems, uninterrupted power supply system, street name signs, regulatory signs, service cabinet, intersection safety lighting (when installed directly on the intersection pole) and recalibration of signal timing and progression. Improvements of the mast arm poles, junction boxes, wire cabling, underground conduit and pole concrete foundations will not be directly involved at this time.

Proposed Equipment:

TS2 Traffic Control Cabinet(s) w/appurtenances shall conform to the latest revision of NEMA Standards Publication TS 2-2003, Traffic Controller Assemblies with NTCIP Requirements Version 02.06. The ATC Control equipment shall conform to all applicable sections of the NEMA Standards Publications for TS2 and NTCIP 1202 and ATC standard 6.10. Where differences occur, these specifications shall govern. Controller versions shall be available to comply with NEMA TS2 type 1 and 2 standards. Type 2 versions of the controller shall be capable of operating as a Type 1 controller. The TS2 Traffic Control Cabinet(s) shall conform to the latest revision of NEMA Standards Publication TS 2-2003.
- MMU’s shall conform to all the requirements set forth in the NEMA Standard TS2-2003 (R2008) including NEMA Amendment #4-2012 for Flashing Yellow Arrow (MMU2).
- Traffic Signal Heads shall conform to all applicable MUTCD requirements and current VTCSH and PTCSI standards.
- LED Vehicle Signal Modules shall conform to all applicable MUTCD requirements and current VTCSH and PTCSI standards.
- LED Pedestrian Signal Countdown Modules shall conform to all applicable MUTCD requirements and current VTCSH and PTCSI standards.
- AGPS/Guardian Pedestrian push button devices shall be installed per ADA standards.
- Electrical Service shall have dual meters (one control / one illumination). All wiring shall conform to NEMA Class II C standards.
- Mast Arm mounted signs shall conform to all applicable MUTCD requirements and practices.

New Traffic Signal Timing:

Traffic counts will be taken before the projects are to begin. New traffic signal timing shall be implemented upon completion of equipment installation.

Daily Work Hours:

The City forces are authorized to work 10-hour days 7AM-5PM Monday through Thursday for work which is to occur outside and off the roadway. The City forces are authorized to work 10-hour nights 8PM-6AM Monday through Thursday for work which is to occur inside roadway, on the roadway, and where traffic prohibits their safe work. Traffic Control & Flagging will be contracted for new Cabinet & Service installation(s).

Tentative Project Schedule:

Feb 18th – 28th, 2019 - Request for bids - equipment, timing, foundations, traffic control
Mar 11th – 15th, 2019 - Order Equipment
Apr 1st – 30th, 2019 - Zone B1 traffic counts
May 20th – 23rd, 2019 - Schedule Prep, Design Traffic Control Plan, Pull Permits
June 26th – July 3rd, 2019 - Cabinet Prep
July 8th – 11th, 2019 - Install mast-arm equipment throughout Zone G1
Aug 5th – 15th, 2019 - Install New Cabinet & Services
Aug 19th – 22nd, 2019 - Illumination other undefined work

Ken Nutt
City of Nampa
Streets / Traffic Operations Supervisor
208-468-5717
muttk@cityofnampa.us
COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT
(TRAFFIC SIGNAL OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE)
CITY OF NAMPA

PARTIES

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this 16th day of August, 2010 by and between the Idaho Transportation Department, hereafter called the STATE, and the City of Nampa, hereafter called CITY.

PURPOSE

The STATE and the CITY have previously signed cooperative agreements covering the construction, operations and maintenance of traffic signals within the CITY, resulting in numerous agreements having different requirements for maintenance. Both parties wish to clarify, in one agreement, the responsibilities each would have for maintenance of the traffic signals within the CITY. In addition the parties find it mutually beneficial to allocate their respective share of the maintenance costs for those traffic signals & equipment, illumination, and/or traffic control devices at the intersections of state highways and city streets within the CITY (except interstate ramp terminals), as shown on the attached Exhibit A.

Authority for this Agreement is established by Section 40-317, Idaho Code.

DEFINITIONS

CITY: Shall mean and refer to all areas within the incorporated city limits of Nampa, who is a party to this agreement.

STATE: Shall refer to the State of Idaho Transportation Department, an agency of the state of Idaho, and who is a party to this agreement.

PAY ITEMS: These are process numbers used by the CITY accounting department to track work done by the CITY traffic operations staff in daily activities regarding traffic signal operations and maintenance.
The Parties agree as follows:

SECTION I - That the CITY will:

1. For operation and maintenance purposes, assume ownership of all traffic signals & equipment, illumination, and/or traffic control devices at intersections listed in Exhibit A, including controller, cabinets, vehicle detection systems, and emergency vehicle pre-emption equipment.

2. Provide all labor, materials, software enhancements/updates, and equipment to maintain all traffic signals & equipment, intersection illumination, and/or traffic control devices within the CITY, including those on the State Highway System. Maintenance is to include: service calls, lamp replacement, inspections, replacement of major components, miscellaneous parts due to damage, obsolescence or wear, and annual preventative maintenance.

3. Upon request from the STATE or as determined necessary by the CITY, review signal timing plans and make adjustments to timing plans as needed to maintain operational efficiency of the signalized intersections and the STATE highway system. The CITY will provide the STATE copies of the updated signal timing plans.

4. Pay energy bills required to operate the traffic signals & intersection illumination, daily monitor their operation, establish signal timings, and perform emergency and maintenance measures as necessary.

5. Bill the STATE monthly for their share of the cost to operate and maintain the traffic signals & illumination at intersections listed on Exhibit A, which billing is to include an itemization of the costs incurred during the previous month. The parties' proportionate share of the costs is established under Section III, Item 3.

6. Update Exhibit A as appropriate to show signals added and/or deleted from the State Highway System. The updated Exhibit A shall be approved by both the STATE and the CITY prior to using as the basis for calculating the STATE's and the CITY's share of the cost to operate and maintain the
traffic signals & illumination. Share of the costs to operate and maintain the traffic signals & intersection illumination shall be calculated as shown in Section III, Item 3.

7. Maintain a parts inventory sufficient to provide for both routine and emergency traffic signal operations and maintenance, and when conditions require remedial action, perform the maintenance in a timely manner.

8. Obtain concurrence of the STATE before using the traffic signal poles or mastarms for any purpose other than to support traffic control devices or luminaires.

9. Maintain, erect, or install only those traffic control devices, including signs that are in conformance with the Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways, as adopted by the STATE.

SECTION II - That STATE will:

1. Within 30 days following receipt of each monthly billing by the CITY, pay the STATE’s share of the cost to maintain and operate the traffic signals and illumination at intersections listed in Exhibit A.

2. Assist the CITY in programming of the controllers and pre-installation testing of new cabinets and related signal equipment, if requested in writing by the CITY.

3. Upon request, assist the CITY in review, development, and implementation of signal timing plans.

SECTION III - Both parties agree that:

1. This Agreement shall become effective on the first date written above and shall remain in full force and effect until amended or replaced upon written mutual consent of the parties hereto. This Agreement supersedes and voids all previous Cooperative Traffic Signal Maintenance Agreements entered into between the parties.
2. The agreement may be terminated at any time upon a ninety (90) day written notice by either party thereof to the other.

3. Each party shall be responsible for its share of the costs of operating and maintaining the traffic signals and illumination at intersections listed in Exhibit A, which is determined as follows:
   a. \( K = \) Total number of approach lanes of the intersection owned by the \textit{STATE} \\
   b. \( L = \) Total number of approach lanes of the intersection owned by the \textit{CITY} \\
   c. \( M = \) Total number of approach lanes at intersection \\
   d. \( N = \) Total cost for repair \\
   e. \textit{STATE}'s share = \( \frac{K}{M} \times N \) \\
   f. \textit{CITY}'s share = \( \frac{L}{M} \times N \)

4. Any major reconstruction, upgrade, or modification of any traffic signal at intersections listed in Exhibit A, must be approved in writing by both parties; approval may be required to be through an agreement for construction. Upgrades to signal equipment and software, including conduit/interconnect installation, vehicle detection equipment, communications, etc. shall require prior approval by both parties.

5. Traffic signals will not be altered or abandoned without prior written concurrence of both parties.

6. Should any of the signals at the intersections listed in Exhibit A be damaged or destroyed through the wrongful or negligent act of a third party, the \textit{CITY} will make every effort to determine the identity and whereabouts of the responsible party, and the \textit{CITY} will attempt collection of the costs to repair or replace; the \textit{STATE} will assist in all aspects of collection upon written request by the \textit{CITY}. The parties will share the costs of repair or replacement in accordance with the split established with the rules below if:
   a. Collection cannot be accomplished after reasonable attempt, or \\
   b. The damage or destruction was not caused by the wrongful or negligent act of a third party.

Refer to Item 3 above for cost share calculation.
7. The CITY and the STATE agree to advance funds for repair or replacement based upon their proportionate share of the cost from the responsible party. If the CITY is able to collect the cost of repair or replacement, it shall reimburse the STATE its share based on the equation established in Item 3 above; not to exceed the amount advanced by the STATE. If collection is pursued by the STATE, the STATE will reimburse the CITY its share of the funds collected based on the equation established in Item 3 above; not to exceed the amount advanced by the CITY.

SECTION IV - Legal Relations and Responsibilities:

Nothing in the provisions of this Agreement is intended to affect the legal liability of either party to this contract by imposing any standard of care respecting the maintenance of State Highway system traffic signals that is different from the standard of care imposed by the law.

It is understood and agreed that neither the STATE, nor any officer, agent, servant, or employee thereof is responsible for any damage or liability occurring by reason of anything done or omitted to be done by the CITY or in connection with any work, authority or jurisdiction delegated to the CITY under this agreement. The CITY, its officers, agents, servants or employees shall not be responsible for any damage or liability arising in connection with work to be performed by the STATE which is not otherwise delegated to the CITY.
EXECUTION

This Agreement is executed for the STATE by its Assistant Chief Engineer (Operations), and executed for the City by the Mayor, attested to by the City Clerk with the imprinted Corporate Seal of the City of Nampa.

IDAHO TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT

APPROVED BY:

[Signature]
Assistant Chief Engineer (Operations)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

[Signature]
Deputy Attorney General

RECOMMENDED:

[Signature]
District Engineer

ATTEST:

[Signature]
Debra Lambing

(City of Nampa)

Mayor

By regular/special meeting on August 16, 2010
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RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the Idaho Transportation Department, hereafter called the STATE, has submitted an Agreement between the STATE and the CITY OF NAMPA, hereafter called the CITY, setting out the terms for traffic signal operations and maintenance for signals at the intersections of state highways and city streets within the CITY; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

1. That the Agreement for operations and maintenance of traffic signals is hereby approved.

2. That the Mayor and the City Clerk are hereby authorized to execute the Agreement on behalf of the CITY.

3. That duly certified copies of the Resolution shall be furnished to the Idaho Transportation Department.

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the above is a true copy of a Resolution passed at a regular, duly called special (X-out non-applicable term) meeting of the City Council, City of Nampa, held on August 16, 2010.

(Seal)

City Clerk
**EXHIBIT A**

Page 2

Traffic Control Devices

Shared Intersection Locations
City of Nampa & State of Idaho

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Approach Lanes</th>
<th>Type of Device</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I-84 Bus. (2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt; St. S.) &amp; N. Side Blvd.</td>
<td>3 6</td>
<td>Signal &amp; Illumination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-84 Bus. (11&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; Ave. S.) &amp; 3&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt; St. S.</td>
<td>7 2</td>
<td>Signal &amp; Illumination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-84 Bus. (11&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; Ave. S.) &amp; 2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt; St. S.</td>
<td>5 3</td>
<td>Signal &amp; Illumination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-84 Bus. (11&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; Ave. S.) &amp; 1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt; St. S.</td>
<td>6 4</td>
<td>Signal &amp; Illumination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-84 Bus. (11&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; Ave. S.) &amp; 6&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; St. N.</td>
<td>6 4</td>
<td>Signal &amp; Illumination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-84 Bus. (11&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; Ave. N.) &amp; Franklin Blvd.</td>
<td>5 3</td>
<td>Signal &amp; Illumination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-84 Bus. (Garrity) &amp; 16&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; Ave. N.</td>
<td>6 2</td>
<td>Signal &amp; Illumination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-84 Bus. (Garrity) &amp; Kings</td>
<td>6 4</td>
<td>Signal &amp; Illumination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-84 Bus. (Garrity) &amp; Stamm Ln.</td>
<td>5 3</td>
<td>Signal &amp; Illumination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-84 Bus. (Garrity) &amp; Flamingo</td>
<td>9 8</td>
<td>Signal &amp; Illumination</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix C

**CITY OF NAMPA**

**ITD - INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT REQUEST**

**FY19 ZONE B1 - ESTIMATED INTERSECTION COST**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Garry &amp; Flamigo</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Signal Controller Cabinet</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controller</td>
<td>$3,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabinet Plug Ins</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADA Pedestrian Push Buttons</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LED Vehicle Signal Indications (7yr change out)</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LED Pedestrian Count Down Signal Indications</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misc. Parts Pieces i.e. Astro Brac, Shrouds, Wire etc.</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADA Pedestrian Push Buttons</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contracted Traffic Control</td>
<td>$2,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing Update (with corridor coordination)</td>
<td>$4,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$43,100.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Garry &amp; Stamm</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Signal Controller Cabinet</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controller</td>
<td>$3,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabinet Plug Ins</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADA Pedestrian Push Buttons</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LED Vehicle Signal Indications (7yr change out)</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LED Pedestrian Count Down Signal Indications</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misc. Parts Pieces i.e. Astro Brac, Pole Brac Shrouds, Wire etc.</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contracted Traffic Control</td>
<td>$2,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCTV</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing Update (with corridor coordination)</td>
<td>$4,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$43,100.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Garry &amp; Kings</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Signal Controller Cabinet</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controller</td>
<td>$3,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabinet Plug Ins</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADA Pedestrian Push Buttons</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LED Vehicle Signal Indications (7yr change out)</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LED Pedestrian Count Down Signal Indications</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misc. Parts Pieces i.e. Astro Brac, Shrouds, Wire etc…</td>
<td>$1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCTV</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contracted Traffic Control</td>
<td>$2,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing Update (without corridor coordination)</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$39,800.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ITD - Responsibility Allocation Detail**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Garry &amp; Flamigo</strong></th>
<th><strong>9</strong> lanes of</th>
<th><strong>17</strong></th>
<th><strong>53%</strong></th>
<th><strong>$22,818.00</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Garry &amp; Stamm</strong></td>
<td><strong>7</strong> lanes of</td>
<td><strong>11</strong></td>
<td><strong>64%</strong></td>
<td><strong>$27,427.00</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Garry &amp; Kings</strong></td>
<td><strong>8</strong> lanes of</td>
<td><strong>12</strong></td>
<td><strong>67%</strong></td>
<td><strong>$26,533.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ITD PROJECT PORTION** **$76,778.00**

**CITY PROJECT PORTION** **$49,222.00**

**ABOVE PROJECT TOTALS** **$126,000.00**
RESOLUTION NO. ______-2019

A RESOLUTION OF THE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NAMPA, A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF IDAHO, AUTHORIZING THE DESTRUCTION OF CERTAIN CITY RECORDS.

WHEREAS, I.C. §50-907 PROVIDES THAT the City Council must authorize the destruction of records that are not required to be retained as permanent records; such records that have met the minimum retention period provided by the City’s Record Retention Schedule; and such records are no longer required by law or for City business; and

WHEREAS, the City Clerk of the City of Nampa has proposed for destruction of certain records that have exceeded the minimum retention period; and

WHEREAS the approval for the destruction of the below listed records has been obtained from the Idaho State Historical Society, when required, as provided by Idaho Code §50-907; and

WHEREAS the approval for the destruction of the below listed records has been obtained from the City Attorney or his designee, and is in compliance with City policy.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NAMPA, AS FOLLOWS:

1. That the attached listed records shall be destroyed under the direction and supervision of the City Clerk, and in accordance with City policy.

2. The staff of the City of Nampa is hereby authorized to take all necessary steps to carry out the authorization provided by this Resolution.


APPROVED BY THE MAYOR AND THE CITY OF NAMPA, IDAHO, THIS 7TH DAY OF JANUARY, 2019.

Approved:

____________________________
Mayor

Attest:

____________________________
City Clerk
Destruction of Records
For the Clerks Department

Expired Contracts and Agreements for years 2013 and previous. Not including what is considered historical (see Resolution 24-2016)
RESOLUTION NO. 1-2019

A RESOLUTION OF THE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NAMPA, A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF IDAHO, AUTHORIZING THE DISPOSITION OF CERTAIN CITY PROPERTY. (Fleet Department)

WHEREAS, the City Council has authorized and passed Resolution No. 25-2015, implementing City policy to declare personal property surplus and to provide for its disposal through sale, transfer, recycling, discarding, destruction, or exchange; and

WHEREAS, the City Clerk of the City of Nampa has proposed for disposal of certain property that the City no longer has use for; and

WHEREAS the approval for the disposal of the below listed property has been obtained from the City Attorney or his designee, and is in compliance with City policy.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NAMPA, AS FOLLOWS:

1. That the attached listed property shall be disposed of under the direction and supervision of the City Clerk, and in accordance with City policy.

2. The staff of the City of Nampa is hereby authorized to take all necessary steps to carry out the authorization provided by this Resolution.


APPROVED BY THE MAYOR OF THE CITY OF NAMPA, IDAHO, THIS 7th DAY OF JANUARY, 2019.

Approved:

______________________________
Mayor Debbie Kling

ATTEST:

______________________________
City Clerk
DISPOSAL OF VARIOUS VEHICLE AND EQUIPMENT ASSETS

- Fleet Services Division staff has identified the items listed below as surplus property
- Fleet staff request the following items be declared surplus property to facilitate disposal via public auction:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Qty</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Serial Number</th>
<th>Estimated Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>#1242 2007 Ford Crown Vic</td>
<td>2FAHP71W77X141188</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>#1262 2008 Ford Crown Vic</td>
<td>2FAHP71V68X151476</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>#1263 2008 Ford Crown Vic</td>
<td>2FAHP71V88X151477</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>#5585 1992 GMC K2500</td>
<td>1GTGK24K8NE526743</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>#1406 2011 Chevrolet Impala</td>
<td>2GC1WF5EK3B1250722</td>
<td>$4,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>#1322 2010 Ford Edge</td>
<td>2FMDK3GCXABBB5907</td>
<td>$6,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Battery Tester</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Battery Rack</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tire Balancer</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Truck Bed Pipe Rack</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>K9 Kennel</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A/C Unit</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Vehicle Wheels – Various</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Filing Cabinets</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Office Desk</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Large Cooling Fan</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Wheel Jack – HETRA</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Coffee Maker</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Truck Bed Tool Box</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$125.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Paper Cutter</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ford Front Bumper – F550</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ford Rear Seat – F550</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ford Tow Hooks – F550</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total Estimated Value</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$16,435.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Vehicles disposal falls within Public Works Fleet Services guidelines for funding, acquisition, maintenance, replacement and disposal of City fleet assets
  - Fleet Services Division, and disposal team, recommend disposal via public auction

REQUEST:
1) By Resolution, declare equipment outlined above as surplus property, and
2) Dispose of identified surplus property as recommend by staff (see Exhibit 1)
CITY OF NAMPA
DEPARTMENT PROPERTY DISPOSAL REQUEST

Permission is hereby requested to dispose of the following personal property declared surplus by the Council. Disposal will be in a manner meeting the best interests of the City and in accordance with Idaho Code and City Resolution No. 25-2015.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disposal Method Code</th>
<th>Use Category</th>
<th>Qty.</th>
<th>Description of Item</th>
<th>Cond. Code</th>
<th>Estimated Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Fleet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>#1242 2007 Ford Crown Vic 2FAHP71W77X141188</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Fleet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>#1262 2008 Ford Crown Vic 2FAHP71V68X151476</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Fleet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>#1263 2008 Ford Crown Vic 2FAHP71V88X151477</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Fleet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>#5585 1992 GMC K2500 1GTGK24K8NE526743</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>$1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Fleet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>#1406 2011 Chevrolet Imp 2GC1WF5EK3B1250722</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>$4500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Fleet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>#1322 2010 Ford Edge 2FMDK3GCXABB55907</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>$6700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Fleet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Battery Tester</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>$100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Fleet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Battery Rack</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>$25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Fleet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tire Balancer</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>$150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Fleet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Truck Bed Pipe Rack</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>$50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Fleet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>K9 Kennel</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>$25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Fleet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A/C Unit</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Fleet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Vehicle Wheels – Various</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>$100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Fleet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Filing Cabinets</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>$25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Fleet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Office Desk</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>$25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Fleet Services Division Disposal
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Number</th>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Item Description</th>
<th>Condition Code</th>
<th>Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Fleet</td>
<td>Large Cooling Fan</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Fleet</td>
<td>Wheel Jack – HETRA</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>$1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Fleet</td>
<td>Coffee Maker</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>$10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Fleet</td>
<td>Truck Bed Tool Box</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>$125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Fleet</td>
<td>Paper Cutter</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>$50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Fleet</td>
<td>Ford Front Bumper – F550</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>$75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Fleet</td>
<td>Ford Rear Seat – F550</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>$150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Fleet</td>
<td>Ford Tow Hooks – F550</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>$25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disposal Method Codes:

- 01 Transfer to another agency or department
- 02 Public Sale (Auction or sealed bid)
- 03 Leased property turned back
- 04 Recycle or sell for scrap
- 05 Unusable – ship to local dumpsite
- 06 Other: _______________________

Condition Codes:

- E Excellent
- G Good
- F Fair
- R Repairable
- U Unusable

Requesting Department:

**Public Works Department**
**Fleet Services Division**

Received By:

Requesting Person Name (Print):

**Douglas Adams, Superintendent**

Date Received:

Requesting Person Signature:  

Date  

01.07.19
I-84 Corridor (Karcher to Franklin) Project Presentation - Amy Schroeder, Transportation Program Manager, Idaho Transportation Department

The Idaho Transportation Department (ITD) is designing improvements to Interstate 84 (I-84), between the Karcher Interchange and Franklin Boulevard Interchange in Nampa. The improvements are part of a major project addressing the needs on I-84 from Nampa into Caldwell. The work is expected to improve safety, address congestion, and benefit the regional economy for many years. Amy Schroeder, Transportation Program Manager, ITD, will update City Council on the project schedule, cost and design plans, the day of this report.

Design plans include:
- Adding a third lane to both directions of I-84
- Adding auxiliary lanes to both directions of I-84 between interchanges. Auxiliary lanes allow traffic to travel between two exits without merging with through traffic
- Replacing the Northside Interchange with a Single Point Urban Interchange for safer, more efficient travel. The interchange type exists at the Ten Mile, Meridian, Broadway, and Vista Interchanges in the Treasure Valley
- Rebuilding the Karcher Road Overpass, railroad/irrigation canal bridges, and the Mason Creek structure
- At the Franklin Boulevard Interchange, widening the eastbound off-ramp and modifying the signals to allow two, left turn lanes onto the westbound on-ramp

Additional detail for this improvement project is outlined in Exhibit A.
Karcher to Franklin

The Idaho Transportation Department is designing improvements to Interstate 84 between the Karcher Interchange and Franklin Boulevard Interchange in Nampa. The improvements are part of a major project addressing the needs on I-84 from Nampa into Caldwell. The work is expected to improve safety, address congestion and benefit the regional economy for many years.

**Design Plans Include:**
- Adding a third lane to both directions of I-84.
- Adding auxiliary lanes to both directions of I-84 between interchanges. Auxiliary lanes allow traffic to travel between two exits without merging with through traffic.
- Replacing the Northside Interchange with a Single Point Urban Interchange for safer, more efficient travel. The interchange type exists at the Ten Mile, Meridian, Broadway and Vista interchanges in the Treasure Valley.
- Rebuilding the Karcher Road Overpass, railroad/irrigation canal bridges, and the Mason Creek structure.
- At the Franklin Boulevard Interchange, widening the eastbound off-ramp and modifying the signals to allow two left-turn lanes onto the westbound on-ramp.

**Schedule:**
ITD continues to work on the design plans for this project. A second community workshop will be held this summer and the first construction project will begin in fall 2018.

**Funding:**
Improvements to I-84 between the Karcher and Franklin interchanges are expected to cost $150 million for design, right-of-way and construction.
The Idaho Transportation Department is designing improvements to Interstate 84 between the Karcher Interchange and Franklin Boulevard Interchange in Nampa. The improvements are expected to improve safety, address congestion and benefit the regional economy for many years.

**INTERSTATE 84 WIDENING**

As part of the project, ITD is widening and adding lanes to the interstate. Construction on the interstate mainline will begin once the Karcher Road Overpass is removed.

**DESIGN PLANS INCLUDE:**

- Adding a third eastbound lane and a third westbound lane.
- Adding auxiliary lanes between interchanges. Auxiliary lanes allow traffic to travel between two exits without merging with through traffic.
- Widening the eastbound off-ramp and modifying signals to allow two left-turn lanes onto the westbound on-ramp at the Franklin Boulevard Interchange.

**SCHEDULE:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Community open house</th>
<th>Complete final design</th>
<th>Construction begins</th>
<th>Construction completed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Summer 2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early 2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid 2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**THIS SUMMER, ITD WILL WIDEN THE SHOULDERS ON I-84 TO PREPARE FOR CONSTRUCTION IN 2019.**

Map not to scale.
The Idaho Transportation Department is designing improvements to Interstate 84 between the Karcher Interchange and Franklin Boulevard Interchange in Nampa. The improvements are expected to increase safety, address congestion and benefit the regional economy for many years.

NORTHSIDE BOULEVARD INTERCHANGE

DESIGN
The interchange at Northside Boulevard will be replaced with a Single Point Urban Interchange design to accommodate more traffic and reduce delays. Design plans also include:
- Widening Northside Boulevard to include dual left-turn lanes.
- Adding a free-running right-turn lane at the eastbound I-84 on-ramp.
- Adding bicycle lanes and sidewalks.
- Improving ADA curb ramps at the 6th Street and Northside Boulevard intersection.

SCHEDULE:
- Community open house: Summer 2018
- Complete final design: Early 2019
- Purchase right-of-way: Fall 2019
- Construction: Mid 2019–Late 2020
A central traffic signal underneath I-84 will direct all turning movements from a single point.

The SPUI design will move a high volume of traffic within a small footprint, minimizing right-of-way purchases.

ITD will replace and widen railroad structures and canal bridges to allow three lanes in each direction on I-84.

The grade of the on- and off-ramps will be lowered to improve visibility for vehicles accessing I-84.

Sidewalks and bicycle lanes will be added to both sides of Northside Boulevard.
Letter of Appreciation to Idaho Department of Transportation for I-84B, Northside Boulevard to Grant Street Project

- On behalf of the City, Mayor Kling is sending the attached letter of appreciation (see Exhibit A) to Chairman Jerry Whitehead of the Idaho Transportation Department (ITD) for the excellent work on the I-84B, Northside Boulevard to Grant Street project
  - The letter states gratitude to ITD for their efforts in planning, allocation of funding, design and construction management resulting in a job well done

- The project rebuilt deteriorated roadway, pedestrian ramps and curbing throughout downtown Nampa and along Garrity Avenue adjacent to Lakeview Park, and was completed last summer
  - The project was constructed at night to expedite the work and reduce impacts to businesses and the community

- The City looks forward to a continued partnership with ITD

Street Division Snow Removal

Street Division crews continue winter maintenance activities by applying Magnesium Chloride (MgC12), salt and/or brine. The following highlights labor hours and material expenditures:

### FISCAL YEAR 2019 TOTALS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task and/or Material</th>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>Gallons</th>
<th>Yards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overtime</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular Hours</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Issues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Hours</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mag Chloride</td>
<td></td>
<td>17,171</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Snow/Water Event No. 3  
**Report for December 26-27, 2018**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task and/or Material</th>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>Gallons</th>
<th>Yards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overtime</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular Hours</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Issues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Hours</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brine</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,880</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Snow/Water Event No. 2  
**Report for December 10, 2018**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task and/or Material</th>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>Gallons</th>
<th>Yards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overtime</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular Hours</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Issues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Hours</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mag Chloride</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Snow/Water Event No. 1  
**Report for November 30 - December 3, 2018**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task and/or Material</th>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>Gallons</th>
<th>Yards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overtime</td>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular Hours</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Issues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Hours</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mag Chloride</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,691</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### I-84 Northside Interchange Landscape Plan

- ITD is designing improvements to I-84, between the Karcher Interchange and Franklin Boulevard Interchange in Nampa. The improvements are part of a major project addressing the needs on I-84 from Nampa into Caldwell. The work is expected to improve safety, address congestion and benefit the regional economy for many years.

- As part of the corridor project, the I-84 Interchange and Northside Boulevard will be replaced with a Single Point Urban Interchange (SPUI). The design is underway, including the landscape plan.

- ITD has allocated approximately $400,000 (the value of the existing landscape) toward installation of new landscaping.
The I-84 Northside Interchange 50% Design Narrative has been reviewed and approved by City staff, including Parks Department staff (see Exhibit B)

- The plan includes grass turf areas, landscaping planting beds, dryland grass areas, and some large trees. The plan is designed for aesthetics as well as efficiency of maintenance

**Greenhurst Road Rebuild – Midland to Juniper**

The first phase (Phase I) of the East Greenhurst Road Rebuild Project (Project) will begin on January 14. Phase I will include work on East Greenhurst Road, from 12th Avenue Road to South Juniper Street, and is expected to be completed in May 2019.

Phase II of the Project will include work on West Greenhurst Road, from 12th Avenue Road to Midland Boulevard. Phase II work will begin in June 2019 and is scheduled to be completed before school is back in session for the 2019-2020 school year.

The attached letter (see Exhibit C) was sent to affected property owners in the adjacent subdivision last week and includes a map of the Phase I detour.

**Wastewater Program Update**

The Wastewater Program Management Team (WPMT) continues to oversee preliminary design to construction management for the following projects:

- $165 Million Loan Agreement with Idaho Department of Environmental Quality
  - To be completed in Winter 2019
- Recycled Water Program Reuse Permit
- Phase II/III Preliminary Design
- Fiscal Year 2020 Wastewater Rate Increases
  - To be completed in Summer 2019
- Phase I Construction
- Procurement of Design/Construction Services for Phase II
  - To be completed in Fall 2019

The WPMT is also tracking regulatory issues related to the waters of the United States. On December 11, 2018, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) issued a press release regarding the proposed new “Waters of the United States” (WOTUS) definition and opened a 60-day public comment period (see Exhibit D). The EPA will host an informational webcast on January 10, 2019, as well as a listening session on the proposed rule in Kansas City, Kansas, on January 23, 2019. The WPMT plan to attend both broadcasts and is working with legal counsel to prepare comments for submission to EPA. The proposed rule is expected to take several years to finalize as the EPA will need to address the anticipated, hundreds of thousands public comments.

In December, the City received the draft loan offer from the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality (IDEQ) for the first $37 million of the $165 million State Revolving Fund (SRF) loan.
On December 3, 2018, IDEQ informed the City the 2018 Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) Facility Plan environmental document review was complete and no further environmental analysis will be required (see Exhibit E). On December 11, 2019, IDEQ published a legal notice for the categorical exclusion determination in the Idaho Press, clearing the way for IDEQ to prepare the City’s $165 million SRF loan offer and agreement. The loan agreement was received by the City on December 20, 2018, and is with the City’s legal counsel, and bond counsel (Skinner Fawcett LLP), for review. It is anticipated the agreement will be before the City to execute in February 2019.

**Phase I Upgrades Construction Update**

On September 8, 2015, City Council requested the WPMT provide bimonthly reports on Phase I Upgrades construction progress. Phase I Upgrades were designed to meet the Wastewater National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit interim phosphorus limits that go into effect May 1, 2020.

The $38 million Phase I Upgrades Projects is more than 80% complete. Project Group A-Liquid Streams Upgrades started in June 2015 and completed in the summer 2018. Project Group B-Solids Handling Upgrades started in June 2017 and is scheduled for completion in the spring of 2019. The final project, Project Group C-Primary Digester No. 4, started in October 2018 and scheduled for completion in the fall of 2019.

**Project Group B – Solid Handling Upgrades Status**

Since issuance of Notice to Proceed there has been considerable progress on Project Group B:

- Notice to Proceed issued June 19, 2017
- The Contract Time Completed is currently at 79%
- The Contract Work Completed is currently at 83%

Key activities and milestones achieved since the update to City Council on December 3, 2018, include:

- Completed installation of the polymer makeup system inside the new Solids Handling Building
- Initiated work on the ferric chloride system
- Continuation of electrical work in the polymer room, including pulling wire and starting terminations
- Submitted 517 technical submittals since the beginning of project. Staff and the WPMT strive to respond to submittals as quickly as possible. Average response time is currently 17 days

Based on the current project schedule, the following are the major work items expected to be completed in the near future:

- Continuation of electrical and instrumentation work on MCC 7 in the blower building
- Commissioning and testing of several construction components, including plant drain lift station, polymer and thickening systems, and dewatering system is slated for January and February 2019
• Punch list completion and walkthrough with the City is scheduled for April 2019

The following photos show the progression of Project Group B:

Figure 1 – Continued work on electrical installations in the polymer room

Figure 2 – Piping and mechanical progress within the new Solids Handling Facility
Project Group C – Primary Digester No. 4

Since issuance of Notice to Proceed there has been considerable progress on Project Group C.
- Notice to Proceed issued October 15, 2018, for administrative activities. Construction Notice to Proceed issued November 12, 2018
- The Contract Time Completed is currently at 17%
- The Contract Work Completed is currently at 2%

Key activities and milestones achieved since the update to City Council on December 3, 2018, include:
- Continuation of contractor submittal process for construction work
- Completion of mass excavation at the location of the new Primary Digester No.4
- Preparation of subgrade for the foundation at the site
- Submitted 47 technical submittals since the beginning of Project. Staff and the WPMT strive to respond to submittals as quickly as possible. Average response time is currently 12 days

Based on the current project schedule, the following are the major work items expected to be completed in the near future:
- Concrete pouring for the base slabs of the new digester will take place in January 2019
- Placement of walls for the new digester is currently slated for February 2019

The following photos show the progression of Project Group C:

Figure 1 – Excavation activities
Nampa WWTP Phase I Upgrades: Financial Report

The following table shows current financials for Phase I Upgrades:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract</th>
<th>Original Budget</th>
<th>Current Budget</th>
<th>Change Order Rate</th>
<th>Spent</th>
<th>Percent Spent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Group A – Ewing</td>
<td>$12,494,000</td>
<td>$14,071,194</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
<td>$14,071,194</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Group A Contingency</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
<td>-$ 77,194</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Group A Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$13,994,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$13,994,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$14,071,194</strong></td>
<td><strong>101%</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Group B - JC</td>
<td>$11,255,000</td>
<td>$11,636,989</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>$ 9,794,947</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Group B Contingency</td>
<td>$ 500,000</td>
<td>$ 118,011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Group B Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$11,755,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$11,755,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 9,794,947</strong></td>
<td><strong>83%</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Group C – Ewing</td>
<td>$ 3,311,094</td>
<td>$ 3,311,094</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>$ 67,850</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Group C Contingency</td>
<td>$ 150,000</td>
<td>$ 150,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Group C Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 3,461,094</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 3,461,094</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 67,850</strong></td>
<td><strong>2%</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHASE I UPGRADES TOTAL</td>
<td><strong>$29,210,094</strong></td>
<td><strong>$29,210,094</strong></td>
<td>7.2%</td>
<td><strong>$23,933,991</strong></td>
<td><strong>82%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Overall project authorization was not exceeded. Savings in other contracts resulted in finishing under the original project budget of $18.5M.*

Other Financial Updates:
- Project Group B will have an unused contingency of $125,000 resulting from decisions by City staff, the contractor, and the WPMT. The contract values shown in the table above still include this contingency as the cost savings will be captured early in 2019
City of Nampa Fiscal Year 2018 Nampa Wastewater Treatment Facility National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit Annual Report

The Wastewater NPDES permit allows for the City to discharge to Indian Creek. The same permit requires an annual progress report be submitted before the end of each calendar year. With IDEQ being granted primacy of the Idaho Wastewater NPDES permits, the City’s Fiscal Year 2018 Nampa Wastewater Treatment Facility NPDES Permit Annual Report (Report) was submitted to IDEQ on December 28, 2018.

The Report summarizes the wastewater program and provides progress on compliance activities outlined in the Wastewater NPDES permit. Activities for fiscal year 2018 include:

- **2018 WWTP Facility Plan**: The facility plan was completed and adopted by City Council in February 2018. The plan identifies a recycled water program as the preferred alternative for meeting the regulatory requirements and Nampa’s growth.

- **Total Phosphorus Limit**: Significant progress with Phase I Upgrades (Projects Group A, B and C) has been made with the final completion. The aeration basin biological nutrient removal and primary effluent pump station construction was completed (Project Group A). Construction activities for the Solids Handling Building and Primary Digester No. 4 are underway. The building (Project Group B) is estimated to be completed in Spring 2019, and the digester (Project Group C) will be completed in late 2019. The Wastewater NPDES permit includes a schedule of compliance for meeting a total phosphorus interim limit and final limit that go into effective May 1, 2020, and August 31, 2026, respectively.

- **Temperature Limit**: Completion of the Nampa WWTP Facility Plan identified a recycled water program that will discharge Class A Recycled Water to the Phyllis Canal during the summer months. The Wastewater NPDES permit includes a schedule of compliance for meeting a temperature limit that go into effect August 31, 2031.

- **Mercury Limit**: Data was obtained from local dental offices through a questionnaire.

- **Copper Limit**: The copper sampling plan is being developed and will be completed in early 2019 at which time the City will begin collecting data to meet the requirements of the plan.
December 31, 2018

Jerry Whitehead
Chairman, Idaho Transportation Board
3311 W. State Street
P.O. Box 7129
Boise, Idaho 83707-1129

Dear Chairman Whitehead and the Idaho Transportation Board,

On behalf of the City of Nampa, I want to express our thanks and appreciation to the Idaho Transportation Department for your excellent work on the I-84B, Northside Boulevard to Grant Street project. The City recognizes the Idaho Transportation Department’s efforts in planning, allocation of funding, design and construction management resulting in a job well done.

The I-84B project is another critical investment toward both the Idaho Transportation Department and the City of Nampa to provide a safe, efficient and sustainable transportation system that responds to the current and future goals of our state and community. Projects such as this contribute to the economic vitality of our City, helping to further create the kind of vibrant community where people want to live and businesses want to grow.

The rebuilt highway and pedestrian facilities benefit 30,000 local and regional motorists per day, public transportation services and a growing bike and pedestrian network. This project extended through the heart of downtown Nampa and is a critical step in the City’s downtown revitalization efforts. We are thankful for ITD’s desire and willingness to collaborate and communicate with City leadership, local businesses and residents during the planning and construction process.

Thank you to ITD staff and the contractor, Sunroc Construction, for their consideration of the community needs during the construction, attention to the quality of the improvements and emphasis to maintain the project schedule.

We greatly appreciate your investment of time and resources into our community and look forward to continued partnership in the future.

Sincerely,

Debbie Kling
Mayor
City of Nampa
Legend
- Tan Rock Top Dressing
- Black Permamulch Rock Top Dressing
- Landscape Planting Area
- Irrigated Lawn Area (Grades shall be 4:1 or less)
- Dryland Grass Area 1 (Grades Shall be 2:1 or less)
- Dryland Grass Area 2 (Grades Shall be 2:1 or less)
Landscape and irrigation design for the Northside Interchange is based on the schematic plan (Option F) prepared by Jensen Belts Associates. The 50% landscape plans were adapted and modified to fit each of the four quadrants of the interchange. Right-of-way lines, stormwater detention limits, and budgetary consideration necessitated a few minor alterations to the concept plan, but the integrity and design intent has been retained.
The following highlights the design intent, including deviations from the schematic plan. Images of proposed plants and other materials are depicted on subsequent pages.

**Grass Areas.** These grass areas are more expansive within the northeast and southwest quadrants, adjacent to the deceleration lanes. These lawn areas were decreased in length to reduce construction costs, yet are prominent and encompass both sides of the off-ramp Ys within queuing areas and where speeds are slow.

**Landscape Planting Beds.** Planting beds include a variety of deciduous and evergreen plants to provide summer and winter interest. The plan utilizes mass plantings to create expansive areas of varying texture, color, and contrast. Plant heights will not exceed three feet, except the evergreen shrubs in the center of the planting areas, which may eventually grow to five feet, but will be outside of clear view triangles. Rock mulch/top dressing help define planting areas. The primary top dressing will be 2”-3” dia. crushed basalt, known locally as perma-bark. To provide a contrast and greater interest for pedestrians, the plan includes a strip of more decorative, ‘Sienna’ mulch/top dressing of contrasting color between walkways and planting areas.

Planting areas between the deceleration lanes and highway include larger plants further away from travel lanes, with a row of serviceberry trees above short rock retaining walls. Evergreen and flowering deciduous shrubs with contrasting colors will be planted below the wall in masses.

**Dryland Grass Areas.** Due to the budget, a band of rock mulch does not extend around the back side of the grass areas or adjacent to the acceleration/deceleration ramps, as depicted on the original schematic plan. Instead, our plan proposes two different dryland seed types of contrasting color to break up the expansive areas. Sumac shrubs are also scattered on the side slopes of the highway near the interchange, to be irrigated with bubblers until established.

**Irrigation.** The bidding process does not allow specific products, models, or brands to be specified. The plans and specifications must either list three options or provide performance standards. Therefore, irrigation heads and control valves may be Rain Bird, Hunter, or Toro. Both grass and shrub areas will be irrigated with rotors. Whereas shrub areas may only receive single coverage, grass areas will be designed with head-to-head coverage.

One single mainline will be looped around the project site, with the point of connection location to be coordinated with the city. We propose a decoder/two-wire system controller and control valves to enable future irrigation expansion by the city anywhere along the mainline.

**Maintenance.** As with most other interchanges along the I-84 corridor, stamped concrete will be installed along Northside Blvd. between the curb and pedestrian walkway to provide a buffer from road salts/chemicals, and a place for snow to be plowed. The curbs will be Type C, which will allow maintenance vehicles to pull off the roadway where necessary.
TYPICAL PLANTING AREA 1 (Adjacent to Walkways)

Mops Mugo Pine (3’x3’)
Pinus Mugo ‘Sherwood Compact’

Flower Carpet Groundcover Rose (3’x3’)
Risa x ‘Noare’ (or others)
The Blues Little Bluestem (3’x3’)
Schizachyrium scoparium 'The Blues'

Burgundy Bunny Miniature Fountain Grass (18”x18”)
Pennisetum alopecuroides 'Burgundy Bunny'
**TYPICAL PLANTING AREA 2** (Slopes adjacent to highway)

Autumn Brilliance Serviceberry (15’x15’)
Amelanchier x grandiflora ‘Autumn Brilliance’

Diablo Ninebark (8’x8’)
Physocarpus opulifolius ‘Diablo’
Blue Star Juniper (3’ x 4’)
Juniperus squamata ‘Blue Star’

Gold Flame Spirea (3’ x 4’)
Spiraea x bumalda ‘Goldflame’
Silver Knight Scotch Heather (12”-18” Tall x 18”-24” Wide)
Calluna vulgaris ‘Silver Knight’

Smooth Sumac (10’x10’) Slope Plantings
Rhus glabra

2003 © Peter M. Dziuk
Scarlet Oak (50’x40’)
Quercus coccinea
January 3, 2019

RE:  City of Nampa, Greenhurst Road Rebuild – Midland to Juniper
     Estimated Timeframe:  January 2019 to May 2019
     Project Number:  PWST180012

Dear Property Owner/Tenant:

The City of Nampa and their contractor, Granite Excavation, will begin the first phase (Phase I) of the East Greenhurst Road Rebuild Project (Project) on January 14, 2019. Phase I of the Project will include work on East Greenhurst Road, from 12\textsuperscript{th} Avenue Road to South Juniper Street. Major construction activities will include:

- Replacing the Wilson Drain Culvert near 12\textsuperscript{th} Avenue Road
- Replacing the Bray Lateral Culvert between Sunnybrook Drive and South Juniper Street
- Installing new water services and meters for properties along this section of East Greenhurst Road
- Utility upgrades
- Full roadway reconstruction including excavation, new gravel and new pavement
- New pavement marking and signing

Work on Phase I of the Project (see map on back) is expected to be completed in May 2019, barring any major unforeseen construction challenges.

During Phase I of the Project, East Greenhurst Road will be closed from 12\textsuperscript{th} Avenue Road to South Juniper Street. A detour route, as shown on the next page, will be established to assist drivers with getting around the construction. Business access to Walmart and the US Bank will be available at the traffic signal on 12\textsuperscript{th} Avenue Road and South Valley Drive. Business access for all businesses in the Albertson’s complex will be available from 12\textsuperscript{th} Avenue Road and Sunnybrook Drive.

If you live adjacent to the work area, Granite Excavation will be working with you for access to your property during construction.

Also, Phase II of the Project will include work on West Greenhurst Road, from 12\textsuperscript{th} Avenue Road to Midland Boulevard, beginning in June 2019 and is scheduled to be completed before school is back in session for the 2019-2020 school year.
We appreciate your cooperation and patience during construction. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact us:

**Paragon Consulting, Inc. (Engineer)**

Joe Barton  
(208) 921-8486 Cell | (208) 922-9138 Office  
jbarton@paragonfbk.com

**City of Nampa**

(208) 465-2221  
projects@cityofnampa.us

Sincerely,

Daniel Badger  
City Engineer
WASHINGTON — Today, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Department of the Army (Army) are proposing a clear, understandable, and implementable definition of “waters of the United States” that clarifies federal authority under the Clean Water Act. Unlike the Obama administration's 2015 definition of “waters of the United States,” today’s proposal contains a straightforward definition that would result in significant cost savings, protect the nation’s navigable waters, help sustain economic growth, and reduce barriers to business development.

“Our proposal would replace the Obama EPA’s 2015 definition with one that respects the limits of the Clean Water Act and provides states and landowners the certainty they need to manage their natural resources and grow local economies,” said EPA Acting Administrator Andrew Wheeler. “For the first time, we are clearly defining the difference between federally protected waterways and state protected waterways. Our simpler and clearer definition would help landowners understand whether a project on their property will require a federal permit or not, without spending thousands of dollars on engineering and legal professionals.”

The agencies’ proposal is the second step in a two-step process to review and revise the definition of “waters of the United States” consistent with President Trump’s February 2017 Executive Order entitled “Restoring the Rule of Law, Federalism, and Economic Growth by Reviewing the ‘Waters of the United States’ Rule.” The Executive Order states that it is in the national interest to ensure that the nation's navigable waters are kept free from pollution, while at the same time promoting economic growth, minimizing regulatory uncertainty, and showing due regard for the roles of Congress and the states under the Constitution.

“The Army and EPA together propose this new definition that provides a clear and predictable approach to regulating 'waters of the United States.' We focused on developing an implementable definition that balances local and national interests under the Clean Water Act,” said R.D. James, Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works. “I have heard from a wide range of stakeholders on Clean Water Act implementation challenges. This proposed definition provides a common-sense approach to managing our nation's waters.”

The agencies’ proposed rule would provide clarity, predictability and consistency so that the regulated community can easily understand where the Clean Water Act applies—and where it does not. Under the agencies’ proposal, traditional navigable waters, tributaries to those waters, certain ditches, certain lakes and ponds, impoundments of jurisdictional waters, and wetlands adjacent to jurisdictional waters would be federally regulated. It also details what are not “waters of the United States,” such as features that only contain water during or in response to rainfall (e.g., ephemeral features); groundwater; many ditches, including most roadside or farm ditches; prior converted cropland; stormwater control features; and waste treatment systems.

The agencies believe this proposed definition appropriately identifies waters that should be subject to regulation under the Clean Water Act while respecting the role of states and tribes in managing their own land and water resources. States and many tribes have existing regulations that apply to waters within their borders, whether or not they are considered “waters of
“Waters of the United States.” The agencies’ proposal gives states and tribes more flexibility in determining how best to manage their land and water resources while protecting the nation’s navigable waters as intended by Congress when it enacted the Clean Water Act.

Robust, publicly accessible data is also a key component of common-sense, cost-effective environmental protection. In response to requests from some states, EPA and the Army are exploring ways the agencies can work with our federal, state, and tribal partners to develop a data or mapping system that could provide a clearer understanding of the presence or absence of jurisdictional waters.

The agencies invited written pre-proposal recommendations and received more than 6,000 recommendations that the agencies have considered in developing this proposal. The agencies listened to those directly affected by the regulations, and this proposal balances the input the agencies received from a wide range of stakeholders.

The agencies will take comment on the proposal for 60 days after publication in the Federal Register. EPA and the Army will also hold an informational webcast on January 10, 2019, and will host a listening session on the proposed rule in Kansas City, KS, on January 23, 2019.

More information including a pre-publication version of the Federal Register notice, the supporting analyses and fact sheets are available at: https://www.epa.gov/wotus-rule.
December 3, 2018

Honorable Debbie Kling, Mayor
Mayor of the City of Nampa
411 Third Street South
Nampa, ID 83651

RE:  Categorical exclusion for the City of Nampa Wastewater Treatment Improvements Project

Dear Mayor Kling:

The Idaho Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) has completed a review of the environmental information document for the City of Nampa Wastewater Improvements Project and has issued a categorical exclusion (Cat Ex) determination. A Cat Ex exempts the referenced project from further substantive environmental review requirements because no environmental effects were found. Enclosed is a signed copy of the Cat Ex for the referenced project.

DEQ has requested that the Idaho Press- Tribune, newspaper of record for the city, publish the enclosed Cat Ex legal notice for the referenced project one time on December 11, 2018. The legal notice will explain how the public can obtain copies of the full Cat Ex.

The City should keep a copy of the Cat Ex and the legal notice as published for their records. No required public comment or waiting period is required after notification of a Cat Ex. Following publication of the legal notice, you may proceed with the State Revolving Fund loan.

Gary Carroll at the DEQ Boise Regional Office, (208) 373-0117, is your local DEQ contact on the referenced project. Please keep him informed of progress on the project.

Sincerely,

Barry N. Burnell
Water Quality Division Administrator

BNB:RK:tg

Enclosures

c: Mary Anna Peavey, DEQ State Office
   Gary Carroll, DEQ Boise Regional Office
   Matt Gregg, Brown and Caldwell (mgregg@brwncald.com)
Categorical Exclusion Determination for the Proposed
City of Nampa Wastewater Treatment Improvements Project, Canyon County, Nampa, Idaho

The Idaho Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) has conducted an environmental review and analysis of the November 2018, City of Nampa Wastewater Environmental Information Document in accordance with state procedures for implementing an environmental review under the State Environmental Review Process (SERP), “Rules for Administration of Water Pollution Control Loans” (IDAPA 58.01.04). DEQ has determined that this project does not involve any extraordinary circumstances requiring additional environmental analysis and documentation and is therefore eligible for a categorical exclusion (Cat Ex). The Cat Ex determination is given to Phase II of the project. Accordingly, the project is exempted from further substantive environmental review requirements under the SERP.

Project Description: The proposed project consists of the following improvements in their wastewater systems.

- Repair the headworks and process equipment and heating, ventilating, and air conditioning (HVAC) system
- Repair primary clarifier structures, mechanisms, and sludge pumps
- Repair primary effluent pump station pumps
- Construct aeration basin
- Install mixed liquor recycle pumps and piping
- Construct a new blower building
- Replace final clarifiers and mechanisms
- Construct final clarifier
- Replace return activated sludge (RAS) pumps
- Replace the waste activated sludge (WAS) pumps and RAS piping
- Construct a side-stream struvite treatment process
- Construct a tertiary filtration system including a pump station
- Construct an ultraviolet disinfection system to meet Class A recycled standards
- Construct an effluent pump station and forcemain to convey Class A recycled water to industrial users
- Construct an effluent pump station and forcemain to convey Class A recycled water to irrigation users
- Replace the post aeration basin process including structure and blower
- Construct primary sludge thickening
- Construct primary digester and corresponding relocation of the waste gas burner
- Expand the solids handling facility
- Construct a new laboratory building with additional administrative space
- Repair primary sludge pumps
- Repair primary digester
- Repair digester mixing pumps
- Repair digester recirculation pumps
- Repair motor control centers

DEQ has determined that this project is consistent with criteria for issuing a Cat Ex for the following reasons:

- The proposed facility improvements are solely directed toward minor rehabilitation of existing facilities, functional replacement of equipment, or the construction of new ancillary facilities adjacent or appurtenant to existing facilities.
The facility improvements will not provide the capacity to serve a population that is projected to grow at a rate 25% in excess of the 20-year population growth rate expectations for the state as a whole according to the Idaho Division of Financial Management projections and are not expected to change the current system’s population by greater than 500 estimated residential units.

There will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment either individually, cumulatively over time, or in conjunction with other federal, state, local, or private actions as a result of this project.

There will be no direct or indirect affects to (a) cultural resource sites; (b) endangered or threatened species or their habitats; (c) essential fish habitat; (d) environmentally important natural resource areas such as floodplains, wetlands, wild and scenic rivers, important farmlands, aquifer recharge zones; or (e) other resource areas identified in DEQ’s guidance.

The alternative selected by the City of Nampa for construction is cost-effective and has not caused significant public controversy.

Short-term impacts may occur during project construction that includes temporary disruption of the treatment system, increased noise, increased dust pollution, increased potential for stormwater runoff, and disruption of localized traffic conditions. The project contractor is responsible for managing the temporary disruptions of the system as follows:

- **Air Quality**: Temporary dust pollution impacts will be controlled as a condition of the construction specifications in accordance with the “Rules for the Control of Air Pollution in Idaho” (IDAPA 58.01.01.651). IDAPA 58.01.01.651 states that reasonable precautions shall be taken for dust control and suppression by using water or chemicals, applying dust suppressants, covering trucks, paving, and removing materials. In addition to the rule requirements, DEQ recommends that a dust prevention and control plan be implemented during construction of the project that includes best management practices (BMPs) to minimize dust pollution for fugitive dust control.

- **Cultural Resources**: If archeological artifacts (such as beads, arrow heads, pottery, fabric, grave goods, glass, metal fragments, or other human-made objects that appear to predate 1960) or human remains (such as bones, bone fragments, or teeth) are inadvertently discovered during construction, ground disturbing activities shall cease and the State Historical Preservation Officer (SHPO), Shoshone-Bannock Tribe, Shoshone-Paiute Tribe, and Burns-Paiute General Council, shall be notified. Mitigation measures will be implemented as directed by SHPO and the tribes, and work will not resume at the discovery site without their consent.

- **Stormwater**: Stormwater runoff shall be handled through an Environmental Protection Agency Stormwater Construction General permit and the development of a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP). The SWPPP and stormwater BMPs will be implemented prior to, during, and after project construction to reduce the potential for erosion from runoff.

- **Noise impacts from the project construction will be reduced by restricting work hours to reasonable times identified in the construction specifications.**

- **The project contractor should implement any other appropriate BMPs to avoid or minimize impacts from other construction activities.**

Overall, completion of the proposed project will have long-term positive effects by improving surface water quality through increased treatment level of wastewater effluent.

The documentation to support this decision is on file and available for public review on DEQ’s website at [www.deq.idaho.gov/water-quality/grants-loans/environmental-assessment.aspx](http://www.deq.idaho.gov/water-quality/grants-loans/environmental-assessment.aspx) or at the following locations:
A one-time publication is required in the newspaper of record. DEQ has requested the Idaho Press- Tribune publish the Cat Ex legal notice for the City of Nampa Wastewater Treatment Improvements Project one time on December 11, 2018. The legal notice will inform the public that the proposed project has received a Cat Ex, which excludes the project from further environmental review.

The Cat Ex and published legal notice should be made part of the final planning document for this project.

Barry N. Burnell
Water Quality Division Administrator
LEGAL NOTICE OF CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION FOR THE CITY OF NAMPA WASTEWATER TREATMENT IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT

The Idaho Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) has determined that the proposed wastewater improvement project for the City of Nampa, Canyon County, Idaho, conforms to the State Environmental Review Process (SERP), “Rules for Administration of Water Pollution Control Loans” (IDAPA 58.01.12) by which the project is eligible for a categorical exclusion. Accordingly, the project is exempted from further substantive environmental review requirements.

Project Description:

- Repair the headworks and process equipment and heating, ventilating, and air conditioning (HVAC) system
- Repair primary clarifier structures, mechanisms, and sludge pumps
- Repair primary effluent pump station pumps
- Construct aeration basin
- Install mixed liquor recycle pumps and piping
- Construct a new blower building
- Replace final clarifiers and mechanisms
- Construct final clarifier
- Replace return activated sludge (RAS) pumps
- Replace the waste activated sludge (WAS) pumps and RAS piping
- Construct a side-stream struvite treatment process
- Construct a tertiary filtration system including a pump station
- Construct an ultraviolet disinfection system to meet Class A recycled standards
- Construct an effluent pump station and forcemain to convey Class A recycled water to industrial users
- Construct an effluent pump station and forcemain to convey Class A recycled water to irrigation users
- Replace the post aeration basin process including structure and blower
- Construct primary sludge thickening
- Construct primary digester and corresponding relocation of the waste gas burner
- Expand the solids handling facility
- Construct a new laboratory building with additional administrative space
- Repair primary sludge pumps
- Repair primary digester
- Repair digester mixing pumps
- Repair digester recirculation pumps
- Repair motor control centers

Copies of the notice of categorical exclusion and the environmental information document upon which it is based are available for public review on DEQ's website at www.deq.idaho.gov/water-quality/grants-loans/environmental-review.aspx or at the following locations:
Idaho Department of Environmental Quality
Water Quality Division
1410 North Hilton
Boise, Idaho 83706
Grant/loan SERP contact: Rocky Kharel (208) 373-0556

Idaho Department of Environmental Quality
Boise Regional Office
1445 N. Orchard Street
Boise, Idaho 83706
Regional Office contact: Gary Carroll (208) 373-0117

City of Nampa
411 Third Street South
Nampa, Idaho 83651
Contact: Andy Zimmerman (208) 468-5840
BID AWARD
REMODEL FOR CITY OF NAMPA FAMILY JUSTICE CENTER
THE CITY OF NAMPA, MANGUM BUILDING

- Facilities Development, as part the Department of Building Safety and Facilities Development, is charged with maintaining and improving City property. Facilities Development has identified the need for a remodel for the Family Justice Center. The Proposer provides all management, supervision, labor, materials, supplies, and equipment, and will plan, schedule, coordinate and assure effective performance of all services described herein.

- Facilities Development solicited bids from contractors for the remodel project. A mandatory walk through was conducted at the project site on December 6, 2018 at 10:00 a.m.

- A total of five (5) bids were received on December 18, 2018.

- The apparent low bid was received from Excelsior Construction, however, on December 19, 2018 Excelsior Construction withdrew their bid pursuant to Idaho Code 54-1904E.

- The apparent low bid for the project is EKC, Inc.

- Documentation has been reviewed by the City Attorney.

- The project is to be funded by CDBG funds.

- Contractor will be required to provide necessary bonds, insurance and other documents before the agreement can be executed and the Notice to Proceed issued.

REQUEST: Council award bid, and authorize Mayor Kling to sign contract with EKC, Inc. for the Remodel for the Nampa Family Justice Center at the Mangum Building, not to exceed contract amount of $110,819.
December 19, 2018

Withdrawal of Excelsior Construction Bid dated December 18, 2018 for the Family Justice Center Remodel Project

Re: December 18, 2018 Family Justice Center Remodel Project Bid Opening

On December 18, 2018 at 2:00 p.m. bids were opened for the Family Justice Center Remodel Project. Five bids were opened. The apparent low bidder was Excelsior Construction. On December 19, 2018 Excelsior Construction requested that their bid be withdrawn. Pursuant to Idaho Code 54-1904E City of Nampa, Facilities Development has determined that Excelsior Construction is entitled to relief from the bid because of a mistake. Excelsior Construction has established the following:

a) A mathematical mistake was made. Excelsior Construction incorrectly calculated the required contingency of $17,500 in their bid amount.

b) Excelsior Construction provided written notice to City of Nampa Facilities Division one calendar day after the opening of the bids. Excelsior Construction specified that the mistake was a mathematical calculation error.

c) The mistake was material.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bidder</th>
<th>Add. 1</th>
<th>Bid Bond</th>
<th>$17,500 Allowances included</th>
<th>Base Bid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excelsior Construction</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>$93,750.00 <strong>Excelsior Construction withdrew their bid on 12/19/18.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petra, Inc.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>$166,530.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest Technologies</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>$154,141.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wright Brothers</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>$143,039.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EKC, Inc.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>$110,819.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
November 19, 2018
Jared Bryan
Economic Development and Community Development Project Manager
9 12th Ave S
Nampa, ID 83651

Dear Mr Bryan and the members of the Nampa City Council,

I would like to humbly thank you for considering me as a member of the Venue Management Advisory Commission. I believe that I could offer a unique perspective to the commission because of my position as a business owner (Idaho Equine Hospital) who has direct knowledge and appreciation for how the venues impact our local businesses. I believe firmly that the venues contribute greatly to improving our community and quality of life in Nampa. I attend events at the Ford Idaho Center, the horse park, and the Civic center allowing me first hand knowledge of these wonderful facilities.

Through my work with the Idaho Horse Park Foundation, I have developed a good working relationship with Tim Savona and his incredible team at the Ford Idaho Center. This relationship would allow me to transition easily into a role on the commission since we have already established a mutual respect for each other and have worked well together in the past.

I have been a member of the Nampa business community since 2005 when I began working as a veterinarian at Idaho Equine Hospital. That role expanded when my husband and I purchased a portion of the veterinary practice in 2009, and grew again when we purchased a portion of the property that houses our facility in 2016. To say that my family has deep roots in Nampa is an understatement. We have effectively invested our entire future in the business and the City of Nampa, and we are proud to be a part of the local community and economy.

I am so excited to see the tremendous progress that has been made in the management of the facilities in recent years. I also believe that there is great potential that remains and that these venues have not yet reached the pinnacle of what they can contribute to our local economy. I would welcome an opportunity to work on the commission and to bring new and innovative ideas on how each of these facilities can grow and draw more visitors to the beautiful City of Nampa.

Sincerely yours,

Robin Knight DVM, DACVIM
November 19, 2018

Robin Knight DVM, DACVIM
2945 S White Pointe Ln.
Meridian, ID
83642

Dear Mr. Bryan and the members of the Nampa City Council,

I would like to humbly thank you for considering me as a member of the Venue Management Advisory Commission. I believe that I could offer a unique perspective to the commission because of my position as a business owner (Idaho Equine Hospital) who has direct knowledge and appreciation for how the venues impact our local businesses. I believe firmly that the venues contribute greatly to improving our community and quality of life in Nampa. I attend events at the Ford Idaho Center, the horse park, and the Civic center allowing me first hand knowledge of these wonderful facilities.

Through my work with the Idaho Horse Park Foundation, I have developed a good working relationship with Tim Savona and his incredible team at the Ford Idaho Center. This relationship would allow me to transition easily into a role on the commission since we have already established a mutual respect for each other and have worked well together in the past.

I have been a member of the Nampa business community since 2005 when I began working as a veterinarian at Idaho Equine Hospital. That role expanded when my husband and I purchased a portion of the veterinary practice in 2009, and grew again when we purchased a portion of the property that houses our facility in 2016. To say that my family has deep roots in Nampa is an understatement. We have effectively invested our entire future in the business and the City of Nampa, and we are proud to be a part of the local community and economy.

I am so excited to see the tremendous progress that has been made in the management of the facilities in recent years. I also believe that there is great potential that remains and that these venues have not yet reached the pinnacle of what they can contribute to our local economy. I would welcome an opportunity to work on the commission and to bring new and innovative ideas on how each of these facilities can grow and draw more visitors to the beautiful City of Nampa.

Sincerely yours,

Robin Knight DVM, DACVIM
Profile
I am honored to be considered for the Venue Management Advisory Commission. I believe that I would bring a unique perspective to the commission. I have worked in Nampa since 2005, and have been a business owner in the city of Nampa since 2009 (Idaho Equine Hospital). I have been a landowner of the property that houses our business since 2016. My husband and I have invested our savings, our livelihood, and our future in our business and the city of Nampa. My work with the Idaho Horse Park Foundation has strengthened my appreciation of how much our unique venues in Nampa are essential to our local economy and how they improve the quality of life and national appeal of our city. I am deeply motivated to help ensure that the Idaho Center, the Horse Park, and the Nampa Civic center continue to evolve so that they remain a large draw of visitors and revenue for the City of Nampa.

Professional Experience
Marketing Director, Idaho Equine Hospital — 2016-present
Responsible for designing and maintaining our company website as well as managing our social media platforms. Write original educational articles an human interest stories for our blog and social media to help inform our clients about conditions that affect our equine patients.

Veterinarian, Idaho Equine Hospital, Nampa ID — 2005-2013
As the hospital’s internal medicine specialist, managed critical and intensive medical cases. Performed diagnostics including ultrasound, radiographs, endoscopy, etc. Responsible for designing treatment plans and assessing patient response to therapy. Communication with clients and effectively educating them about the often complex ailments that affected their horses was an essential part of my job. Managed 3-4 veterinary technicians and and provided veterinary training and management of intern veterinarians (2 per year).

Veterinarian, Pioneer Equine Hospital, Oakdale CA — 2001-2002
Completed a one year internship at an extremely busy equine hospital. Responsible for assessment of all hospitalized cases and administering treatments to patients. Induced horses for and maintained anesthesia for surgical cases. Assisted senior veterinarians with all aspects of diagnosis and treatment of medical, lameness, and surgical cases.
Veterinary Assistant, Moab Vet Clinic, Moab UT — 1998-1999
Responsible for cleaning of the patient cages and treatment areas, administration of medications, bandage changes, and patient assessment. Routinely monitored anesthetized patients and assisted with surgeries.

Calf Feeder and Herdsman, Dyecrest Dairy, Fort Collins CO — 1996-1997
Responsible for all of the feeding, medicating, and housing for 120 dairy calves. When promoted to herdsman, was responsible for treating all of the sick cows, milking the cows in the sick pen, monitoring the entire herd for estrus, and performing AI. I also oversaw the hiring and job performance of the calf feeders.

Volunteer Experience
Idaho Horse Park Foundation Secretary — September 2017-present
As part of the penguin section I have relished learning how to care for and work with the unique needs of the animals in my section. I am researching capybara to try to come up with ideas for solutions for their gastrointestinal issues to share with my keeper.

Animal Care Volunteer, Zoo Boise, Boise ID — July 2018-present
As part of the penguin section I have relished learning how to care for and work with the unique needs of the animals in my section. I am researching capybara to try to come up with ideas for solutions for their gastrointestinal issues to share with my keeper.

Education
Texas A&M University, College Station TX — residency training in Large Animal Internal Medicine. 2002-2005

Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO — Doctorate of Veterinary Medicine 2001. Summa Cum Laude

Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO — Bachelors in Animal Science 1996.

Publication

References
Dr Liz Scott. Idaho Equine Hospital. 208-466-4613. lizscottdvm@gmail.com

Dr Billy Maupin. Idaho Equine Hospital. 208-466-4613. eqrepair@aol.com
MEMORANDUM

TO: Mayor Kling and Nampa City Council  
FROM: Darrin Johnson, Parks and Recreation Director  
DATE: January 07, 2019  
RE: Termination of Agreement with South Valley Drive HOA

In 2017 the Nampa Parks Division and the Nampa Meridian Irrigation District (NMID) organized maintenance near a section of the Wilson Pathway located southwest of the Panda Express on 12th Ave Rd. The maintenance was done to maintain and repair the Wilson Drain. As a result of the maintenance, trees on the NMID maintenance easement were removed. When the maintenance was completed, at the request of the South Valley Drive HOA, the Parks Division established a letter of agreement between the City and the HOA permitting the HOA to plant trees on City of Nampa Property. The purpose for the agreement was to satisfy the homeowners who had concerns about the removal of trees during the drain repair.

After the agreement was ratified and the HOA planted trees, the NMID took issue with the trees being planted on their maintenance easement. Referring to a 2003 agreement between the City and the NMID, the district notified the Parks Division that the City would need approval from the NMID to restore landscaping. With this, Nampa Parks and Recreation determined it is in the City of Nampa’s best interest to request city council authorize the termination of the agreement between the City and the South Valley Drive Homeowners Association. The original agreement is attached with an exhibit showing location.

In a separate agreement (that will be presented for approval on January 7, 2019) the City of Nampa is requesting city council approve a Landscape License Agreement between the City of Nampa and the NMID. This agreement will allow shrubs but not the replacement of trees.

Action Requested:

Nampa Parks and Recreation requests Nampa City Council authorize the Parks and Recreation Department to give a 30-day notice to terminate the Letter of Agreement, dated September 4, 2018, with the South Valley Homeowners Association.
TO: Mayor Kling and Nampa City Council
FROM: Darrin Johnson, Parks and Recreation Director
DATE: September 4, 2018
RE: Authorize Permission for Landscape Improvements with local Home Owners Association.

Nampa Parks staff have met with the South Valley Drive Homeowners Association regarding their request to make improvements on the Wilson Pathway. The home owners association proposes to make landscape improvements on property owned by the City of Nampa.

Attached is an agreement with specific details. The Nampa Parks and Recreation Department Staff support the request as proposed in the Letter of Agreement.

Action Requested:
Authorize the Mayor to sign an agreement allowing the South Valley Drive Homeowners Association to make landscape improvements on the Wilson Pathway.
LETTER OF AGREEMENT

Project Name: Wilson Drain Landscaping Improvements, Nampa, Canyon County

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, THAT the City of Nampa (hereinafter “Grantor”), whose address is 411 Third Street South, Nampa, Idaho 83651 and its successors and assigns, for the purpose of improving the landscape as requested by the South Valley Drive Home Owners Association, (herein “Grantee”), whose president’s address is 316 South Valley Drive Nampa, ID 83686, is hereby acknowledged, and hereby grants unto the Grantee, the right to go upon, irrigate, plant grass, trees and make landscape improvements upon the following described property:

Property Known As
City of Nampa Right of Way (.922 acres)
Lot 15 of Block 1
South Valley Subdivision

A portion of the property as shown on Exhibit "A" (plan sheet) attached hereto and made a part hereof.

For the purpose of improving a portion of Wilson Drain landscape by Grantee, together with the right and privilege of ingress and egress to and from said property for said purposes.

1. **Grantor Authority.** Grantor does hereby covenant with Grantee that he lawfully owns and possesses the aforementioned and described tract of land, and that he has a good and lawful right to convey such use. Grantor acknowledges that for the granting of access, no monetary compensation will be paid.

2. **Conditions of Use.** Grantee shall have the right to enter the specified area for purpose of planting and maintaining approved landscaping plants.

   - All landscaping plant species must be preapproved by the City Forester prior to installation.
   - Locations of all plants must be preapproved by City Forester prior to installation.
   - Plant materials, irrigation and any associated costs shall be at the sole expense of the Grantee.
   - Pruning, tree removal or arborist work will be performed by the City Forester at the expense of the City of Nampa.
   - Any landscaping improvements become property of the City of Nampa.
   - Changes or removal of landscaping is at the sole discretion of the City of Nampa.
   - Berms or grade changes are prohibited.

3. **Term.** This Letter of Agreement is on-going from the adoption by Nampa City Council date, however subject to termination as described herein. In the event either the Grantor or
Grantee wishes to terminate the Letter of Agreement, they shall do so with a signed written notice to the other party 30 days prior to termination.

4. **Indemnification.** Grantee agrees to indemnify and hold harmless Grantor from and against any and all claims, damages, liabilities, costs or any expenses whatsoever arising from or caused, directly or indirectly, by the presence upon or performance of activities by Grantee or its agents, employees, invitees, contractors and subcontractors, with respect to entry onto the property, and for bodily or personal injury or death of any person, or damage to the property resulting from such acts or omissions of Grantee or its agents, employees, invitees, contractors and subcontractors.

The foregoing indemnity shall be effective unless damage is caused by the sole negligence or willful misconduct of Grantor, and is in addition to any other rights or remedies, which Grantor may have under the law or under this Agreement.

5. **Assumption of Liability.** Grantee releases Grantor from and assumes any and all risk of loss, damage or injury of any kind to any person or property under the control or custody of Grantee or its agents, employees, invitees, contractors or subcontractors in connection with any acts associated with the Project.

Grantor assumes any and all risk of loss, damage or injury of any kind to any person or property under the control or custody of Grantor or its agents, employees, invitees, contractors or subcontractors in connection with any acts associated with public use of this public property.

6. **Entire Agreement.** This Agreement contains the entire agreement between the parties regarding matters conveyed herein and shall inure to the benefit of and be binding upon the successors and assigns of both parties.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, parties have hereunto set their hands this 4th day of July, 2018.

**GRANTOR: CITY OF NANDA**

By: [Signature]
Debbie Kling, Mayor

Attest:
[Signature]
City Clerk

**GRANTEE: SOUTH VALLEY DRIVE HOMEOWNERS ASSN;**

By: [Signature]
Property Owner

[Signature]
MEMORANDUM

TO: Mayor Kling and Nampa City Council
FROM: Darrin Johnson, Parks and Recreation Director
DATE: January 07, 2019
RE: Approve Nampa Meridian Irrigation District Landscape License Agreement

On a portion of the Wilson Pathway, located Southwest of the Panda Express on 12th Ave. Rd, Nampa Parks and Recreation has negotiated a license agreement with the Nampa Meridian Irrigation District. The agreement allows shrubs to be planted along the pathway but limits the City from planting trees. The location pertaining to the agreement is described in the attached exhibits.

This action will replace an agreement that was established between the City Parks and Recreation Department and the South Valley Drive Homeowners Association. In a separate action item, the Nampa Parks and Recreation Department will request the described agreement with the homeowners association be terminated.

Action Requested:

Nampa Parks and Recreation requests Nampa City Council authorize the Mayor to sign the Landscape License Agreement between the City of Nampa Parks and Recreation Department and the Nampa Meridian Irrigation District.
December 6, 2018

Cody Swander, Parks Superintendent
Nampa Parks Division
312 1st Street South
Nampa, ID 83651

RE: Request for Landscaping Along Wilson Drain

Dear Cody:

Nampa & Meridian Irrigation District (NMID) has finished its review of your proposal to allow nine (9) shrubs and grass to encroach within the easement for the Wilson Drain. The easement for the Wilson Drain at this location is a minimum of one hundred feet (100') total, fifty feet (50') on each side of centerline.

Previously, seven (7) shrubs and two (2) trees were planted approximately twenty seven feet (27') from the centerline of the Wilson Drain without an agreement between NMID and The City of Nampa. You have proposed that the two trees that do not meet NMIDs current landscaping requirements, will be removed and replaced with shrubs.

This will be acceptable to NMID providing a license agreement is obtained for this landscaping per the terms of The Master Agreement between The City of Nampa and NMID. Please contact Attorney Bryce Farris with Sawtooth Law Offices at (208) 629-7447, or P.O. Box 7985 Boise, ID 83707 and ask that he prepare this document.

It is my understanding that once this agreement is in place that the prior agreement the City has with the S. Valley Subdivision HOA for this landscaping will be nullified.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter and please feel free to contact me if you have any further questions at (208) 466-0663.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Greg G. Curtis
Water Superintendent
Nampa & Meridian Irrigation District
GGC

Cc: Attorney Farris
    Each Director
    A. Madsen, Asst. Water Superintendent
    D Duvall, Crew Foreman
    Office/File
LICENSE AGREEMENT

LICENSE AGREEMENT, made and entered into this ___ day of __________, 2018, by and between NAMPA & MERIDIAN IRRIGATION DISTRICT, an irrigation district organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Idaho, party of the first party, hereinafter referred to as the “District,” and

THE CITY OF NAMPA, a political subdivision and municipality of the State of Idaho (hereinafter “City”).

party or parties of the second part, hereinafter referred to as the “Licensee,”

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, the parties hereto entered into a Master Agreement dated October 21, 2003, recorded as Instrument No. 200372529, records of Canyon County, Idaho, hereinafter referred to as the “Master Agreement;” and,

WHEREAS, said Master Agreement grants to Licensee the right to cross, encroach upon (including pathways) and discharge storm water into the District’s ditches, canals and easements therefor upon the terms and conditions of said Master Agreement and after the execution of a license agreement for each proposed crossing or encroachment; and,

WHEREAS, the Licensee is the owner of the right-of-way/real property (burdened with the easement of the District hereinafter mentioned) particularly described in Exhibit A attached hereto and by this reference made a part hereof; and,

WHEREAS, the District controls the irrigation/drainage ditch, canal or lateral known as the WILSON DRAIN (hereinafter referred to as “ditch or lateral”) together with the real property and/or easements to convey irrigation and drainage water, to operate and maintain the ditch or lateral, and which crosses and intersects said described real property of the Licensee as shown on Exhibit B attached hereto and by this reference made a part hereof; and,

WHEREAS, the Licensee desires a license to construct and install and/or approve prior construction and installation of landscaping, consisting of nine (9) shrubs within the District’s easement for the Wilson Drain, all within Licensee’s property located in South Valley Subdivision in Nampa, Canyon County, Idaho;

NOW, THEREFORE, for and in consideration of the premisses and of the covenants, agreement and conditions hereinafter set forth and those set forth in said Master Agreement, the parties hereto agree as follows:

LICENSE AGREEMENT - Page 1
1. Licensee may construct, operate, maintain and repair and/or approve prior construction and installation of landscaping, consisting of nine (9) shrubs within the District’s easement for the Wilson Drain, all within Licensee’s property located in South Valley Subdivision in Nampa, Canyon County, Idaho.

2. Any construction, widening or crossing of said ditch or lateral shall be performed in accordance with the “Special Conditions” stated in Exhibit C, attached hereto and by this reference made part hereof.

3. The parties hereto incorporate in and make part of this License Agreement all of the covenants, conditions, and agreements of said Master Agreement unchanged except as the result of the provisions of this License Agreement.

The covenants, conditions and agreements herein contained and incorporated by reference shall constitute covenants to run with, and running with, all of the lands of the Licensee described in said Exhibit A, and shall be binding on each of the parties hereto and on all parties and all persons claiming under them or either of them, and the advantages hereof shall inure to the benefit of each of the parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the District has hereunto caused its corporate name to be subscribed by its officers first hereunto duly authorized by resolution of its Board of Directors and the Licensee has hereunto subscribed its corporate name to be subscribed and its seal to be affixed thereto, all as of the day and year herein first above written.

NAMPA & MERIDIAN IRRIGATION DISTRICT

By__________________________________
Its President

ATTEST:

__________________________________
Its Secretary

THE CITY OF NAMPA

By__________________________________

ATTEST:

__________________________________

LICENSE AGREEMENT - Page 2
STATE OF IDAHO   
       ) ss: 
County of Canyon   )

On this _____ day of __________, 2018, before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for said State, personally appeared Clinton C. Pline and Daren R. Coon, known to me to be the President and Secretary, respectively, of NAMPA & MERIDIAN IRRIGATION DISTRICT, the irrigation district that executed the foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that such irrigation district executed the same.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal, the day and year in this certificate first above written.

__________________________________
Notary Public for Idaho
Residing at ___________, Idaho
My Commission Expires: ____________

STATE OF IDAHO   
       ) ss: 
County of ___   )

On this _____ day of __________, 2018, before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for said State, personally appeared ______________ and ______________, known to me to be the ______________ and ______________, respectively, of The CITY OF NAMPA, the entity that executed the foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that such entity executed the same.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal, the day and year in this certificate first above written.

__________________________________
Notary Public for ____________
Residing at ____________, __________
My Commission Expires: ____________
QUITCLAIM DEED

For Value Received

Harmon Johnson
do hereby convey, release, remise and forever quit claim
unto the City of Nampa
the following described premises, to-wit:
Lot 15, Block 1, South Valley Subdivision as recorded at Page 6 in Book 19
of Plats in the office of the Canyon County, Idaho Recorder's office.

together with their appurtenances.

Dated:
12-18-90

STATE OF IDAHO, COUNTY OF
On this 18th day of Dec., 1990,
before me, a notary public in and for said State, personally appeared
Harmon Johnson
known to me to be the person
subscribed to the within instrument, and acknowledged to me that he
Residing at
Comm. Expires
Nampa, Idaho
4-11-1993

Exhibit A
EXHIBIT B
Crossing Locations

See Exhibit C-1 attached hereto.

EXHIBIT C
Special Conditions

a. Construction authorized by this License Agreement shall be in accordance with certain plans consisting of one sheet attached hereto as Exhibit C-1 and by this reference incorporated herein. The plans show three trees which will be removed by Licensee as a condition of this License Agreement. Removal of the three trees is an express condition of this Agreement, and an express condition of allowing the landscaping authorized by this License Agreement, and this Agreement may be terminated at the option of the District if said trees are not remove. Removal of the trees shall occur prior to April 1, 2019. Time is of the essence.

b. Licensee shall notify the District’s Superintendent prior to and immediately after construction so that he or the District’s engineers may inspect and approve the construction.

c. Licensee acknowledges and agrees that should the landscaping or other encroachments need to be removed in order for the District to access, operate, maintain or repair the Wilson Drain, it shall be Licensee’s obligation and cost of removing or replacing the landscaping and/or encroachments. Licensee further agrees that the District shall not be liable for any damages which shall occur to the landscaping or other encroachments in the reasonable exercise of the rights of the District in the course of performance of maintenance or repair of the Wilson Drain.

d. Licensee acknowledges and confirms that the District’s easement for the ditch or lateral includes a sufficient area of land to convey irrigation and drainage water, to operate, clean, maintain and repair the ditch or lateral, and to access the ditch or lateral for said purposes and is a minimum of 100 feet, 50 feet to either side of the centerline for the Wilson Drain.

e. Construction authorized by this agreement shall be completed within one year of the date of this Agreement. Time is of the essence.
Bid Award

Aerial Sewer Replacements FY19

(As approved in FY19 budget)

• Each year as part of the City's Asset Management program the Wastewater Division identifies sanitary sewer lines and infrastructure that need rehabilitation or replacement.

• In 2017 (FY17) the City had to perform an emergency repair on an aerial sewer crossing at Broadmore Ave to stop sewage discharge into Indian Creek. The emergency repair highlighted the need for the City to be more proactive in replacing failing aerial sewer crossings.

• For FY18 the Wastewater Division identified six (6) aerial sanitary sewer crossings in need of replacement (Exhibit A).

• T-O Engineers, Inc. was selected by interview to design the project and assist with easement acquisition, bidding and construction.

• The aerial crossings were designed in FY18 and construction in FY19 to coincide with the irrigation offseason. Constructing the project during the irrigation offseason will reduce the need for bypass pumping and improve constructability.

• The Aerial Sewer Replacements FY19 project has an approved Wastewater Division budget of $685,000.

• The project was put out to bid in September, and we received no bids.

• Engineering contacted Blue Sky Construction and negotiated a price for the work.
  o Concept, Design, Bidding Preparation $ 82,954.00
  o Construction $365,159.67
  Total $448,113.67

• Construction inspection will be performed in house saving an estimated $40,200.00

• This is aligned with the City’s goal is to improve the level of service and lower consulting costs by adding in-house construction inspection, civil engineering design, planning and public involvement capacity. Hire additional staff to complete approximately 20-30% of civil engineering design/public involvement and 60% of construction engineering inspection services in-house within five years.

• Engineering Division recommends awarding the project.

REQUEST: Award quote to Blue Sky Construction and authorize Mayor to sign contract for FY19 Aerial Sewer Replacement Project in the amount of $365,159.67.
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT
Midland Boulevard Re-Striping (W. Greenhurst Road to Caldwell Boulevard)
(As Approved in FY19 Budget)

• The draft transportation masterplan identifies this stretch of the Midland corridor be reviewed for safety and striping plan. The roadway segment spans approximately 3.5 miles. (Exhibit A)

• The purpose of the Midland Boulevard Re-Striping (W. Greenhurst Road to Caldwell Boulevard) project is to increase safety, reduce delay, and improve conductivity along the corridor.

• A conceptual pavement marking layout for the Midland Boulevard corridor has been completed as part of a previous project, however further development will require additional design and public involvement to coordinate access and parking with adjacent property owners.

• Paragon Consulting, Inc. was selected to perform professional design services for the Midland Boulevard Re-Striping project.

• Paragon Consulting, Inc. provided a scope of work for $28,700 to provide design and public involvement services to meet with property owners along the corridor, conduct a public open house, review accident history, complete a report, striping plans and bid documents (Exhibit B)

• The current approved budget is $75,000 and is from the FY19 Streets Division.

• Engineering Division has reviewed the Scope of Work and recommends approval of the task order to Paragon Consulting, Inc. in the amount of $28,700.

REQUEST: Authorize Mayor and Public Works Director to sign Task Order and Contract with Paragon Consulting, Inc. for professional services on Midland Boulevard Re-Striping (W. Greenhurst Road to Caldwell Boulevard) project in the amount of $28,700 (T&M N.T.E.)
Exhibit A: Midland Blvd Re-Striping
(W Greenhurst Rd to Caldwell Blvd)
SCOPE OF WORK
FOR
CITY OF NAMPA
MIDLAND BLVD. RE-STRIPING

CITY OF NAMPA PROJECT NO. PWST190009
CITY OF NAMPA TASK ORDER NO. ________

PARAGON PROJECT No. 005-18-009

DECEMBER 26, 2018

Prepared By:
Paragon Consulting, Inc.
157 W. 4th Street
Kuna, Idaho 83634

Project Manager:
W. Joe Barton, P.E.
(208) 921-8486
Scope of Work

Date: December 26, 2018
City of Nampa Project Number: PWST190009
City of Nampa Task Order Number:
Project Name: Midland Blvd. Re-Striping
Consultant Company Address:
   PARAGON Consulting, Inc.
   157 W. 4th Street
   Kuna, ID 83634
Consultant Project Manager/Contact Information:
   W. Joe Barton, P.E.
   (208) 921-8486 (Cell)
   j Barton@paragonfbk.com
Contract Amount: $28,700.00 (T/M NTE)
Duration: 236 Calendar Days

Project Description and Assumptions:
The CITY has identified Midland Blvd., from W. Greenhurst Rd. to Caldwell Blvd., for re-striping to
maximize the number of travel lanes. This segment of roadway spans approximately 3.5 miles.

Under a prior scope of work, Paragon prepared a conceptual pavement marking layout for the Midland
Blvd. corridor. The CITY desires to continue development of the project through public involvement, City
Council coordination, pavement markings plan development and implementation of the final pavement
markings through bidding and construction.

Major tasks under this scope of work include:
   • Prepare informational material and meet with individual property owners along the corridor
   • Prepare exhibits and host a public open house meeting
   • Compile data and public input into a letter report for presentation to City Council
   • Develop final Pavement Marking Plans and Bidding Documents
   • Assist the City Engineering Division through the bidding and construction phase of the project

Due to the type of project and anticipated cost, the project bidding will be completed through the three-
quote process.

No geotechnical or environmental evaluations will be required and no special permits are anticipated.

Information to be provided by the City of Nampa
The City of Nampa will provide Paragon with the following, as required to complete this project:
   • Current GIS information for use in preparing maps and exhibits
   • City representation at the public open house
   • Review and approval of public presentation materials
1. Project Management

1.1. **CITY Meeting(s)** – PARAGON will schedule progress meetings with CITY, prepare agendas, review Project elements, progress, and potential problems on a regular basis. Up to 3 meetings are anticipated.

1.2. **Budget and Tracking** – PARAGON to provide monthly progress reports, detailing expenditures to date, percent of budget spent, percent complete, and schedule. Monthly progress reports will be submitted with monthly invoices.

1.3. **Council Meeting** – PARAGON will prepare Nampa City Council write-ups and exhibits, attend council meeting(s) to answer questions, etc. Assume one (1) council meeting and one (1) council write-up.

Minimum Deliverables:
- Monthly Schedule Progress Reports including updated Project progress and approved schedule revisions
- Monthly budget tracking report

2. Design Services

2.1. **Public Outreach**

2.1.1. **Property Owner Coordination** – PARAGON will prepare exhibits and informational material for use in identifying and communicating Project information to the property owners, adjacent to the Project. PARAGON will meet with the adjacent property owners fronting along Midland Boulevard to discuss potential impacts to access and parking changes. There are approximately 60 properties fronting along Midland Boulevard, within the Project limits.

2.1.2. **Public Mailers** – PARAGON will prepare and distribute an informational mailer to the properties fronting along Midland Boulevard. The initial mailer will include an introduction to the project and request an in-person meeting.

PARAGON will prepare an informational mailer for distribution by the CITY. This mailer will include information from the pavement marking plans and will announce the Public Open House.

PARAGON will prepare a follow up informational mailer for distribution by the CITY prior to making any pavement marking changes. The follow up mailer will contain information relative to the construction duration and potential impacts to traffic.

2.1.3. **Public Open House** – PARAGON will prepare exhibits and informational material for use in conducting a Public Open House meeting to disseminate information relative to the...
Project. The Public Open House will be held following the individual property owner meetings and striping layout coordination with the CITY.

Public Open House exhibits are anticipated to include an aerial photograph background, overlay with the proposed project striping design. Additionally, a one page informational flyer will be developed to hand out at the meeting.

PARAGON will incorporate review comments received during the open house and other comments received by the CITY into the final report for presentation to City Council.

PARAGON will work with CITY staff to secure a location close to the project for the open house meeting.

2.1.4. Public Outreach Summary – PARAGON will analyze and summarize the information collected from individual property owner meeting and the public open house. This information will be tabulated for use in the final report.

2.2. Accident Research – PARAGON will use the Idaho Local Road Crash Data on LHTAC’s website to collect accident data along the corridor. This data will then be analyzed to identify potential locations where pavement marking changes may be implemented to reduce accident potential. In addition, the accident data will be used to compare accident statistics for the existing various lane configurations along the existing corridor.

2.3. Report – PARAGON will prepare a report to summarize the proposed pavement marking changes, accident analysis and public comment for the proposed work area. The report will include a map of the study area, a map of recommended pavement marking changes and a summary table of the analysis and public comment. One electronic (pdf) copy of the report will be provided to the CITY. This report will be the basis of the City Council agenda item to consider a no parking request along the corridor.

2.4. Plans – PARAGON will prepare pavement marking plans for the proposed work area along Midland Blvd., from W. Greenhurst Rd. to Caldwell Blvd. The plans will detail the changes to the existing striping to meet the recommendations in the report. For the purpose of estimating the required effort, it is assumed that up to 3.5 miles of pavement marking plans will be prepared.

2.5. Contract Documents – PARAGON will prepare contract documents using the CITY provided template document(s) in Microsoft (MS) Word format. The contract documents will be setup for the public works quote process and will include the following sections:

- Request for Quotation form
- Standard form of Agreement Between Owner and Contractor
- WH-5 Public Works Contract Report
- Performance & Payment Bonds
- Contractor’s Affidavit Concerning Taxes
- Naming of Subcontractor(s)
• Notice of Award & Notice to Proceed
• Supplementary Conditions
• Special Provisions

Draft contract documents will be completed for review at the Final Design stage of the project development and then finalized for publication during the PS&E stage of the project development.

3. Bid Administration and Support

3.1. Bid Documents – PARAGON will prepare an electronic set of bid documents and plans to be distributed during the bid process.

3.2. Pre-Bid Meeting – Not required.

3.3. Bid Administration – PARAGON will review bid questions, prepare addendum, and advise the CITY on bid inquiries. Assume one (1) addendum will be issued.

3.4. Bid Opening – PARAGON will attend bid opening, prepare bid summary, assist CITY in reviewing bids and make recommendation for award.

4. Construction Engineering and Inspection, Administration Assistance

4.1. Field Assistance – PARAGON will provide on-site field assistance to the CITY for layout of new Pavement Markings, as recommended from the analysis report and in accordance with the pavement marking plans. The CITY will provide pavement marking tabs and PARAGON will assist the Streets Division with making field measurements and placing the tabs prior to the CITY’s pavement marking operations.

It is assumed that no survey will be required to set the pavement marking tabs and all measurements will be made with hand held tape measures and measuring wheels.

Project Schedule
PARAGON proposes to implement its services from January 7, 2019 thru August 31, 2019 (236 Calendar Days) with the following Milestones:

• Task Order Notice to Proceed – January 7, 2019 (Council approval of Task Order)
• Individual Property Owner Meetings Complete – March 4, 2017
• Public Open House – March 28, 2019
• Report Complete(Council consideration of no parking request) – May 6, 2019
• Plans & Quote Documents Complete – June 1, 2019
• Construction Complete – August 31, 2019
Cost of Services
Services will be on a time and materials not-to-exceed (NTE) basis.

   Project Management $2,400.00
   Design Services $22,765.00
   Bid Support $755.00
   CE&I $2,780.00

Total Cost of Services: $28,700.00

Attached is the labor estimate and cost summary (see attached “Exhibit A”).

Attachments:

   Exhibit A – Labor Estimate
Task Order Review Checklist

Project: Midland Blvd. Re-Striping

Date: December 26, 2018

SOW should contain the following information:

1) Name of Project
2) Name of Firm
3) Contact Name and Number
4) Current Date
5) Page Numbers
6) Outline of task(s) to be provided
   a) PM, Design, Construction
7) Project Schedule
   a) Milestone Dates and Cost Estimates at PM (Preliminary Design Portion), Design, Construction
8) Cost of Service
   a) (fee for services to be noted "Time and Material Not to Exceed")
9) Any Key Understandings to be noted
10) Cover letter with the correct contact information
    Yes ☒ No ☐
A.

### SUMMARY ESTIMATED LABOR-HOURS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Labor Category</th>
<th>Labor-Hours</th>
<th>Hrly Rate</th>
<th>Labor Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Project Manager</td>
<td>100 @ $130.00</td>
<td>$13,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Engineer</td>
<td>1.16 @ $105.00</td>
<td>$12,180.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 CADD</td>
<td>44 @ $80.00</td>
<td>$3,520.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL LABOR COST** = **$28,700.00**

**PARAGON TOTAL** = **$28,700.00**

**TOTAL** = **$28,700.00**
Midland Blvd. Re-Striping  
Project Number: PWST190009  
City of Nampa

1 PROJECT MANAGEMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total L-Hrs</th>
<th>PM L-Hrs</th>
<th>Engineer L-Hrs</th>
<th>CADD L-Hrs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1 City Meeting(s)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 Budget and Tracking</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3 Council Meeting</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL - PROJECT MANAGEMENT (HOURS)</strong></td>
<td><strong>30</strong></td>
<td><strong>14</strong></td>
<td><strong>4</strong></td>
<td><strong>2</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL - PROJECT MANAGEMENT (LABOR COST)</strong></td>
<td>$2,400.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 DESIGN SERVICES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total L-Hrs</th>
<th>PM L-Hrs</th>
<th>Engineer L-Hrs</th>
<th>CADD L-Hrs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.1.1 Property Owner Coordination</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1.2 Public Mailers</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1.3 Public Outreach</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1.4 Public Outreach Summary</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2 Accident Research</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3 Report</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4 Plans</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4.1 Contract Documents</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL - DESIGN SERVICES (HOURS)</strong></td>
<td><strong>208</strong></td>
<td><strong>79</strong></td>
<td><strong>87</strong></td>
<td><strong>42</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL - DESIGN SERVICES (LABOR COST)</strong></td>
<td>$92,765.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 BIDDING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total L-Hrs</th>
<th>PM L-Hrs</th>
<th>Engineer L-Hrs</th>
<th>CADD L-Hrs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1 Bid Documents</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2 Pre-Bid Meeting</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3 Bid Administration</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4 Bid Opening</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL - BIDDING SERVICES (HOURS)</strong></td>
<td><strong>6</strong></td>
<td><strong>5</strong></td>
<td><strong>1</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL - BIDDING SERVICES (LABOR COST)</strong></td>
<td>$755.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING & INSPECTION (CE&I)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total L-Hrs</th>
<th>PM L-Hrs</th>
<th>Engineer L-Hrs</th>
<th>CADD L-Hrs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.1 Field Assistance</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL - CE&amp;I (HOURS)</strong></td>
<td><strong>26</strong></td>
<td><strong>2</strong></td>
<td><strong>24</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL - CE&amp;I (LABOR COST)</strong></td>
<td>$2,780.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Assign Signing Authority for Nampa’s Stormwater National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Permit (Reviewed and Approved by Legal Counsel)

- The City of Nampa’s Stormwater National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Permit No. IDS-028126 states that all permit applications, discharge monitoring reports, and reporting of permit violations are to be signed by a ranking elected official (Mayor) or his/her duly authorized representative.

- The duly authorized representatives, under penalty of law, submit the information to their best knowledge to be true, accurate, and complete.

- Staff have reviewed City Council records and were unable to find action assigning a duly authorized representative.

- The Deputy Public Works Director (Water) oversees Stormwater NPDES MS4 permit activities and reporting.

- In the event the Deputy Public Works Director (Water) is not available, the person holding the position of Public Works Director oversees stormwater permit activities.

- Public Works Department recommends that the Stormwater NPDES MS4 permit duly authorized representative be the Deputy Public Works Director (Water).

REQUEST: Mayor and Council authorize the Public Works Director and/or Deputy Public Works Director (Water) signing authority in accordance with the City’s Stormwater NPDES MS4 Permit No. IDS-028126.
The City of Nampa’s Stormwater National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Permit No. IDS-028126 became effective October 15, 2009, and expired on October 14, 2014.

In July 2014 the City submitted an application for permit reissuance to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for continued coverage under the Stormwater NPDES MS4 permit.

In October 2014 the EPA issued a letter to the City that the Stormwater NPDES MS4 permit was being administratively extended until a new permit is issued. The EPA’s administrative extension required no program changes for the permit compliance.

Nampa stormwater discharges continue to be monitored and reported annually under EPA’s administrative extension. EPA anticipates issuing a new MS4 general permit to Idaho in 2019, with an effective date of October 1, 2019.

Once per year the City must evaluate and demonstrate compliance with the MS4 permit conditions, the appropriateness of identified Best Management Practices (BMPs), and progress toward achieving the six (6) minimum control measures. This task is accomplished through the MS4 Annual Report.

The 2018 annual report documents Stormwater NPDES MS4 Permit Year 9.

Activities performed during Year 9 of the MS4 permit were focused on the continuation of Public Education and Outreach, Public Involvement and Participation, Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination, Construction Site Runoff Program, Post-Construction Stormwater Management, Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping, and a Stormwater Monitoring Program.

The City continued efforts to educate and engage Nampa’s community through the Public Education and Outreach and Public Involvement and Participation Programs. These events educated and informed 11,217 participants, on Nampa’s stormwater and are outlined below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th># of Attendees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10/27/2017</td>
<td>Downtown Nampa Trunk or Treat</td>
<td>3,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/28/2017</td>
<td>Boy Scout Troop 118</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/17/2018</td>
<td>Dog Park Food Truck Rally</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/20/2018</td>
<td>Water Education Day</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Total Number of Attendees for 2017–2018 Permit Year 9 Stormwater Outreach Events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th># of Attendees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/27/2018</td>
<td>Water Education Day</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/14/2018</td>
<td>Rec Center Spring Kickoff</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-8/2018</td>
<td>Farmers Market (5 Events)</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/13/2018</td>
<td>Treasure Valley Leadership Academy</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/24/2018</td>
<td>Central Canyon Edible Aquifer</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/30/2018</td>
<td>Nampa Library Summer Reading Program Kickoff</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-7/2018</td>
<td>Water Quality Camps</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/10/2018</td>
<td>21st Century Water Fun Day</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/25/2018</td>
<td>Nampa Parks and Recreation Pooch Party</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/26/2018</td>
<td>Stormwater Community Cleanup Day</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/3/2018</td>
<td>Employee Health Fair</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>3 Library Workshop Events</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total for all events</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>11,217</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Six (6) Minimum Control Measures:

1. **Stormwater Public Education and Outreach, and Public Involvement and Participation Program** effort continued to educate and engage Nampa’s community:
   a. Continue to sponsor the Nampa School District partnership Memorandum of Understanding.
   b. Environmental Compliance Division website and Facebook page is continually updated, to notify the public of upcoming events and provide opportunities to input or report stormwater related concerns.

2. **Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination (IDDE) Program** includes:
   a. IDDE information fact sheets and posters were distributed to target audience including City departments, as well as public education events.
   b. Monitoring the “Report a Spill” page on the Environmental Compliance Division website, and the City installed pet pickup stations throughout the City.
   c. The City inspected outfalls to verify ownership.
   d. Dry weather screening was performed on City owned stormwater outfalls.
   e. Updated the comprehensive storm sewer system map.
   f. Reviewing and updating the industrial inventory of all facilities that discharge directly to the MS4.
3. The Construction Site Runoff Program includes:
   a. Inspection of projects disturbing five (5) acres or greater, as well as projects disturbing an acre or less in size.
   b. Using the prioritization approach developed during previous permit years and inspecting construction sites less than five (5) acres.
   c. Providing a free educational opportunity called “Conceptual Plan Review” for commercial builders, developers, and property owners.

4. The Post-Construction Stormwater Management Program (PCSWMP) continues to address permit requirements including:
   a. Actively working to update the City of Nampa Engineering Policy manual to clearly delineate roles and responsibilities between heavy and light maintenance for permanent structural control.
   b. Identifying a process to educate owners and developers on long-term operations and maintenance (O&M) via an O&M fact sheet.
   c. Plans to collaboratively work with other City departments to review and incorporate the PCSWMP into existing operations and practices.

5. The Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping Program (PPGH) continues to create awareness to prevent or reduce pollutant runoff from municipal operations:
   a. Developed and updated Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP), and Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasure (SPCC) Plan for the Wastewater Treatment Plant. In Year 9, Wastewater, Fleet Services, Street, and Water Divisions continue to implement the SWPPP requirements.
   b. Ongoing training on best practices.
   c. Standard Operational Procedures were developed to address PPGH activities.

6. During Year 9, City staff continued monitoring stormwater at three locations:
   a. Samples were collected during four (4) storm events to include Indian Creek, Mason Creek, and Wilson Drain.
   b. An Annual Stormwater Monitoring Report was developed which provides monthly and annual pollutant loading estimates from samples collected during the ninth permit year.

   - As part of the Stormwater NPDES MS4 permit requirements, the City must submit a Stormwater NPDES MS4 Annual Report to EPA and the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality (IDEQ)

**REQUEST:** Authorize Public Works Director to sign and submit the 2018 Stormwater Annual Report for National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit for Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System to the United States Environmental Protection Agency.
Resolution to Increase 2019 Annual Irrigation Rates
(As Recommended by Board of Appraisers)

- In accordance with Idaho Code section 50-1807, the Mayor and City Council are to meet on or before the second Wednesday of February of each year to make an estimate of the necessary funds to maintain the City’s irrigation system and services.

- On December 13, 2018, Public Works staff presented to the Board of Appraisers (BOA) the following options relating to an annual irrigation rate increase for 2019:
  - Take no increase.
  - ENR1 (Engineering News-Record) Index increase of 2.88% (average increase from November 1, 2017, thru September 30, 2018) effective March 1, 2019.
  - Adopt an increase of 4.95%, effective March 1, 2019, with an anticipated additional request of 4.95%, effective March 1, 2020.
  - Adopt a 10% increase, effective March 1, 2019, to bring forecasted revenues and fund balance to anticipated need.

- The total revenue of $3,826,050 was received 2018, 97% of what was budgeted. This amount matches the projected revenue with ongoing 4.5% increases (as projected in 2015 Water Utilities Cost of Service Study) equal to that expected in 2020. But it was further reported to the that utility construction costs are up about 15%.

- A motion was made, and seconded, in favor of the ENR Index increase of 2.88% plus 1% for 2019 irrigation rates. The motion passed.

- Today’s request is to meet statutory requirements by resolution and ensure pass through of any underlying irrigation districts’ changes.

REQUEST: Adopt resolution (see Attachment 1) implementing increase of 3.88% for 2019 Irrigation Rates, effective March 1, 2019, and direct pass through of underlying irrigation districts’ rates.

---

*December 13, 2018, Board of Appraisers Meeting Minutes under 01.07.19 City Council Consent Agenda.

Footnote #1: ENR Construction Cost Index is a common construction index for comparing pricing over time. The index uses labor, concrete, steel and other construction materials.

I:\Public Works\Executive Assistant\Sheri\Council Items to Clerk 2019\01.07.19\WATER-2019 Irrigation Rates Increase (effective 03.01.19) - NB.doc
01/07/19
RESOLUTION NO. ______________

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NAMPA, CANYON COUNTY, IDAHO, IMPLEMENTING AN INCREASE IN THE RATES CHARGED BY THE CITY OF NAMPA FOR IRRIGATION WATER AND THE PASS THROUGH OF UNDERLYING IRRIGATION DISTRICTS RATES TO THE CUSTOMERS OF THE CITY OF NAMPA.

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that it is appropriate to collect fees for irrigation water services provided by the City and that without such fees these services would be funded by property tax revenues; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has the authority to set fees for services and adjust those fees as needed; and

WHEREAS, it appears to the City Council and the City of Nampa, Canyon County, Idaho that an increase by the City for irrigation rates is required for the year 2019; and

WHEREAS, underlying irrigation districts for the City (Boise Kuna, Pioneer, and Nampa Meridian Irrigation Districts) have implemented rates that historically are passed directly through to the customers of the City; and

WHEREAS, the City Council and the City of Nampa, Canyon County, Idaho will pass through its underlying irrigation districts of Boise Kuna, Pioneer, and Nampa Meridian current rates to the customers of the City.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NAMPA, IDAHO:

Section 1. The City of Nampa, Canyon County, Idaho hereby implements an increase of rates as described on EXHIBIT A attached hereto and, by this reference, incorporated herein as if set forth in full, effective March 1, 2019.

Section 2. The City of Nampa, Canyon County, Idaho will directly pass through the underlying districts rates for 2019.


APPROVED BY THE MAYOR AND THE CITY OF NAMPA, IDAHO, THIS______DAY OF ____________________, 2019.

Approved:

______________________________
Mayor

Attest:

______________________________
City Clerk
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trans Code</th>
<th>New Fee?</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Current Revenue</th>
<th>Proposed Revenue</th>
<th>Percent Change</th>
<th>Est Annual Dollar Incr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Residential Irrigation Base Charge</td>
<td>$15.33</td>
<td>$15.92</td>
<td>3.88%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Residential Irrigation Tier 1</td>
<td>$0.010819</td>
<td>$0.011239</td>
<td>3.88%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Residential Irrigation Tier 2</td>
<td>$0.000794</td>
<td>$0.000825</td>
<td>3.88%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Residential Irrigation No Benefit Base Charge</td>
<td>$15.33</td>
<td>$15.92</td>
<td>3.88%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Residential Irrigation No Benefit Tier 1</td>
<td>$0.002704</td>
<td>$0.002809</td>
<td>3.88%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Residential Irrigation No Benefit Tier 2</td>
<td>$0.000198</td>
<td>$0.000206</td>
<td>3.88%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-Residential Irrigation Base Charge</td>
<td>$15.33</td>
<td>$15.92</td>
<td>3.88%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-Residential Irrigation Tier 1</td>
<td>$0.017276</td>
<td>$0.017946</td>
<td>3.88%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-Residential Irrigation Tier 2</td>
<td>$0.001267</td>
<td>$0.001316</td>
<td>3.88%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-Residential Irrigation No Benefit Base Charge</td>
<td>$15.33</td>
<td>$15.92</td>
<td>3.88%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-Residential Irrigation No Benefit Tier 1</td>
<td>$0.004319</td>
<td>$0.004487</td>
<td>3.88%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-Residential Irrigation No Benefit Tier 2</td>
<td>$0.000317</td>
<td>$0.0003294</td>
<td>3.88%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments**

Tier 1 = Total lot square footage under 21,780  
Tier 2 = Total lot square footage over 21,780

**Comments on Competitiveness of New Rate**

Increase based on ENR (Engineering News-Record) 11.01.17 - 09.30.18 2.88% Index plus 1%

**Reasons why Fee Change is Needed and What New Funding will be Used for**

Rate increase needed for operations and maintenance.
Resolution to Increase 2019 Domestic Water and Irrigation Miscellaneous Fees
(As Recommended by Board of Appraisers)

- On December 13, 2018, Public Works staff proposed to the Board of Appraisers (BOA) an increase to 2019 Domestic Water and Irrigation Miscellaneous Fees to slow rate increase projection as follows*:
  - ENR¹ (Engineering News-Record) increase of 2.88% (average increase from November 1, 2107, through September 30, 2018)

- A motion was made, and seconded, by the BOA in favor of the ENR Index increase of 2.88% for 2019 Domestic Water and Irrigation Miscellaneous Fees. The motion passed

REQUEST: Adopt resolution (see Attachment 1) implementing increase of 2.88% for 2019 Domestic Water and Irrigation Miscellaneous Fees, effective March 1, 2019.

---

*December 13, 2018, Board of Appraisers Meeting Minutes under 01.07.19 City Council Consent Agenda

Footnote #1: ENR Construction Cost Index is a common construction index for comparing pricing over time. The index uses labor, concrete, steel and other construction materials.
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01/07/19
RESOLUTION NO. ____________

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NAMPA, CANYON COUNTY, IDAHO, IMPLEMENTING AN INCREASE IN THE MISCELLANEOUS FEES CHARGED BY THE CITY OF NAMPA FOR DOMESTIC WATER AND IRRIGATION.

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that it is appropriate to collect fees for domestic water and irrigation services provided by the City and that without such fees these services would be funded by property tax revenues; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has the authority to set fees for services and adjust those fees as needed; and

WHEREAS, the City Council deems it necessary, reasonable, and in the best interest of the City, to adjust certain rates and fees charged by the City of Nampa for domestic water and irrigation, and adjust those fees as needed; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that such adjustments, set forth in the attached exhibit, are reasonably related to, but do not exceed, the actual cost of the service being rendered; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NAMPA, IDAHO:

Section 1. The City of Nampa, Canyon County, Idaho, does hereby implement the domestic water and irrigation miscellaneous fee changes as described in EXHIBIT A, attached hereto and, by this reference, incorporated herein as if set forth in full, effective March 1, 2019.


APPROVED BY THE MAYOR AND THE CITY OF NAMPA, IDAHO, THIS _______ DAY OF ____________________, 2019.

Approved:

__________________________________
Mayor

Attest:

__________________________________
City Clerk
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Current Revenue</th>
<th>Proposed Revenue</th>
<th>Percent Change</th>
<th>Est Annual Dollar Incr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>After Hours Fee</td>
<td>$41.00</td>
<td>$42.00</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past Due Fee</td>
<td>$41.00</td>
<td>$42.00</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tampered Meter Box</td>
<td>$51.00</td>
<td>$52.00</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damaged Meter</td>
<td>$103 + Parts</td>
<td>$106 + Parts</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic Service Charge</td>
<td>$31.00</td>
<td>$32.00</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigation Service Charge</td>
<td>$31.00</td>
<td>$32.00</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly Budget Billing - Inside City</td>
<td>1/2 Inside City Bi-monthly Base Charge</td>
<td>1/2 Inside City Bi-monthly Base Charge</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly Budget Billing - Outside City</td>
<td>1/2 Outside City Bi-monthly Base Charge</td>
<td>1/2 Outside City Bi-monthly Base Charge</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M&amp;M Subdivision - Fixed Charge</td>
<td>$70.00</td>
<td>$72.00</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1&quot; Service Riser</td>
<td>$77.00</td>
<td>$79.00</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Line Boring</td>
<td>Pass Through Cost</td>
<td>Pass Through Cost</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Line Construction (per ft)</td>
<td>Pass Through Cost</td>
<td>Pass Through Cost</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curb Stop Removal</td>
<td>$103.00</td>
<td>$106.00</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments on Competitiveness of New Rate

Increase based on ENR (Engineering News Record) 11.01.17 - 09.30.18 2.88% average increase.

Reasons why Fee Change is Needed and What New Funding will be Used for

Fees increase needed for operations and maintenance.
Resolution to Increase 2019 Irrigation Water Hookup Fees
(As Recommended by Board of Appraisers)

- On December 13, 2018, Public Works staff proposed to the Board of Appraisers (BOA) the following increase to 2019 Irrigation Water Hookup Fees*:
  - ENR\(^1\) (Engineering News-Record) increase of 2.88% (average increase from November 1, 2107, through September 30, 2018)

- A motion was made, and seconded, by the BOA in favor of the ENR Index increase of 2.88% for 2019 Irrigation Water Hookup Fees. The motion passed

REQUEST: Adopt resolution (see Attachment 1) implementing increase of 2.88% for 2019 Irrigation Water Hookup Fees, effective March 1, 2019.

*December 13, 2018, Board of Appraisers Meeting Minutes under 01.07.19 City Council Consent Agenda

Footnote #1: ENR Construction Cost Index is a common construction index for comparing pricing over time. The index uses labor, concrete, steel and other construction materials.
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01/07/19
RESOLUTION NO. ____________

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NAMPA, CANYON COUNTY, IDAHO, IMPLEMENTING AN INCREASE IN FEES CHARGED BY THE CITY OF NAMPA FOR IRRIGATION WATER HOOKUP FEES.

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that it is appropriate to collect a fee for irrigation water hookup service provided by the City and that without such fee this service would be funded by property tax revenues; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has the authority to set fees for services and adjust those fees as needed; and

WHEREAS, the City Council deems it necessary, reasonable, and in the best interest of the City, to adjust certain rates and fees charged by the City of Nampa for irrigation water and adjust those fees as needed; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that such an adjustment, set forth in the attached exhibit, is reasonably related to, but does not exceed, the actual cost of the service being rendered; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NAMPA, IDAHO:

Section 1. Irrigation water hookup fees shall apply to all new connections, and change of use for existing connections as described in EXHIBIT A, attached hereto and, by this reference, incorporated herein as set forth in full, effective March 1, 2019; and

Section 2. The City of Nampa, Canyon County, Idaho, does hereby implement the irrigation water hookup fees change as described in EXHIBIT A, attached hereto and, by this reference, incorporated herein as if set forth in full, effective March 1, 2019.


APPROVED BY THE MAYOR AND THE CITY OF NAMPA, IDAHO, THIS _______ DAY OF __________________, 2019.

Approved:

______________________________
Mayor

Attest:

______________________________
City Clerk
### Department
Public Works - Water - Irrigation Hookup Fees

### Effective Date
03/01/2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trans Code</th>
<th>Fee?</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Current Revenue</th>
<th>Proposed Revenue</th>
<th>Percent Change</th>
<th>Est Annual Dollar Incr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Hookup Fee - Irrigation (per SCE*)</td>
<td>$537.00</td>
<td>$553.00</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1&quot; Irrigation Service Line Fee</td>
<td>$1,664.00</td>
<td>$1,712.00</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1.5&quot; Irrigation Service Line Fee</td>
<td>$1,748.00</td>
<td>$1,798.00</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2&quot; Irrigation Service Line Fee</td>
<td>$1,832.00</td>
<td>$1,885.00</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Comments on Competitiveness of New Rate
Increase based on ENR (Engineering News-Record) 11.01.17 - 09.30.18 2.88% Index with fees rounded to whole dollars.

### Reasons why Fee Change is Needed and What New Funding will be Used for
Fees increase needed for operations, maintenance, and systematic replacement of irrigation infrastructure to provide continuous and reliable utility services. Hookup fees shall apply to all new connections, and change of use for existing connections on or after March 1, 2019.

### Service Capacity Equivalent (SCE) Fee Information

**Service Capacity Equivalent (SCE) Basis**
- SCE Factors based on American Water Works Association (AWWA) maximum continuous flow data
- Fee applied to AWWA meter factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Line Size</th>
<th>AWWA Factors</th>
<th>Fee Schedule</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1&quot;</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>$537.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5&quot;</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>$1,106.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2&quot;</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>$1,769.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3&quot;</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>$3,539.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4&quot;</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>$5,530.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6&quot;</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>$11,060.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8&quot;</td>
<td>32.00</td>
<td>$17,695.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Resolution to Implement Changes to Services Rates and Fees Outlined in the Equivalent Dwelling Unit and Strength Class Guide for Wastewater and Water User Classifications
(As Recommended by Board of Appraisers)

- Based upon usage studies, on December 13, 2018, Public Works staff presented to the Board of Appraisers (BOA)* the following proposed changes to services rates and fees outlined in the Equivalent Dwelling Unit (EDU) and Strength Class Guide for Wastewater and Water User Classifications:
  - Bars (without dining facilities)
  - Beauty Salons

- In addition, staff recommended adding single family dwellings to the EDU guide

- A motion was made, and seconded, by the BOA to adopt proposed changes. The motion passed

REQUEST: Adopt resolution (see Attachment 1) implementing changes to Services Rates and Fees Outlined in the Equivalent Dwelling Unit and Strength Class Guide for Wastewater and Water User Classifications, effective March 1, 2019.

*December 13, 2018, Board of Appraisers Meeting Minutes under 01.07.19 City Council Consent Agenda
RESOLUTION NO. _________________

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NAMPA, CANYON COUNTY, IDAHO, IMPLEMENTING CHANGES IN THE SERVICE RATES AND FEES CHARGED BY THE CITY OF NAMPA OUTLINED IN THE CITY OF NAMPA EDU (EQUIVALENT DWELLING UNIT) AND STRENGTH CLASS GUIDE USED TO DETERMINE USER CLASSIFICATION FOR WASTEWATER AND WATER SERVICES.

WHEREAS, the City of Nampa is a duly-formed municipal corporation of the State of Idaho and operates a wastewater treatment facility, and domestic water system, providing wastewater and water services to system users; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has the authority to set fees for services and adjust those fees as needed; and

WHEREAS, the City Council deems it necessary, reasonable, and in the best interest of the City, to make changes to certain service rates and fees charged by the City of Nampa for wastewater and water services and adjust those service fees as needed; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that such adjustments, set forth in the attached exhibit, are reasonably related to, but do not exceed, the actual cost of the service being rendered; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NAMPA, IDAHO:

Section 1. The City of Nampa, Canyon County, Idaho, does hereby implement the changes in the service rates and fees as described in EXHIBIT A, attached hereto and, by this reference, incorporated herein as if set forth in full, effective March 1, 2019.


APPROVED BY THE MAYOR AND THE CITY OF NAMPA, IDAHO, THIS ___________ DAY OF ____________________, 2019.

Approved:

__________________________________
Mayor

Attest:

_____________________________
City Clerk
# City of Nampa EDU (Equivalent Dwelling Unit) and Strength Class Guide

for Wastewater and Water User Classifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Type</th>
<th>Strength Class</th>
<th>Usage</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bakery (Wholesale)</td>
<td>SE6</td>
<td>100 gpd</td>
<td>per gross 1,000 s.f.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bars (w/out Dining Facilities)</td>
<td>SE4</td>
<td>50 gpd</td>
<td>per gross 1,000 s.f.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beauty Salon</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>65 gpd</td>
<td>per chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car Wash</td>
<td>SE1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Individual evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EDU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Laundry</td>
<td>SE3</td>
<td>500 gpd</td>
<td>per machine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daycare Center</td>
<td>SE3</td>
<td>2.5 gpd</td>
<td>per person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental/Medical Clinics</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>125 gpd</td>
<td>per practitioner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Service Gas Stations</td>
<td>SE1</td>
<td>250 gpd</td>
<td>per fueling position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garages</td>
<td>SE1</td>
<td>60 gpd</td>
<td>per bay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gym/Workout Facilities</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>100 gpd</td>
<td>per 1,000 gross s.f.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitals &amp; Convalescent Centers</td>
<td>SE3</td>
<td>200 gpd</td>
<td>per bed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotels/Motels/Clubs</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>50 gpd</td>
<td>room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Laundromat</td>
<td>SE6</td>
<td>500 gpd</td>
<td>per machine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory (Pharmacies)</td>
<td>SE1</td>
<td>40 gpd</td>
<td>per 1,000 gross s.f.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laundromat</td>
<td>SE1</td>
<td>500 gpd</td>
<td>per washing machine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market/Store (w/ Garbage Grinder)</td>
<td>SE4</td>
<td>50 gpd</td>
<td>per 1,000 gross s.f.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-family Housing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duplex</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>EDUs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triplex</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>EDUs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-plex or Larger</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EDU per unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Building</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>50 gpd</td>
<td>per 1,000 gross s.f.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restaurants (drive in)</td>
<td>SE4</td>
<td>30 gpd</td>
<td>per seat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restaurants (sit down)</td>
<td>SE4</td>
<td>30 gpd</td>
<td>per seat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail &amp; Department Stores</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Retail (&lt;30,000 sq. ft.)</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EDU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large Retail (&gt;30,000 sq. ft.)</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>10 gpd</td>
<td>per 1,000 gross s.f.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools/Colleges/Universities</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>10 gpd</td>
<td>per person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Family Residential</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EDU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Dormitories</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>40 gpd</td>
<td>per room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theaters</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>5 gpd</td>
<td>per seat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>3 gpd</td>
<td>per 1,000 gross s.f.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MEMORANDUM

TO: Mayor Kling and Nampa City Council  
FROM: Darrin Johnson, Parks and Recreation Director  
DATE: January 07, 2019  
RE: Parks and Recreation Fee Schedule for 2019

Attached is the Nampa Parks and Recreation Department proposed fee schedule. All Parks and Recreation Division fees are listed in the schedule that include Parks, Recreation Programming, Cemetery, Nampa Recreation Center and Golf. All Parks and Recreation fees are listed for review but not all fees are proposed for an increase.

The Parks and Recreation Department's goal is to have reasonable and competitive fees while allowing for maximum participation. We strive to meet our financial obligations by promoting conservative spending and adjusting the cost of services as appropriate.

Recommended fees are based on professional staff input and determining the objective of each program and service. When determining proposed fees staff evaluate revenue and expenses. In some cases, when setting fees, data from other communities or similar organizations are considered as comparisons. In addition to fees, the Nampa Recreation Center membership policies are attached. The policies guide our staff on the eligibility and management for each membership type.

Upon council approval, fees will be adjusted on the dates identified on the fee schedule.

Action Requested:

Nampa Parks and Recreation requests Nampa City Council approve fees and fee policies as submitted.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fee Title</th>
<th>Dept</th>
<th>Last Increase</th>
<th>Current Fee</th>
<th>Proposed Fee</th>
<th>% increase</th>
<th>Effective Date</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual Individual</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$400.00</td>
<td>$404.00</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>10/1/19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Family</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$718.00</td>
<td>$725.00</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>10/1/19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Senior Individual</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$320.00</td>
<td>$323.00</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>10/1/19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Senior Couple</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$578.00</td>
<td>$583.00</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>10/1/19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Youth Individual</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$280.00</td>
<td>$283.00</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>10/1/19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charter Individual</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$248.00</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>10/1/19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charter Family</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$400.00</td>
<td>$404.00</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>10/1/19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charter Senior Individual</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$219.00</td>
<td>$221.00</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>10/1/19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charter Senior Couple</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$312.00</td>
<td>$315.00</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>10/1/19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly Individual Membership Fee</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$35.25</td>
<td>$35.60</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>10/1/19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly Family Membership Fee</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$63.00</td>
<td>$63.60</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>10/1/19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly Senior Individual Membership Fee</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$50.75</td>
<td>$51.25</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>10/1/19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly Youth Individual Membership Fee</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$24.50</td>
<td>$24.75</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>10/1/19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime mem. couple under 20</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$8,978.00</td>
<td>$8,978.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime membership couple 20-24</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$8,400.00</td>
<td>$8,400.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime membership couple 25-29</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$7,822.00</td>
<td>$7,822.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime membership couple 30-34</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$7,245.00</td>
<td>$7,245.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime membership couple 35-39</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$6,668.00</td>
<td>$6,668.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime membership couple 40-44</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$6,090.00</td>
<td>$6,090.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime membership couple 45-49</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$5,513.00</td>
<td>$5,513.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime membership couple 50-54</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$4,935.00</td>
<td>$4,935.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime membership couple 55-59</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$4,358.00</td>
<td>$4,358.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime membership couple 60-64</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$3,990.00</td>
<td>$3,990.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime membership couple 65-69</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$3,728.00</td>
<td>$3,728.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime membership couple 70-74</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$3,360.00</td>
<td>$3,360.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime membership couple 75-79</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$3,203.00</td>
<td>$3,203.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime membership couple 80-84</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$2,625.00</td>
<td>$2,625.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime mem. couple 85-90+</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$2,100.00</td>
<td>$2,100.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime mem. individual under 20</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$4,988.00</td>
<td>$4,988.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime mem. individual 20-24</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$4,725.00</td>
<td>$4,725.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime mem. individual 25-30</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$4,463.00</td>
<td>$4,463.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime mem. Individual 30-34</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$4,200.00</td>
<td>$4,200.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime mem. Individual 35-39</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$3,938.00</td>
<td>$3,938.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime mem. Individual 40-44</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$3,675.00</td>
<td>$3,675.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime mem. Individual 45-49</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$3,412.00</td>
<td>$3,412.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime mem. Individual 50-54</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$3,150.00</td>
<td>$3,150.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime mem. Individual 55-59</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$2,888.00</td>
<td>$2,888.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime mem. Individual 60-64</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$2,625.00</td>
<td>$2,625.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime mem. Individual 65-69</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$2,363.00</td>
<td>$2,363.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime mem. Individual 70-74</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$2,100.00</td>
<td>$2,100.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee Title</td>
<td>Dept</td>
<td>Last Increase</td>
<td>Current Fee</td>
<td>Proposed Fee</td>
<td>% increase</td>
<td>Effective Date</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime mem. Individual 75-79</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$1,838.00</td>
<td>$1,838.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime mem. Individual 80-84</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$1,575.00</td>
<td>$1,575.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime mem. Individual 85-90+</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$1,313.00</td>
<td>$1,313.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Punch Pass</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$124.00</td>
<td>$128.00</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>10/1/19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Punch Pass</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$84.00</td>
<td>$86.50</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>10/1/19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Punch Pass</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$94.00</td>
<td>$97.00</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>10/1/19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tot Punch Pass</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$34.00</td>
<td>$34.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Play Center Punch Pass</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Day Pass</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$8.50</td>
<td>$8.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Day Pass</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$6.50</td>
<td>$6.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tot Day Pass</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$2.25</td>
<td>$2.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Day Pass</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$6.50</td>
<td>$6.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2 Hour in Play Center</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$1.25</td>
<td>$1.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Non-profit pass</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$6.19</td>
<td>$6.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25% off regular day pass rates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locker Rental Annual Fee - 1/2 size</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locker Rental Annual Fee - full size</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$160.00</td>
<td>$160.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Racquetball Racquets</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copies</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>$0.05</td>
<td>$0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balloons</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>$1.00</td>
<td>$1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Racquetballs</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$4.00</td>
<td>$4.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership Activation Fee</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$40.00</td>
<td>$40.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duplicate Card</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$1.00</td>
<td>$1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return Check Fee</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After Hours Facility Rental</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After Hours Rental Xtra Hour</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birthday Party Room</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birthday Party Room Xtra Hour</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$17.00</td>
<td>$17.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Room Rental/hour</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Room Rental non-profit discount</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Center Rental</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>$195.00</td>
<td>$195.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum 4hr rental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Center Rental Extra Hour</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Center Rental non-profit discount</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Events Rates</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Negotiable</td>
<td>Negotiable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fees Negotiated based on estimated additional costs incurred by city plus reservation fees.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chalk Balls</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climbing Basics, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climbing Basics, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>$17.00</td>
<td>$17.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climbing Harness</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climbing Harness/Shoe Combo.</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climbing Shoes</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Fee Structure

**2019**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fee Title</th>
<th>Dept</th>
<th>Last Increase</th>
<th>Current Fee</th>
<th>Proposed Fee</th>
<th>% increase</th>
<th>Effective Date</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rock Wall Rental (1-15 Climbers)/hr</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Wall Rental (16-25 Climbers)/hr</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Wall Rental (26-50 Climbers) up to 2 hours</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$270.00</td>
<td>$270.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Wall Rental (51-75 Climbers) up to 3 hours</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$375.00</td>
<td>$375.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bouldering Bout, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>new</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5 week bouldering competition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bouldering Bout, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>new</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5 week bouldering competition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Racquetball League, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Racquetball League, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Racquetball Doubles Tournament, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Racquetball Doubles Tournament, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home School P.E. - 3/4 hour, 9 week qtr., Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home School P.E. 3/4 hr., 9wk qtr., Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIA - After School, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$7.00</td>
<td>$7.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIA - After School, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$9.00</td>
<td>$9.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIA - Before and After School, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$10.50</td>
<td>$10.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIA - Before and After School, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$12.50</td>
<td>$12.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIA - Before School, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$7.00</td>
<td>$7.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIA - Before School, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$9.00</td>
<td>$9.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIA - Full Day, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$18.00</td>
<td>$18.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIA - Full Day, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIA - Half Day, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$11.00</td>
<td>$11.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIA - Half Day, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$13.50</td>
<td>$13.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIA - Before &amp; Half Day, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$14.00</td>
<td>$14.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIA - Before &amp; Half Day, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$16.50</td>
<td>$16.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIA - Transportation Fee</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$0.50</td>
<td>$0.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preschool - 3 yr. Old, Member per contact hour</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>$4.50</td>
<td>$4.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preschool - 3 yr. Old, Non-member per contact hour</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preschool - 4 yr. Old, Member per contact hour</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>$4.50</td>
<td>$4.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preschool - 4 yr. Old, Non-Member per contact hour</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preschool Readiness, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$27.00</td>
<td>$27.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preschool Readiness, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$34.00</td>
<td>$34.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preschool Registration Fee</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>One time fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preschool Readness Registration Fee</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>One time fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daddy Daughter Date Night, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$9.00</td>
<td>$9.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daddy Daughter Date Night, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$11.00</td>
<td>$11.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring Sports Madness, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$8.00</td>
<td>$8.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price includes photo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring Sports Madness, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price includes photo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lock In for Kids, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lock In for Kids, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee Title</td>
<td>Dept</td>
<td>Last Increase</td>
<td>Current Fee</td>
<td>Proposed Fee</td>
<td>% increase</td>
<td>Effective Date</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funtober Fest - Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funtober Fest - Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$6.00</td>
<td>$6.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa's Workshop, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa's Workshop, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$6.00</td>
<td>$6.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey Shoot, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey Shoot, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$7.00</td>
<td>$7.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martial Arts Adult Tang Soo-Do, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martial Arts Adult Tang Soo-Do, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martial Arts Women's Self-Defense, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martial Arts Women's Self-Defense, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martial Arts Women's Self-Defense Workshop, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martial Arts Women's Self-Defense Workshop, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martial Arts Youth Judo, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$2.08</td>
<td>$2.18</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>Fall 2019</td>
<td>per instructional hour fee; $35/session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martial Arts Youth Judo, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$2.50</td>
<td>$2.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martial Arts Youth Tang Soo-Do, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martial Arts Youth Tang Soo-Do, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martial Arts Late Fee</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td></td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tai Chi, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tai Chi, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Sports, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Sports, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$19.00</td>
<td>$19.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pickleball Lessons, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$55.00</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>upon approval</td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pickleball Lessons, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td>$65.00</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>upon approval</td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drama Kids International, member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$40.00</td>
<td>$40.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drama Kids International, non-member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drama Kids International (3 day) Camp, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$44.00</td>
<td>$44.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drama Kids International (3 day) Camp, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$49.00</td>
<td>$49.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARC Babysitter Training, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARC Babysitter Training, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SoccerTots, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$69.00</td>
<td>$69.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SoccerTots, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$79.00</td>
<td>$79.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction to Genealogy, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction to Genealogy, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$40.00</td>
<td>$40.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12/20/2018
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fee Title</th>
<th>Dept</th>
<th>Last Increase</th>
<th>Current Fee</th>
<th>Proposed Fee</th>
<th>% increase</th>
<th>Effective Date</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advanced Genealogy, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>new</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price/class; Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced Genealogy, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>new</td>
<td>$11.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price/class; Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parenting the Love &amp; Logic Way, Individual, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor; class price no longer includes book fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parenting the Love &amp; Logic Way, Individual, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor; class price no longer includes book fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parenting the Love &amp; Logic Way, Couple, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$55.00</td>
<td>$55.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor; class price no longer includes book fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parenting the Love &amp; Logic Way, Couple, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor; class price no longer includes book fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEGO (2 hour class), Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor; Building Blocks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEGO (2 hour class), Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$65.00</td>
<td>$65.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor; Building Blocks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEGO (3 hour class), Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor; Building Blocks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEGO (3 hour class), Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$80.00</td>
<td>$80.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor; Building Blocks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Red Cross Swim Lessons, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$3.75</td>
<td>$3.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>per class fee; 8 class session; $30/session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Red Cross Swim Lessons, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$5.25</td>
<td>$5.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>per class fee; 8 class session; $42/session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeschool Swim Lessons, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$3.75</td>
<td>$3.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>per class fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeschool Swim Lessons, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$6.25</td>
<td>$6.50</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>Fall 2019</td>
<td>per class fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming Lesson Skills Eval., Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming Lesson Skills Eval., Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Scuba Diving</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discover SCUBA, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discover SCUBA, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kayak/Paddle Board Rental</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifeguard Services/Hour</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PADI Certification SCUBA Diving, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$325.00</td>
<td>$325.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PADI Certification SCUBA Diving, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$335.00</td>
<td>$335.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Swimming Lessons, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$13.75</td>
<td>$13.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>per class fee; 4-class session; $55/session</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12/20/2018
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fee Title</th>
<th>Dept</th>
<th>Last Increase</th>
<th>Current Fee</th>
<th>Proposed Fee</th>
<th>% increase</th>
<th>Effective Date</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Private Swimming Lessons, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$16.25</td>
<td>$16.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>per class fee; 4-class session; $65/session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-Private Swimming Lessons, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$9.75</td>
<td>$9.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>per class fee; 4-class session; $39/session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-Private Swimming Lessons, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$11.75</td>
<td>$11.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>per class fee; 4 class session; $47/session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triathlon Swim Clinic, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>per class fee; 8 class session; $36/session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triathlon Swim Clinic, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>per class fee; 4 class session; $47/session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor Pool Swimming Lessons</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$4.37</td>
<td>$4.50</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>Summer 2019</td>
<td>per class fee; 8 class session; $36/session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor Pool Private Swim Lessons</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$15.50</td>
<td>$16.25</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>Summer 2019</td>
<td>per class fee; 4-class session; $65/session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor Pool Semi-Private Swim Lessons</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$11.25</td>
<td>$11.75</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>Summer 2019</td>
<td>per class fee; 4 class session; $47/session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln/Lakeview Admission 0-5 years</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>$1.00</td>
<td>$1.25</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>Summer 2019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln/Lakeview Admission 6-17 years</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
<td>$2.50</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>Summer 2019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln/Lakeview Admission 18+ years</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td>$3.75</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>Summer 2019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor Pool Rental</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$120.00</td>
<td>$120.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Base Rental 1 hour 0-100 people $120, 101-200 $165, 201-300 $195. Each additional half hour $60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor Pool Punch Pass</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>new</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$25 worth of admissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor Pool Punch Pass</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>new</td>
<td>$40.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$55 worth of admissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor pool - non profit &quot;bulk&quot; passes</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>$1.00</td>
<td>$1.50</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>Summer 2019</td>
<td>40% off youth admission rates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor Aquatic Fitness Class</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$4.00</td>
<td>$4.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>single class fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor Aquatic Fitness Punch Card</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10 classes/card</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifeguard Training Class, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent on supply costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifeguard Training Class, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent on supply costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifeguard Training Re-Cert, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent on supply costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifeguard Training Re-Cert, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent on supply costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Safety Instructor Training Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent on supply costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Safety Instructor Training Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent on supply costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior Instructor Swimming - Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent on supply costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior Instructor Swimming - Non member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent on supply costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jr. Lifeguard Boot Camp, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent on supply costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jr. Lifeguard Boot Camp, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$55.00</td>
<td>$55.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent on supply costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jr. Lifeguard Boot Camp, Lincoln Pool</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$55.00</td>
<td>$55.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent on supply costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPR/AED &amp; First Aid Class, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent on supply costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee Title</td>
<td>Dept</td>
<td>Last Increase</td>
<td>Current Fee</td>
<td>Proposed Fee</td>
<td>% increase</td>
<td>Effective Date</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPR/ AED &amp; First Aid Class, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splash N’ Dash, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$13.00</td>
<td>$13.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splash N’ Dash, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$18.00</td>
<td>$18.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boy Scout Swimming Merit Badge Training-</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>group rate for merit badge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Scout Night - Merit Badge Swim Test</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>individual rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ladies Swim - Special Event, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$4.00</td>
<td>$4.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gymnastics 30 minute, 1 day/wk, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$32.00</td>
<td>$32.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>One month Session, price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gymnastics 30 minute, 1 day/wk, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$39.00</td>
<td>$39.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>One month Session, price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gymnastics 40 minute, 1 day/wk, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$44.00</td>
<td>$44.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>One month Session, price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gymnastics 40 minute, 1 day/wk, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$51.00</td>
<td>$51.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>One month Session, price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gymnastics 55 minute, 1 day/wk, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$51.00</td>
<td>$51.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>One month Session, price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gymnastics 55 minute, 1 day/wk, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>One month Session, price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spooktacular, Special Event</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Special event, price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Ballroom Dance Couple, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$62.00</td>
<td>$62.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>One month Session, price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Ballroom Dance Couple, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$66.00</td>
<td>$66.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>One month Session, price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Basic Belly Dancing, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Basic Belly Dancing, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$55.00</td>
<td>$55.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belly Dance Layers for Women, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>new</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belly Dance Layers for Women, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>new</td>
<td>$55.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Dance, 1 day, 1.5 hr, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$13.00</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>upon approval</td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Dance, 1 day, 1.5 hr, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$17.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
<td>upon approval</td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shooting Stars Basketball, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$24.00</td>
<td>$24.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shooting Stars Basketball, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$32.00</td>
<td>$32.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archery</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$26.00</td>
<td>$26.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Tennis 101, 2 week session</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Tennis 101, 1 week session</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-Ball, preregistration fee</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-Ball</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseball, preregistration fee</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseball</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee Title</td>
<td>Dept</td>
<td>Last Increase</td>
<td>Current Fee</td>
<td>Proposed Fee</td>
<td>% increase</td>
<td>Effective Date</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Softball, preregistration fee</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Softball</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nampa Youth Basketball, preregistration fee</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nampa Youth Basketball</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nampa Youth Volleyball, preregistration fee</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nampa Youth Volleyball</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Management Class, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price is set by outside contractor; U of I extension office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Management Class, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price is set by outside contractor; U of I extension office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art Camp 3-day, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$69.00</td>
<td>$69.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art Camp 3-day, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$74.00</td>
<td>$74.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Art, 2-day series, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>new</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Program now taught in house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Art, 2-day series, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>new</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Program now taught in house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Art Series 3-day, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Program now taught in house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Art Series 3-day, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Program now taught in house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Drawing, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price is set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Drawing, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price is set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Drawing, Young Rembrandts (45min), Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td>upon approval</td>
<td>Price is set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Drawing, Young Rembrandts (45min), Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$55.00</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>upon approval</td>
<td>Price is set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Drawing, Young Rembrandts (60min), Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>upon approval</td>
<td>Price is set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Drawing, Young Rembrandts (60min), Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$65.00</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
<td>upon approval</td>
<td>Price is set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Player’s Fees, Resident</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Player’s Fees, Non-resident</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Flag Football League Fee</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$230.00</td>
<td>$230.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall Co-Ed Volleyball</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Player’s Fees, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Player’s Fees, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter Co-Ed Volleyball</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Basketball League</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$380.00</td>
<td>$380.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultimate Frisbee League Fee</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$95.00</td>
<td>$95.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultimate Frisbee League, Late Fee</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$125.00</td>
<td>$125.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer Adult Softball</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior (50+) Softball</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coed Outdoor Volleyball, early registratioin</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coed Outdoor Volleyball</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall Adult Softball</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$325.00</td>
<td>$325.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee Title</td>
<td>Dept</td>
<td>Last Increase</td>
<td>Current Fee</td>
<td>Proposed Fee</td>
<td>% increase</td>
<td>Effective Date</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spikeball Tournament, early registration</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spikeball Tournament</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dodgeball Tournament</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 on 3 Basketball Tournament</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Sport Late Registration Fee</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA Softball Certification-member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>new</td>
<td>$55.00</td>
<td>$55.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA Softball Certification-non member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>new</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Star Light Mountain Theater</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>not before 4/1/2019</td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boise Open Air Train Tour</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$18.00</td>
<td>$18.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swayne Museum</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCall Winter Carnival</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCall Winter Overnight (Carnival &amp; Ashley Inn)</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$199.00</td>
<td>$199.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baker City Day Trip</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$65.00</td>
<td>$65.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baker City Overnight</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$170.00</td>
<td>$170.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redfish Lake Overnight</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$160.00</td>
<td>$160.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emu-Z-eum</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$14.00</td>
<td>$14.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emu-Z-eum with lunch</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christmas Light Tour</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$6.00</td>
<td>$6.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caldwell Lights Tour</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver City</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter Garden Aglow</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter Garden Aglow w/ Cravin's Candy Emporium</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoshone Falls Tour</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoshone Falls Tour w/ 1000 Springs Boat Tour</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$70.00</td>
<td>$70.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee Title</td>
<td>Dept</td>
<td>Last Increase</td>
<td>Current Fee</td>
<td>Proposed Fee</td>
<td>% increase</td>
<td>Effective Date</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bird Watching Trips</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildlife Tour</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horseback Riding w/ Sweet Treats</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hockey &amp; Swimming (11-14), Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hockey &amp; Swimming (11-14), Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$18.00</td>
<td>$18.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horsemanship &amp; Swim (11-14), Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horsemanship &amp; Swim (11-14), Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horsemanship &amp; Swimming 3-Day Camp (11-14), Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$125.00</td>
<td>$125.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horsemanship &amp; Swimming 3-Day Camp (11-14), Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$132.00</td>
<td>$132.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horsemanship 3-Day Camp</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$125.00</td>
<td>$125.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleigh Ride &amp; Dinner</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$68.00</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLM Wild Horse Corral w/ lunch</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLM Wild Horse Corral</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hells Canyon Jet Boat Tour</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$85.00</td>
<td>$105.00</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
<td>not before 4/1/2019</td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost (charter bus)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half Day Hikes</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCall Day trip/boat tour</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$65.00</td>
<td>$65.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Rocks Climbing</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caving</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho City Color and Soak</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>not before 4/1/2019</td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leslie Gulch Dirving Tour</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>not before 4/1/2019</td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee Title</td>
<td>Dept</td>
<td>Last Increase</td>
<td>Current Fee</td>
<td>Proposed Fee</td>
<td>% increase</td>
<td>Effective Date</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Interagency Fire Center Tour</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C of I Planetarium and Museum</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Munchin' Munchkins, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>lower hard costs for program (in-house)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Munchin' Munchkins, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>lower hard costs for program (in-house)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musical Munchkins, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musical Munchkins, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Messy Munchkins, 3-1hr classes, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$40.00</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>not before 4/1/2019</td>
<td>Due to supplies and instruction cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Messy Munchkins, 3-1hr classes, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td>not before 4/1/2019</td>
<td>Due to supplies and instruction cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Messy Munchkins (2 day), Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Due to supplies and instruction cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Messy Munchkins (2 day), Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Due to supplies and instruction cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvest Classic 2 Mile/8K</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvest Classic 1 Mile</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volleyball Camp, 1.5hr, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$40.00</td>
<td>$40.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volleyball Camp, 1.5hr, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volleyball Camp, 2hr, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volleyball Camp, 2hr, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$55.00</td>
<td>$55.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basketball Camp, 3day, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price is set by outside contractor; NNU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basketball Camp, 3day, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$55.00</td>
<td>$55.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price is set by outside contractor; NNU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rookie Rugby</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price is set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nampa Festival of the Arts Booth Fee 10'X10'</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$40.00</td>
<td>$40.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price is set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nampa Festival of the Arts Booth Fee 15'X15'</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$65.00</td>
<td>$65.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price is set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nampa Festival of the Arts Booth Fee 20'X20'</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$90.00</td>
<td>$90.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price is set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nampa Festival of the Arts Booth Fee 10'X20'</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price is set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nampa Festival of the Arts - Overnight Trailer Fee</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price is set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nampa Festival of the Arts - Power</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price is set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Ross Painting Workshop, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td>$65.00</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
<td>upon approval 4/2018</td>
<td>New contractor; Price is set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Ross Painting Workshop, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>upon approval 4/2018</td>
<td>New contractor; Price is set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flashlight Easter Egg Hunt</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price is set by outside contract, prices vary by class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewing and Quilting Classes</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price is set by outside contract, prices vary by class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee Title</td>
<td>Dept</td>
<td>Last Increase</td>
<td>Current Fee</td>
<td>Proposed Fee</td>
<td>% increase</td>
<td>Effective Date</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic Sewing</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$99.00</td>
<td>$99.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price is set by outside contract, prices vary by class &amp; <strong>includes materials</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewing Machine Basics</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price is set by outside contract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kids Can Sew Class</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$40.00</td>
<td>$40.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price is set by outside contract, prices vary by class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Writing from Revelation to Reality-member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>new</td>
<td>$80.00</td>
<td>$80.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Writing from Revelation to Reality-non member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>new</td>
<td>$85.00</td>
<td>$85.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginning Digital Photography, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price is set by outside contract; $5/contact hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginning Digital Photography, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$55.00</td>
<td>$55.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price is set by outside contract; $5.50/contact hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collette Vacation</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$18.00</td>
<td>$18.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$4.50/class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tots, Tykes 4 Classes, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$13.50</td>
<td>$13.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$4.50/class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kids Triathlon</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price is set by outside contractor; U of I extention office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition/Wellness Series, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$8.00</td>
<td>$8.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price is set by outside contractor; U of I extention office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition/Wellness Series, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snowshoe Trip</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snowshoe Trip - all 3 dates</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$54.00</td>
<td>$54.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitewater Rafting-Main Payette</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$55.00</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>not before 4/1/2019</td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitewater Rafting Riggins</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$65.00</td>
<td>$65.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitewater Rafting-Cabarton</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$95.00</td>
<td>$95.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Water Rafting-Hagerman</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$55.00</td>
<td>$65.00</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
<td>not before 4/1/2019</td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zipline- Twin Falls</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$65.00</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>not before 4/1/2019</td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intro to Fly Tying Seminar</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12/20/2018
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fee Title</th>
<th>Dept</th>
<th>Last Increase</th>
<th>Current Fee</th>
<th>Proposed Fee</th>
<th>% increase</th>
<th>Effective Date</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beginning Fly Tying, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$32.00</td>
<td>$32.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>price set by outside contractors; 1.5 hour class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginning Fly Tying, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>price set by outside contractors; 1.5 hour class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fly Casting for Beginners, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>price set by outside contractors; 2.5 hour class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fly Casting for Beginners, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>price set by outside contractors; 2.5 hour class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learn to Cast &amp; Knots, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>price set by outside contractors; 3 hour class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learn to Cast &amp; Knots, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$32.00</td>
<td>$32.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>price set by outside contractors; 3 hour class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weiser Fiddle Festival &amp; lunch</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>new</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSI Planetarium &amp; lunch</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>new</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craters of the Moon &amp; lunch</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>new</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boise Aquarium Trip</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basque Museum &amp; Military History Museum Trip</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Idaho Penitentiary Trip</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$8.00</td>
<td>$8.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snake River Driving Tour/Lunch</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildlife Canyon Driving/Lunch</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmstead - Middle School</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall Flights at World of Prey</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swan Falls &amp; Initial Point</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roseberry Idaho &amp; lunch</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three Island Crossing &amp; lunch</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Train Depot &amp; Indian Creek &amp; lunch</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee Title</td>
<td>Dept</td>
<td>Last Increase</td>
<td>Current Fee</td>
<td>Proposed Fee</td>
<td>% increase</td>
<td>Effective Date</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camille Beckman Tour</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAF &amp; Lunch</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho State Archives</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBR-1 Nuclear Reactor Tour</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boise Zoo Kitchen Tour</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience Paintball</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price dependent upon supply &amp; transportation cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginners Triathlon, Individual, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price depends on supply costs no longer available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginners Triathlon, Individual, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginners Triathlon, Team, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$55.00</td>
<td>$55.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginners Triathlon, Team, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Composition Test, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$8.00</td>
<td>$8.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duraballs 55 cm</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$46.00</td>
<td>$46.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price depends on supply costs no longer available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duraballs 65 cm</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$55.00</td>
<td>$55.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price depends on supply costs no longer available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headphones - earbuds</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price depends on supply costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitness Program, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$36.00</td>
<td>$36.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kettlebell Skills, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$36.00</td>
<td>$36.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRX Skills, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$36.00</td>
<td>$36.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Plate Orientation, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$36.00</td>
<td>$36.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitness Assessment, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$36.00</td>
<td>$36.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor Triathlon, Individual, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor Triathlon, Individual, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$36.00</td>
<td>$36.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor Triathlon, Team, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$66.00</td>
<td>$66.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor Triathlon, Team, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$72.00</td>
<td>$72.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition Counseling 30 min., Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$36.00</td>
<td>$36.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition Counseling 30 min, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$42.00</td>
<td>$42.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition Counseling Follow-up, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$18.00</td>
<td>$18.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition Counseling Follow-up, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$21.00</td>
<td>$21.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition Seminar, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$36.00</td>
<td>$36.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition Seminar, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$54.00</td>
<td>$54.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition Concepts, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$36.00</td>
<td>$36.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition Mini, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>new</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition Mini, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>new</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Training, 3 session, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$117.00</td>
<td>$117.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12/20/2018
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fee Title</th>
<th>Dept</th>
<th>Last Increase</th>
<th>Current Fee</th>
<th>Proposed Fee</th>
<th>% increase</th>
<th>Effective Date</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personal Training, 3 session, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC 2014</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$162.00</td>
<td>$162.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Training, 5 sessions, Member</td>
<td>NRC 2014</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Training, 5 sessions, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC 2014</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Training, 10 session, Member</td>
<td>NRC 2014</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Training, 10 session, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC 2014</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$450.00</td>
<td>$450.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buddy Personal Training, 3 sessions, Member</td>
<td>NRC 2014</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$180.00</td>
<td>$180.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buddy Personal Training, 3 sessions, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC 2014</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$246.00</td>
<td>$246.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buddy Personal Training, 10 sessions, Member</td>
<td>NRC 2014</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$680.00</td>
<td>$680.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buddy Personal Training, 10 sessions, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC 2014</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$270.00</td>
<td>$270.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buddy Personal Training, 5 session, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC 2014</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$380.00</td>
<td>$380.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance &amp; Fall Prevention, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>free</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance &amp; Fall Prevention, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women’s Strength Training, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$28.00</td>
<td>$28.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women’s Strength Training, Non Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$64.00</td>
<td>$64.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Strength Training, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$16.00</td>
<td>$16.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Strength Training, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>$55.00</td>
<td>$55.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZONE Orientation, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol Screening</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$40.00</td>
<td>$40.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic Testing, Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$19.00</td>
<td>$21.00</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td>not before 4/1/2019</td>
<td>Service now available onsite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic Testing, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$39.00</td>
<td>$43.00</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td>not before 4/1/2019</td>
<td>Service now available onsite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone Density Screening</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price set by outside contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional Training, Non-Member</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Site Fitness</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$37.00</td>
<td>$37.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>per hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off-Site Fitness</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$55.00</td>
<td>$55.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>per hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee Title</td>
<td>Dept</td>
<td>Last Increase</td>
<td>Current Fee</td>
<td>Proposed Fee</td>
<td>% Increase</td>
<td>Effective Date</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right of Way Trees</td>
<td>Parks</td>
<td></td>
<td>$80.00</td>
<td>$99.00</td>
<td>23.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Picnic Shelter Minimum Pricing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakeview Fireplace Shelter</td>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$80.00</td>
<td>$80.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberty Park Shelter 200 and fewer</td>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$80.00</td>
<td>$80.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lions - Pool Shelter 150 and fewer</td>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lions - house Shelter 150 and fewer</td>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>All other shelters</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picnic Reservation 1-100 persons</td>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>$40.00</td>
<td>$40.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picnic Reservations 101-150 persons</td>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picnic Reservations 151-200 persons</td>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$80.00</td>
<td>$80.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picnic Reservation 201-300 persons</td>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picnic Reservation additional 1-100 persons</td>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picnic Reservation non profit/school discount</td>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lloyd Square Reservation</td>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horse Mural Park Reservation</td>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphitheater 1-300 persons</td>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
- Rates include power and dumpster.
- Fee based upon block schedules: 11am-4pm and 4:30pm-sunset.
- Fee included power.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fee Title</th>
<th>Dept</th>
<th>Last Increase</th>
<th>Current Fee</th>
<th>Proposed Fee</th>
<th>% Increase</th>
<th>Effective Date</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amphitheater additional 1-100 persons</td>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fee is per block time (9am-3pm or 4pm-sunset). Fee includes power.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rose Garden Reservations</td>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$85.00</td>
<td>$85.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fee is per block time (9am-3pm or 4pm-sunset). Fee includes power.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballfield Rental, full day</td>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Per field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballfield Rental, full day non profit/school discount</td>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$22.50</td>
<td>$22.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Per field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballfield Rental, half day</td>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Per field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballfield Rental, half day non profit/school discount</td>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Per field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballfield Rental, per game</td>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$17.50</td>
<td>$17.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Per game</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Staff Support - Per Hour</td>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Turf Pass</td>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Parking Fee</td>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Parking on the grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical without Reservation</td>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Canopy Rental</td>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>per day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large Canopy Rental</td>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>per day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large Stage Rental</td>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>per day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Events Rates</td>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Negotiated</td>
<td>Negotiated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fees Negotiated based on estimated additional costs incurred by city plus standard reservation fees.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12/20/2018
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fee Title</th>
<th>Dept.</th>
<th>Last Increase</th>
<th>Current Fee</th>
<th>Proposed Fee</th>
<th>% Increase</th>
<th>Effective Date</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spaces</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Space</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant Space</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$275.00</td>
<td>$275.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double Depth Space</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$1,100.00</td>
<td>$1,100.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single to Double Space</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$325.00</td>
<td>$325.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cremated Space (1/2 space)</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$400.00</td>
<td>$400.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niche Wall Single</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$825.00</td>
<td>$825.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niche Wall Open/Close</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Open/Close</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open/Close Adult w/set up</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$550.00</td>
<td>$550.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open/Close Adult no set up</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$400.00</td>
<td>$400.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilbert Vault</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$400.00</td>
<td>$400.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open/Close Infant</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open/Close Cremated with set up</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open/Close Cremated-no set up</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disinternment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disinternment-Adult</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fee does not include additional space purchase/ return</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disinternment-Infant</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fee does not include additional space purchase/ return</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disinternment Ashes</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disinternment Single to Double</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Additional Fees</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturday prior to 12pm</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$450.00</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturday prior to 12pm (cremated remains)</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturday after 12pm</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturday after 12pm (cremated remains)</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holiday</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$600.00</td>
<td>$600.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-F Chapel Service starting after 3:00pm</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee Title</td>
<td>Dept.</td>
<td>Last Increase</td>
<td>Current Fee</td>
<td>Proposed Fee</td>
<td>% Increase</td>
<td>Effective Date</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Fees Monday-Thursday (Except Holidays) Adult</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$ 29.00</td>
<td>$ 30.00</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Fees Monday-Thursday (Except Holidays) Child</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$ 20.00</td>
<td>$ 20.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twilight 7 Days a week</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$ 19.00</td>
<td>$ 20.00</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Rate 55 &amp; Older Monday-Thursday</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$ 26.00</td>
<td>$ 27.00</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Fees Friday, Saturday, Sunday, &amp; Holidays Adult</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$ 36.00</td>
<td>$ 37.00</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Fees Friday, Saturday, Sunday, &amp; Holidays Child</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$ 20.00</td>
<td>$ 20.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive 9 Weekday &amp; Adult</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$ 15.00</td>
<td>$ 15.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive 9 Child</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$ 7.00</td>
<td>$ 7.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot Golf Adult</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$ 11.00</td>
<td>$ 11.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot Golf Child</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$ 6.00</td>
<td>$ 6.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Season Passes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season Pass Adult Monday-Friday</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$ 950.00</td>
<td>$ 1,000.00</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season Pass Spouse Monday-Friday</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$ 570.00</td>
<td>$ 600.00</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season Pass Full Time College Student</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$ 400.00</td>
<td>Eliminate Fee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season Pass Junior (17 &amp; under)</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$ 240.00</td>
<td>$ 240.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season Pass Executive 9 Child 17&amp;under</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$ 80.00</td>
<td>$ 80.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season Pass Executive 9</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$ 335.00</td>
<td>$ 335.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centennial &amp; Ridgecrest Golf Pass Adult</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$ 1,060.00</td>
<td>$ 1,110.00</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centennial &amp; Ridgecrest Golf Pass Spouse</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$ 685.00</td>
<td>$ 710.00</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centennial &amp; Ridgecrest Golf Pass Adult No Restrictions, 1/2 price fees</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$ 400.00</td>
<td>$ 400.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee Title</td>
<td>Dept.</td>
<td>Last Increase</td>
<td>Current Fee</td>
<td>Proposed Fee</td>
<td>% Increase</td>
<td>Effective Date</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range Balls Season Pass</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$375.00</td>
<td>$375.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot Golf Ball Rental</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Miscellaneous Fees</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Cart 18 Holes (per person)</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$14.00</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Cart 9 Holes (per person)</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$8.00</td>
<td>$9.00</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail Fee 18 Holes</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$13.00</td>
<td>$14.00</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Trail Fee Season Pass</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$344.50</td>
<td>$344.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental Clubs 9 Holes</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$9.00</td>
<td>$9.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental Clubs 9 Holes (Premium)</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental Clubs 18 Holes</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental Clubs 18 Holes (Premium)</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pull Carts / Push Carts</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range Balls Small</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range Balls Medium</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$7.00</td>
<td>$7.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range Balls Large</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$9.00</td>
<td>$9.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Get Golf Ready - Adult Classes</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$99.00</td>
<td>$99.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jr Golf program</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$40.00</td>
<td>$42.00</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor SNAG Golf, NRC Member</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$17.00</td>
<td>$17.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor SNAG Golf, NRC Non-Member</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNAG Golf</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Golf Lessons with Head Professional</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult 1/2 hour</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$40.00</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult 1/2 hour series of 3</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>$120.00</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kids 1/2 hour</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Golf Lessons with Assistant Professional</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult 1/2 hour</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td>$40.00</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult 1/2 hour series of 3</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$90.00</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kids 1/2 hour</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Golf Lessons with PGA Apprentice</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult 1/2 hour</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult 1/2 hour series of 3</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$80.00</td>
<td>$90.00</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kids 1/2 hour</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Local School Partnerships</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per team per season</td>
<td>Ridgecrest</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Includes course use and range balls</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All rates include 6% sales tax.
All season passes are valid Monday-Friday anytime, Saturday, Sunday, & Holidays after 12pm.
October 1-February 28 all season passes are unrestricted.
Rates for clubhouse rental, special events, or tournaments may be negotiated.

12/20/2018
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fee Title</th>
<th>Dept.</th>
<th>Last Increase</th>
<th>Current Fee</th>
<th>Proposed Fee</th>
<th>% Increase</th>
<th>Effective Date</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18 Holes Green Fees Monday - Thursday (Except Holidays) Adult</td>
<td>Centennial</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$23.00</td>
<td>$23.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Holes Green Fees 7 Days a week</td>
<td>Centennial</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$17.00</td>
<td>$18.00</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Fees Child 7 Days a week</td>
<td>Centennial</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$16.50</td>
<td>$17.00</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Fees Twilight 7 Days a week</td>
<td>Centennial</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$17.00</td>
<td>$18.00</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Holes Green Fees Friday, Saturday, Sunday, &amp; Holidays - Adult</td>
<td>Centennial</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$27.00</td>
<td>$28.00</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Holes Green Fees Friday, Saturday, Sunday, &amp; Holidays - Adult</td>
<td>Centennial</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>Eliminate Fee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Season Passes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fee Title</th>
<th>Dept.</th>
<th>Last Increase</th>
<th>Current Fee</th>
<th>Proposed Fee</th>
<th>% Increase</th>
<th>Effective Date</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Season Pass Adult 7 Days Restricted M-Fri After 12pm Sat-Sun/Holidays</td>
<td>Centennial</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$725.00</td>
<td>$760.00</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season Pass Spouse 7 Day Restricted M-Fri After 12pm Sat-Sun/Holidays</td>
<td>Centennial</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$410.00</td>
<td>$430.00</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season Pass Child 7 Days Restricted 17 &amp; Under</td>
<td>Centennial</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$210.00</td>
<td>$210.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season Pass College 7 Days Restricted 18 - 24 Full Time</td>
<td>Centennial</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td>Eliminate Fee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range Balls Season Pass</td>
<td>Centennial</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$344.50</td>
<td>$344.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Season Passes (only to those grandfathered in)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fee Title</th>
<th>Dept.</th>
<th>Last Increase</th>
<th>Current Fee</th>
<th>Proposed Fee</th>
<th>% Increase</th>
<th>Effective Date</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Season Pass Adult 7 Days</td>
<td>Centennial</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$850.00</td>
<td>$890.00</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season Pass Spouse 7 Days</td>
<td>Centennial</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$460.00</td>
<td>$480.00</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season Pass Senior 7 Days</td>
<td>Centennial</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$715.00</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season Pass Adult Mon - Fri Except Holidays - 5 Day</td>
<td>Centennial</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$610.00</td>
<td>$640.00</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season Pass Spouse Mon. - Fri Except Holidays - 5 Day</td>
<td>Centennial</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$345.00</td>
<td>$365.00</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Miscellaneous Fees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fee Title</th>
<th>Dept.</th>
<th>Last Increase</th>
<th>Current Fee</th>
<th>Proposed Fee</th>
<th>% Increase</th>
<th>Effective Date</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Power Carts 18 Holes (per person)</td>
<td>Centennial</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$14.00</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Carts 9 Holes (per person)</td>
<td>Centennial</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$8.00</td>
<td>$9.00</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail Fee Adult 7 Days</td>
<td>Centennial</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$344.50</td>
<td>$344.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail Fee 4 / 5 Day</td>
<td>Centennial</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail Fee Daily Usage</td>
<td>Centennial</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$13.00</td>
<td>$14.00</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental Clubs 18 holes</td>
<td>Centennial</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental Clubs 18 holes (Premium clubs)</td>
<td>Centennial</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental Clubs 9 holes</td>
<td>Centennial</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$9.00</td>
<td>$9.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee Title</td>
<td>Dept.</td>
<td>Last Increase</td>
<td>Current Fee</td>
<td>Proposed Fee</td>
<td>% Increase</td>
<td>Effective Date</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental Clubs 9 holes (Premium clubs)</td>
<td>Centennial</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$ 25.00</td>
<td>$ 25.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pull Carts / Push Carts</td>
<td>Centennial</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$ 4.00</td>
<td>$ 4.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range Ball Tokens</td>
<td>Centennial</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$ 3.00</td>
<td>$ 3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centennial Golf Cart Shed</td>
<td>Centennial</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$ 313.00</td>
<td>$ 327.00</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centennial Golf Cart Shed (with power)</td>
<td>Centennial</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$ 335.00</td>
<td>$ 350.00</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Get Golf Ready - Adult Classes</td>
<td>Centennial</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$ 99.00</td>
<td>$ 99.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jr Golf program</td>
<td>Centennial</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$ 40.00</td>
<td>$ 42.00</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNAG Golf</td>
<td>Centennial</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$ 20.00</td>
<td>$ 20.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Golf Lessons with Head Professional**

| Adult 1/2 hour                                | Centennial  | 2018          | $ 35.00     | $ 35.00      |            |                |                                            |
| Adult 1/2 hour series of 6                    | Centennial  | 2018          | $ 175.00    | $ 175.00     |            |                |                                            |
| Kids 1/2 hour                                 | Centennial  | 2018          | $ 20.00     | $ 20.00      |            |                |                                            |
| Kids 1/2 hour series of 6                     | Centennial  | 2018          | $ 100.00    | $ 100.00     |            |                |                                            |

**Local School Partnerships**

| Per team per season                           | Centennial  | 2003          | $ 1,000.00  | $ 1,000.00   |            | Includes course use and range balls       |                                            |

All rates include 6% sales tax.
All season passes are valid Monday-Friday anytime, Saturday, Sunday, & Holidays after 12pm.
October 1-February 28 all season passes are unrestricted.
Rates for clubhouse rental, special events, or tournaments may be negotiated.
OVERVIEW

Anyone is eligible to purchase an individual membership to the Nampa Recreation Center regardless of City of Nampa residency. However, to be eligible for a Nampa Recreation Center family membership or senior couple membership the primary member and spouse must be legally married as recognized by the state of Idaho. In addition, only children considered legal dependents ages 18 and under may be included on a family membership. Provisions may be made to place dependent children ages 19 through 21 on a family membership.

DEPENDENT EXTENSIONS

By providing acceptable proof of dependency, a dependent extension allows a primary member to include dependent children ages 19 through 21 years of age on a Nampa Recreation Center family membership. Children older than 21 years of age do not qualify to be secondary members on a family membership and will have to purchase an individual membership.

PROOF OF MARRIAGE AND/OR DEPENDENCY

At the time of membership purchase or at any time during an active membership period, the NRC reserves the right to ask for documentation illustrating the proof of age, marriage and/or dependency.

Below is a list of items that members or membership applicants may be asked to provide. In some cases, other forms of verification may be accepted upon NRC administrative review.

- Signature on the NRC Membership Waiver verifying the primary applicant is legally married to a spouse.
- Signature on the NRC Membership Waiver verifying secondary children listed on the application are legal dependents of the primary applicant.
- Members or membership applicants may be asked to provide a marriage certificate as proof of marriage.
- Members or membership applicants may be asked to provide a birth certificate for dependent children.
- Members or membership applicants may be asked to provide current 1040 tax forms to verify dependency.
- Members or membership applicants may be asked to provide adoption records, court placement records, and/or other custody/guardianship documents as accepted by NRC administration.

**SENIOR MEMBERSHIPS**

Individuals may purchase the NRC senior membership when they turn 65 years of age. Couples are eligible for the senior couple membership when one spouse turns 65 years of age. However, anyone claiming dependents on their membership must maintain a family membership regardless of the primary member’s age.

**MEMBERSHIP MEDICAL HOLDS**

As a courtesy, a member may request their membership to be placed on “hold” due to a medical restriction. Supporting documentation from a physician will be required. Only 1 hold will be granted per membership year. Hold(s) cannot exceed 12 months over that membership term.
NAMPA RECREATION CENTER
CHARTER MEMBERSHIP FEE STRUCTURE

STATEMENT OF PURPOSE
The charter membership fee structure creates an understanding of charter memberships, explains the process of maintaining charter memberships, and outlines who is eligible for charter membership status.

PHILOSOPHY OF CHARTER MEMBERSHIPS
Nampa Recreation Center (NRC) charter members are an exclusive group who purchased memberships prior to the Nampa Recreation Center opening in April of 1994. The charter membership was created to raise the capital dollars needed to start construction. Charter members must continue to maintain their membership each year in order to maintain the charter benefits. Charter memberships were only sold prior to April 1994 and are no longer available for purchase.

All charter membership fees must be paid in full on or before May 1st of each year. Payment plans are not accepted for charter membership payment. Charter membership rates are subject to increase each year.

CHARTER STATUS AND RENEWAL
Annual charter membership fees are due by May 1st of each year. Annual renewal of charter memberships is necessary to maintain charter status. If a charter member fails to renew by the May 1st deadline, charter status is voided. If charter membership is lost, an option is available to purchase an annual membership at the current advertised value.

Members who have lost their charter status may contact the NRC Membership Coordinator to inquire about reinstatement.

- The NRC Membership Coordinator will evaluate eligibility. Only original charter members may re-gain charter status.
- All outstanding charter membership fees for past years left unpaid will be determined at current membership rates.
- If necessary, a payment contract may be drafted to fulfill outstanding fees prior to reinstatement of charter status.
No refunds will be given in the event a family charter membership is converted to an individual charter membership during the course of a membership year. Charter memberships are non-transferable and expire upon the membership not being renewed or with the death of the member.

Charter members may transition, at time of renewal, to the charter senior membership when they turn 65 years of age. Couples are eligible for the senior couple membership when one spouse turns 65 years of age. However, anyone claiming dependents on their membership must maintain a charter family membership regardless of the primary member’s age.

**DEFINITION OF DEPENDENTS**

To be eligible for a charter family membership or charter senior couple membership the primary member and spouse must be legally married as recognized by the state of Idaho. In addition, only children considered legal dependents ages 18 and under may be included on a family membership. Provisions may be made to place dependent children ages 19 through 21 on a family membership.

At the time of membership purchase or at any time during an active membership period, the NRC reserves the right to ask for documentation illustrating the proof of age, marriage and/or dependency.

Below is a list of items that members or membership applicants may be asked to provide. In some cases, other forms of verification may be accepted upon NRC administrative review.

- Signature on the NRC Membership Application verifying the primary applicant is legally married as recognized by the State of Idaho to a spouse.
- Signature on the NRC Membership Application verifying secondary children listed on the application are legal dependents of the primary applicant.
- Members or membership applicants may be asked to provide a marriage certificate as proof of marriage.
- Members or membership applicants may be asked to provide a birth certificate for dependent children.
- Members or membership applicants may be asked to provide current 1040 tax forms to verify dependency.
- Members or membership applicants may be asked to provide adoption records, court placement records, and/or other custody/guardianship documents as accepted by NRC administration.

**CHARTER BREAK-OFFS**

Dependent children of original charter members may “break-off” from their parent’s charter membership and retain their charter status. They must “break-off” when they turn
19 years of age or are no longer eligible to be included on their parent’s charter membership. If they fail to “break-off” at time of renewal, they will lose their charter status. All requirements for original charter members apply to newly created “break-off” charter memberships. “Break-off” charter memberships are only allowed for one generation from the original purchaser of a charter membership. Otherwise, charter memberships are not transferable.

In the event of legal divorce between two original family charter members, both parties may retain charter status. Spouses added to original charter memberships cannot “break-off” and will lose charter status upon divorce or death of the original charter member.
NAMPA RECREATION CENTER
ANNUAL MEMBERSHIP FEE STRUCTURE

STATEMENT OF PURPOSE

This fee structure provides an understanding of Nampa Recreation Center annual memberships, explains rates and payment options, and defines who can be included on the different membership options.

PHILOSOPHY OF ANNUAL MEMBERSHIPS

Annual memberships to the Nampa Recreation Center are available to purchase for anyone. The Nampa Recreation Center does not discriminate against anyone regardless of sex, race, religion or sexual orientation. City of Nampa residency is not required for membership.

The purchase of an annual membership gives the holder(s) admittance to the Nampa Recreation Center for one year, during regular business hours, from the date of activation. Some pre-scheduled dates where the NRC facility will be closed include, Christmas, Easter and during a facility maintenance period once per year. Any unexpected and/or necessary facility closures may occur infrequently and will be announced in advance when possible.

Annual members have access to amenities and services deemed “included” with an annual membership. However, not all amenities and services provided at the NRC location are included with the purchase of an annual membership and additional fees may apply. Most programs and services offered at the NRC will offer a discounted membership rate for annual members.

RATES AND RENEWAL

Annual memberships may be purchased only at the Nampa Recreation Center during normal business hours. Membership rates are subject to periodic increases and may increase with or without notice. Annual memberships will not be sold on a pro-rated basis. Membership options are available in the following categories:

- Family –
  - Legally married couple as recognized by the State of Idaho, or
  - Legally married couple as recognized by the State of Idaho plus their dependent children, or
  - Individual plus their dependent children.
- See eligibility guidelines for details.
  - Individual – One person between the ages of 18 and 64.
  - Youth Individual – One person aged 17 years or younger.
  - Senior Individual – One person aged 65 years or older.
  - Senior Couple – Legally married couple as recognized by the State of Idaho where at least one individual is aged 65 years or older.

Annual members may transition, at time of renewal, to the senior membership when they turn 65 years of age. Couples are eligible for the senior couple membership when one spouse turns 65 years of age. However, anyone claiming dependents on their membership must maintain a family membership regardless of the primary member’s age.

No refunds will be given in the event a family membership is converted to an individual membership during the course of a membership year. All annual memberships are non-refundable.

**REFUNDS / CANCELATIONS**

Annual memberships expire one year from the purchase date. Memberships will not be refunded due to moving, divorce, illness, death or any other reason. If it is found that a member falsified membership eligibility information that membership may be revoked without refund.

Memberships cannot be suspended for reasons aside from medical necessity or military deployment. Supporting documentation must be provided from a doctor or military office in order for a membership suspension to be granted.

**PAYMENT DRAFT CONTRACTS**

Nampa Recreation Center annual memberships may be paid in full or a payment plan draft contract may be established. All NRC payment draft contracts obligate the purchaser to a minimum of a one year membership. The Nampa Recreation Center will collect the balance owed on defaulted contracts.

Terms, conditions and additional fees apply to a payment draft contract. See the NRC front desk for specific obligations and fees. Annual members using the payment plan are entitled to the same amenities as members who pay in full.

**DEFINITION OF DEPENDENTS**

The primary member and spouse must be legally married as recognized by the state of Idaho to be eligible for a family or senior couple membership. In addition, only children considered legal dependents ages 18 and under may be included on a family membership. Provisions may be made to place dependent children ages 19 through 21 on a family membership.
At the time of membership purchase or at any time during an active membership period, the NRC reserves the right to ask for documentation illustrating the proof of age, marriage and/or dependency.

Below is a list of items that members or membership applicants may be asked to provide. In some cases, other forms of verification may be accepted upon NRC administrative review.

- Signature on the NRC Membership Application verifying the primary applicant is legally married as recognized by the State of Idaho to a spouse.
- Signature on the NRC Membership Application verifying secondary children listed on the application are legal dependents of the primary applicant.
- Members or membership applicants may be asked to provide a marriage certificate as proof of marriage.
- Members or membership applicants may be asked to provide a birth certificate for dependent children.
- Members or membership applicants may be asked to provide current 1040 tax forms to verify dependency.
- Members or membership applicants may be asked to provide adoption records, court placement records, and/or other custody/guardianship documents as accepted by NRC administration.
NAMPA RECREATION CENTER
LIFETIME MEMBERSHIP FEE STRUCTURE

STATEMENT OF PURPOSE

This fee structure provides an understanding of Nampa Recreation Center lifetime memberships, explains rates and payment options, and defines who can be included on lifetime memberships.

PHILOSOPHY OF LIFETIME MEMBERSHIPS

Lifetime memberships to the Nampa Recreation Center are available to purchase for anyone. The Nampa Recreation Center does not discriminate against anyone regardless of sex, race, religion or sexual orientation. City of Nampa residency is not required for membership.

The purchase of a lifetime membership gives the holder(s) admittance to the Nampa Recreation Center for the duration of their lives, during regular business hours, from the date of activation. Some pre-scheduled dates where the NRC facility will be closed include, Christmas, Easter and during a facility maintenance period once per year. Any unexpected and/or necessary facility closures may occur infrequently and will be announced in advance when possible.

Lifetime members have access to amenities and services deemed “included” with a lifetime membership. However, not all amenities and services provided at the NRC location are included with the purchase of a lifetime membership and additional fees may apply. Most programs and services offered at the NRC will offer a discounted membership rate for lifetime members. Lifetime members receive free use of the Play Center under current guidelines and policies.

RATES AND RENEWAL

Lifetime memberships may be purchased only at the Nampa Recreation Center during normal business hours. Membership rates are subject to periodic increases and may increase with or without notice. Lifetime memberships will be sold on a pro-rated basis based on an applicant’s age at the time of purchase. Lifetime membership rates for couples are calculated according to the youngest spouse. Membership options are available in the following categories:

- Couple/Family –
  - Legally married couple as recognized by the State of Idaho, or
- Legally married couple as recognized by the State of Idaho plus their dependent children, or
- Individual plus their dependent children.
- See eligibility guidelines for details.

- Individual – One person regardless of age.

**REFUNDS / CANCELLATIONS**

Lifetime memberships will not be refunded or transferred to another person due to moving, divorce, illness, death or any other reason. If it is found that a member falsified membership eligibility information, lifetime memberships may be revoked without refund.

**PAYMENT DRAFT CONTRACTS**

Nampa Recreation Center lifetime memberships may be paid in full or a payment plan contact may be established. All NRC payment contracts obligate the purchaser to complete the purchase of a lifetime membership. The Nampa Recreation Center will collect the balance owed on defaulted contracts.

Terms, conditions and additional fees may apply to a payment contract. See the NRC Membership Coordinator for specific obligations and fees. Lifetime members using the payment plan are entitled to the same amenities as members who pay in full.

**DEFINITION OF DEPENDENTS**

The primary member and spouse must be legally married as recognized by the state of Idaho to be eligible for a couple or family lifetime membership. In addition, only children considered legal dependents ages 18 and under may be included on a couple or family lifetime membership. Provisions may be made to place dependent children ages 19 through 21 on a couple or family lifetime membership.

At the time of membership purchase or at any time during an active membership, the NRC reserves the right to ask for documentation illustrating the proof of age, marriage and/or dependency.

Below is a list of items that members or membership applicants may be asked to provide. In some cases, other forms of verification may be accepted upon NRC administrative review.

- Signature on the NRC Membership Application verifying the primary applicant is legally married as recognized by the State of Idaho to a spouse.
- Signature on the NRC Membership Application verifying secondary children listed on the application are legal dependents of the primary applicant.
• Members or membership applicants may be asked to provide a marriage certificate as proof of marriage.
• Members or membership applicants may be asked to provide a birth certificate for dependent children.
• Members or membership applicants may be asked to provide current 1040 tax forms to verify dependency.
• Members or membership applicants may be asked to provide adoption records, court placement records, and/or other custody/guardianship documents as accepted by NRC administration.

**DIVORCE/DEATH**

In the event of a divorce, the lifetime membership may not be transferred or refunded. The couple will become two individual lifetime members. In the event of a re-marriage, the new spouse/step children may purchase their own lifetime membership(s), but will not be included under the previous couple/family lifetime membership.

In the event of death to a spouse, the remaining lifetime member will become an individual lifetime member. If the remaining original lifetime member re-marries, their new spouse may purchase their own lifetime membership, but will not be included under the previous couple/family lifetime membership.
OVERVIEW

Punch passes may be purchased for admittance to the Nampa Recreation Center by anyone regardless of City of Nampa residency. Punch passes can be purchased in increments of 20 visits and allows admittances at a discounted rate per visit when compared to day passes. Punch passes give the purchaser access to the same amenities and services of an annual member with the exception of the “member rate” to supplementary programs, i.e. swimming lessons, gymnastics, etc. Punch pass holders receive two free personal training sessions per year.

DURATION

Nampa Recreation Center punch passes are valid for three years from the date of purchase or until redeemed. The use of one scan or “punch” on a punch pass allows for return admittances for the user throughout that day’s normal business hours. A date stamp on the hand or a re-admittance slip is required prior to leaving the facility for later re-admittance.

MULTIPLE USERS

Punch passes are unique from other Nampa Recreation Center admittance methods because they allow for multiple users as long as subsequent users are within the same age category. Each user of a punch pass must scan or “punch” the pass at the time of the first daily visit.
NAMPA RECREATION CENTER
DAY PASS FEE STRUCTURE

OVERVIEW

Day passes may be purchased for admittance to the Nampa Recreation Center regardless of City of Nampa residency. Day passes do not give the customer all the advantages that an annual membership provides. The purchase of a day pass does not allow discounting on programs and does not include complimentary personal training sessions. However, program discount and complimentary personal training privileges are received with an annual membership purchase.

DURATION

Nampa Recreation Center day passes are valid for one day during regular business hours. The purchase of a day pass allows for return admittances for the purchaser throughout that day’s normal business hours. A date stamp on the hand or a re-admittance slip is required prior to leaving the facility for later re-admittance. Typically, day passes are utilized the day of purchase. However, pre-paid day passes are available for purchase at the normal day pass rates.

GROUP DISCOUNTS

Discounted rates are available to groups and organizations purchasing day passes in quantities of 25 or more. Discounts are also available to customers who have rented the birthday party room. All groups and organizations must contact the Nampa Recreation Center in advance to schedule a visit date and time.

- **NON-PROFILE & SERVICE GROUP DISCOUNTS**
  Non-profit and human service organizations may qualify for discounted Nampa Recreation Center day passes depending on the scope of their mission. Qualifying organizations must order and pre-pay for a minimum of 25 day passes at a time. Contact Nampa Recreation Center administration for approval and to place day pass orders.
STAFF REPORT – PUBLIC HEARING

Variance of required five (5) foot side setback to allow a three (3) foot side setback for detached single family homes to be located in the entire Bella Commons Planned Unit Development Phase 2 located north of Flamingo Rd. and West of N. Cassia St. for Chad Olsen of Envision 360, Inc.

To: Mayor & City Council
Owner/Applicant: Chad Olsen, Envision 360 Inc.
File No: VAR-00062-2018
Prepared By: Doug Critchfield, Planner II
Date: December 27, 2018

Requested Action(s): Variance to City of Nampa Zoning Ordinance Sections 10-10-6 E for all detached single family homes requiring a five (5) foot side setback, to allow a three (3) foot side setback for 85 buildable lots.

Purpose/Applicant Explanation: The owner/applicant is requesting the variance in order to construct new single-family homes on 35' wide lots in the Bella Commons Planned Unit Development Ph. 2 (hereinafter the “PUD”).

GENERAL INFORMATION

Status of Applicant: Owner

Existing Zoning: RD (Two Family [Duplex] Residential)
Location: 2121 W. Claira Rd., 1522 S. Pilar Way, & other parcels within the PUD TBD - North of Flamingo Road and West of N. Cassia St. on that portion S½ of the SE¼ of the NW¼ of Section 17, T.3N., R.2W., B. M., Nampa, Canyon County, ID.

Size of Property: The PUD is 9.05 acres
Surrounding Land Use and Zoning:
North- Residential, RS 6 (Single Family Residential)
South- Residential, RA (Single Family Suburban Residential)
East- Residential, RA (Single Family Suburban Residential) and RMH (Multi-Family Residential)
West- Residential, RS 6 (Single Family Residential)

Comprehensive Plan Designation: Residential Mixed Use

Parcel History: Ordinance #3725 (August 7, 2007) annexed the parcel into the City of Nampa, zoned it RD (two-family residential) and created a PUD overlay.

APPLICABLE REGULATIONS

10-24-1: [Variance] Purpose:

The City Council is empowered to grant variances to prevent or to lessen practical development difficulties, unique site circumstances and unnecessary physical, geographical hardships inconsistent with the objectives of zoning as would result from a literal interpretation and enforcement of certain bulk or quantifiable regulations prescribed by zoning ordinance.

A variance shall not be considered a right or special privilege but may be granted to an applicant only upon a showing of undue hardship because of: a) special characteristics applicable to the site which deprive it of privileges commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zone or vicinity, and b) the variance is not in conflict with the public interest. Hardships must result from special site characteristics relating to the size, shape or dimensions of a site or the location of existing structures thereon, from geographic, topographic or other physical conditions, or from population densities, street locations or traffic conditions or other unique circumstances.

Variances are not intended to allow something that others do not have a permitted right to do. The purpose of a variance is to provide fair treatment and to see that individuals are not penalized because of site characteristics beyond their control. (Ord. 2140; amd. Ord. 2978)

10-24-2: Actions:

A. Granting of Variance Permit: The council may grant a variance permit with respect to requirements for fences and walls, site, area, width, frontage, depth, coverage, front yard, rear yard, side yards, outdoor living area, height of structures, distances between structures or landscaped areas as the variance was applied for or in modified form if, based on application, investigation and evidence submitted, the council concludes the following:

1. Literal interpretation and enforcement of the regulation would result in practical difficulty or unnecessary physical hardship inconsistent with the objectives of the zoning ordinance.
2. There are extraordinary site characteristics applicable to the property involved or to the intended use of the property which do not apply generally to other properties classified in the same zoning district.
3. Literal interpretation and enforcement of the regulation would deprive the applicant of privileges enjoyed by the owners of other properties classified in the same zoning district.
4. The granting of the variance will not constitute a grant of special privilege inconsistent with the limitations on other properties classified in the same zoning district.
5. The granting of the variance will not be detrimental to the public health, safety or welfare or materially injurious to properties or improvements in the vicinity.

10-10-6: PROPERTY AREA, WIDTH AND YARD REQUIREMENTS: (RD Zone)

Minimum Interior Yard Setback: Minimum property interior (side/rear) yard setbacks shall be not less than five feet (5') wide/deep. Where a utility easement is recorded adjacent to a side property line, there shall be provided a side yard (setback) no less than the width of the easement or five feet (5') whichever is greater. Where a utility easement is recorded adjacent to a rear property line, the rear interior yard (setback) shall be no less than the width of the easement on the development site or five feet (5'), whichever is greater. (Ord. 4282, 9-19-2016)

SPECIAL INFORMATION

Transportation/Access: The parcel has direct access on Flamingo Ave. via Pilar Way and N Cliffrock Rd.

Environmental, Aesthetics/Landscaping: Subdivision landscaping as well as street frontage landscaping on Flamingo Ave as stated in the Development Agreement dated August 6, 2007 will be required.

Citizen Input: At the time of the preparation of this staff report, no comments were received by property owners or nearby residents either opposing or supporting the requested variance(s).

NARRATIVE/COMMENTS

To justify a variance request, an applicant must argue successfully to the Council that there are aspects of their property that physically, topographically, or, otherwise based on code requirements, puts them at a disadvantage in trying to accomplish what they wish (e.g. develop their land) in comparison to like properties. And where a site is clear of obstructions, easily or already flat graded (i.e., not adversely, topographically affected by a river, a highway or a mountain in the way, etc.), and, is of minimal dimensions per zoning code to be "buildable", then it is difficult to argue that a hardship is present that is not brought on by the applicant’s proposed design.

If the City Council believes that there is no real topographical hardship associated with a variance application, then the applicant must argue that there is a "unique site circumstance" sufficient to justify their request. In the past, variances have been issued on a case by case basis where a unique situation could be determined to exist.

The City Council must determine if this request qualifies as a unique site circumstance providing the required justification for approval. The City Council is at liberty to either approve or deny. Either decision should not be construed as setting precedent, but consistency in the community/neighborhood and between applications is a desirable goal when dealing with the case.

The owner/applicant seeks to reduce side setbacks to 3’ throughout the Bella Commons PUD Phase 2 in order to build detached single-family residences. The Bella Commons PUD did not specifically grant a variation from the RD zone setback requirements. Historically, PUD’s have
permitted variations in setbacks in order to accommodate special circumstances, such as the narrow lot sizes in this PUD.

Staff recommends that if the City Council votes to approve the variance the approval be based on the required findings of Section 10-24-2 of the Nampa City Code.

**RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL**

Should the City Council vote to approve the requested Variance, staff recommends the following condition be applied:

1) The applicant shall comply with all applicable requirements as may be imposed by City divisions/departments appropriately involved in the review of this request as the Variance approval shall not have the effect of abrogating requirements from those City divisions/departments.

2) The Applicant shall comply with the requirements stated in the Development Agreement between City and Needs Koch, LLC dated August 6, 2007 “Exhibit D – Conditions of Approval”.

**ATTACHMENTS**

1) Copy of Variance application (page 5);
2) Aerial photo of neighborhood (page 6);
3) Copy of Map with zoning overlay (Page 7);
4) Bella Commons Subdivision Phase 2 PUD (reduced from original) (page 8-9);
5) Copy of Ordinance 3725 annexation and zoning to RD; (page 10-13);
6) Aerial photo of parcel with existing and proposed building setbacks; (page 14);
7) Copy of Exhibit "D" Parcel Description (page 15-16);
8) Copy of Development Agreement with Exhibit "D" Conditions of Approval (page 17-28);
9) Copy of memorandum dated 20 December 2018 from City of Nampa Engineering Division authored by Daniel Badger (page 29);
10) Copy of email dated 20 December 2018 from City of Nampa Department of Building Safety authored by Neil Jones (page 30).
**APPLICATION FOR VARIANCE**

**PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT**
411 3rd STREET S, NAMPA, IDAHO 83651  P: (208) 468-4487 F: (208) 465-2261
Nonrefundable Fee: $255.00

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applicant Name</th>
<th>Home Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chad Oisen</td>
<td>208-921-5252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street Address</td>
<td>Mobile Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12790 W. Telemark St.</td>
<td>208-921-5252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>Email</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boise</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Chadb6x@gmail.com">Chadb6x@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zip Code</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83713</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Please provide the following required documentation**

- Completed Application
- A copy of one of the following: □ Warranty Deed □ Proof Of Option □ Earnest Money Agreement
- Signed & Notarized Affidavit of Legal Interest (attached). Form **must** be completed by the legal owner
- (If owner is a corporation, submit a copy of the Articles of Incorporation or other evidence to show that the person signing is an authorized agent)
- Original Legal description of property AND a legible WORD formatted document. (Must have for final recording) Old or illegible title documents will need to be retyped in a WORD formatted document.

**Project Description**

- State the nature of the variance request and the practical difficulty or unnecessary hardship, which would result from a literal interpretation and enforcement of the specific regulation for which the variance is being sought,
- (attach additional pages if necessary):

**APPROVED WITH 3 SETBACKS INSTEAD OF 5.' HOGS ARE CONSIDERED**

Dated this 14th day of December, 2018

**PLEASE NOTE**

This application will be referred to the Nampa City Council for its consideration. The City Council shall hold a public hearing on the application and it shall be granted or denied. Notice of the public hearing shall be sent to adjacent property owners no less than 10 or more than 30 days prior to the hearing. You will be given notice of the public hearing and should be present to answer any questions.

A variance shall not be considered a right or a privilege, but will only be granted upon showing the following undue hardship:

1. Special characteristics of the site, which deprive it of privileges commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zone or vicinity, and
2. The variance is not in conflict with the public interest.

Variance are not intended to allow something that others do not have a permitted right to do.

The use or construction permitted by a variance must be commenced within a 6-month period. If such use or construction has not commenced within such time period, the variance shall no longer be valid. Prior to the expiration of the 6-month period the applicant may request from the city Council an extension for up to an additional 6 months from the original date of approval.

**OFFICE USE ONLY**

FILE NUMBER: VAR - 10062 - 2018  PROJECT NAME: VARIANCE SET BACKS

12/11/13 Revised
BELLA COMMONS SUBDIVISION PHASE 2
A PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT
LOCATED IN THE 5% 58% NW^4 OF SECTION 17, T8 S., R2 W., B.M.
NAMPA, CANYON COUNTY, IDAHO
2019

NOTES:
9. Lot 1 Block 2 is N Pior Way, a private street 24 feet wide, with a blanket utility easement in favor of the City of Nampa. 8,768 sq.ft.
Lot 1 Block 3 is W Isabella Place, a private street 24 feet wide, with a blanket utility easement in favor of the City of Nampa. 7,864 sq.ft.
Lot 2 Block 4 is W Clara Road, a private street 24 feet wide, with a blanket utility easement in favor of the City of Nampa. 11,532 sq.ft.
Lot 2 Block 5 is W Bella Drive, a private street 24 feet wide, with a blanket utility easement in favor of the City of Nampa. 9,200 sq.ft.
Lot 3 Block 7 is N Exhilarate Rd., a private street 24 feet wide, with a blanket utility easement in favor of the City of Nampa. 3,517 sq.ft.

10. Lots 2 thru 15 Block 2 are subject to a 20 foot utility easement providing ingress/egress access to Lots 1 thru 15 Block 2. Displayed as shown, with a blanket public utility easement in favor of the City of Nampa. There is a 15 foot wide public utility easement in said Lots 1 thru 15 adjacent to said access easement. Direct access to Fleming Road or Pior Way is prohibited.

11. Lots 16 thru 18, 20 thru 23, and 25 thru 31 Block 2 are subject to a 20 foot wide easement providing ingress/egress access to Lots 25 thru 31 Block 2, as shown, with a blanket public utility easement in favor of the City of Nampa. There is a 15 foot wide public utility easement in said Lots 23 thru 31 subject to said access easement. These public utility easements shall not preclude the construction of proper curb gutter drainage for access to each individual lot.

12. Lots 2 thru 5, Blocks 2, Blocks 2 & 3 Block 3, Lots 1 thru 15, Block 4, Lot 1 thru 24, Block 5, Lots 2 thru 16 and Lots 2 thru 25, Block 5 and Lot 2 Block 7 have 7 foot wide curbs, gutter, sidewalk and public utility easement adjacent to said rights of way.

13. Lots 2 thru 9, Block 2, Lots 3 thru 7 and Lots 9 thru 12, Block 4, Lots 1 thru 10 and Lots 12 thru 24, Block 5, Lots 2 thru 16, and Lots 25 thru 31 Block 2 have 10 foot wide public utility easements adjacent to the 7 foot wide sidewalk easements. Lots 10 Block 2, Lots 12 and 20 Block 2, and Lots 5, 12 thru 17 and 24 Block 2 shall have 5 feet x 5 feet easements for power pole transformers in the southeast corners of said Lots adjacent to said 10 foot wide public utility easements, as shown on this plat.
ORDINANCE NO. 3725


NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NAMPA, IDAHO:

Section 1: That the following described real property consisting of approximately 3.68 acres located at 1627 Cassia Street, and all thereof, be, and the same is hereby, annexed and made a part of the City of Nampa, Idaho. That the real property hereby annexed is described as follows, to-wit:

See Exhibit A attached hereto and, by this reference, incorporated herein as if set forth in full.

Section 2: That the real property so annexed, as described in Exhibit A above, shall be zoned RA.

Section 3: That the following described real property consisting of approximately 3.133 acres, and all thereof, be, and the same is hereby, annexed and made a part of the City of Nampa, Idaho with a PUD overlay and endorsement of Planning Commission approval of concept plan. That the real property hereby annexed is described as follows, to-wit:

See Exhibit B attached hereto and, by this reference, incorporated herein as if set forth in full.

Section 4: That the real property so annexed, as described in Exhibit B above, shall be zoned RMH.

Section 5: That the annexation and zoning of the real property as described in Exhibit B above, is subject to and limited by that certain Development Agreement entered into between the parties.

Section 6: That the following described real property consisting of approximately .567 acres, and all thereof, be, and the same is hereby, annexed and made a part of the City of
Nampa, Idaho with a PUD overlay and endorsement of Planning Commission approval of concept plan. That the real property hereby annexed is described as follows, to-wit:

See Exhibit C attached hereto and, by this reference, incorporated herein as if set forth in full.

Section 7: That the real property so annexed, as described in Exhibit C above, shall be zoned BN.

Section 8: That the annexation and zoning of the real property as described in Exhibit C above, is subject to and limited by that certain Development Agreement entered into between the parties.

Section 9: That the following described real property consisting of approximately 10.021 acres, and all thereof, be, and the same is hereby, annexed and made a part of the City of Nampa, Idaho with a PUD overlay and endorsement of Planning Commission approval of concept plan. That the real property hereby annexed is described as follows, to-wit:

See Exhibit D attached hereto and, by this reference, incorporated herein as if set forth in full.

Section 10: That the real property so annexed, as described in Exhibit D above, shall be zoned RD.

Section 11: That the annexation and zoning of the real property as described in Exhibit D above, is subject to and limited by that certain Development Agreement entered into between the parties.

Section 12: That the City Engineer is hereby directed to alter and change the Use and Area Map of the City of Nampa, Idaho, to comply with this Ordinance.


Approved:

By

Mayor

Attest:

City Clerk
State of Idaho )

Canyon County )

On this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_, before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for said State, personally appeared Tom Dale and Diana Lambing known to be the Mayor and City Clerk, of the City of Nampa, Idaho, a municipal corporation, who executed the foregoing instrument.

In Witness Thereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed by official seal, the day and year in this certificate first above written.

\[Signature\]
Julie Lockey
Residing at: Nampa, Canyon County, Idaho
My Commission Expires: 05/11/2011
EXHIBIT "D"

PARCEL RD DESCRIPTION

That portion of the South 1/2 of the Southeast 1/4 of the Northwest 1/4 of Section 17, Township 3 North, Range 2 West, Boise Meridian, Canyon County, Idaho, more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the Southeast corner of said Southeast 1/4 of the Northwest 1/4 of Section 17; thence along the Southerly boundary line of said Southeast 1/4 of the Northwest 1/4, North 89º28'33" West, 487.33 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING;

thence continuing along said line, North 89º28'33" West, 520.46 feet;

thence North 00º31'44" East, 275.00 feet;

thence North 89º28'33" West, 317.00 feet to the Easterly boundary line of New Karcher Estates No. 2;

thence along said Easterly boundary line North 00º31'44" East, 387.73 feet to the Northwest 1/16 corner;

thence along the Northerly boundary line of the South 1/2 of the Southeast 1/4 of the Northwest 1/4 of Section 17, South 89º29'36" East, 780.00 feet;

thence South 00º31'44" West, 318.07 feet;

thence North 89º29'36" West, 37.97 feet;

thence South 45º31'27" West, 55.85 feet;

thence South 44º28'33" East, 115.16 feet;

thence along a curve to the left 61.15 feet, having a radius of 100.00 feet, a delta of 35º02'08" and a long chord which bears South 61º59'37" East, 60.20 feet;

thence South 00º30'24" West, 196.19 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

Containing 10.021 acres, more or less.

END OF DESCRIPTION

Prepared by:
J-U-B ENGINEERS, Inc.
Ronald M. Hodge, P.L.S.
DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT

THIS DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT (this “Agreement”), is made and entered into this 6th day of August, 2007 (the “Effective Date”), by and between the City of Nampa, a municipal corporation, hereinafter referred to as the “City,” and Needs Koch LLC, an Idaho limited liability company, hereinafter referred to as “Owner/Developer.”

RECITALS

A. Owner/Developer is the owner of approximately 13.72 acres of real property legally described in Exhibit “A” attached hereto and made a part hereof (the “Property”).

B. Owner/Developer applied to City on Oct. 4, 2006 (the “date of application”) for annexation of the Property into City and for rezoning of the Property as described on Exhibit “B” attached hereto and made a part hereof (the “Zoning”) in anticipation of the development and construction of a mixed use subdivision within and upon the Property.

C. City, pursuant to Section 10-2-5, Nampa City Code, and Idaho Code Section 67-6511A, has the authority to rezone the Property and enter into a development agreement for the purpose of allowing, by agreement, a specific development (hereinafter the “Project”) to proceed in a specific area and for specific purposes and/or uses that are appropriate in the area.

D. City’s Planning and Zoning Commission and City’s City Council have held public hearings as prescribed by law with respect to the annexation, rezoning and development of the Property and this Agreement. City has approved the annexation and requested rezoning of the Property subject to the terms and commitments contained in this Agreement.

AGREEMENT

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the above recitals, which are incorporated below, and of the mutual covenants and agreements herein contained, and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, the parties hereto agree as follows:

1. This Agreement shall not prevent City, in subsequent actions applicable to the Property, from applying new ordinances and regulations of general application adopted by City in the exercise of its police powers that do not conflict with the parties’ commitments applicable to the Property as set forth herein, or the zoning designation approved hereby as the Property has been deemed suitable for the uses allowed within said zoning designation.

2. The Property shall be developed in substantial conformance with the conceptual plan attached hereto as Exhibit “C” and made a part hereof (the “Conceptual Plan”); provided, however, that Owner/Developer shall have limited flexibility to develop the Property to meet market conditions, and the only specific commitments concerning development of the Project which Owner/Developer is making are set forth herein. Upon recordation of this Agreement, Owner/Developer shall have all general approvals required from City for development of the Property in general conformance with the conceptual plan.
3. This Agreement is intended to be supplemental to all other local, city, state and federal Code requirements, rules and regulations, and is established to help assure the compatibility of the resulting land use with the surrounding area. Provided, however, that to the extent this Agreement conflicts with any provision of the Nampa City Code, this Agreement shall prevail to the extent permitted by law. However, this Agreement shall not be construed to have or have the effect of abrogating the Owner/Developer and Project's responsibility to cause depiction, description, and construction of the same from having to meet all applicable local, city, state and federal Code requirements -- provided those requirements largely preserve and maintain the integrity of the Project and its overall design plan. Specifically, Owner/Developer shall cause that the corrections and drawings of their Project shall comply with those [extant] requirements listed in the December 18, 2006 letter from the City (Robert Hobbs) to Needs Koch save for the 25' wide landscape strips along Cassia and Flamingo streets whose frontages along the Project shall be landscaped in accordance with Exhibit "C".

4. The provisions and stipulations of this Agreement shall be binding on City, Owner/Developer, each subsequent owner of the Property or portion thereof, and each other person acquiring an interest in the Property and are, in no particular order, as set forth in the conditions of approval attached hereto as Exhibit "ID", and by this reference incorporated herein.

5. This Agreement may be modified only by the written agreement of Owner/Developer and the City after complying with the notice and hearing procedures required under Idaho Code Section 67-6511A or Nampa City Code Section 10-2-5(D) or successor provisions.

6. The execution of this Agreement and the written commitments contained herein shall be deemed written consent to change the zoning of the Property to its prior designation upon failure of Owner/Developer to comply with the terms and conditions of this Agreement. Provided, however, that no such consent shall be deemed to have been given unless City provides written notice of any such failure and Owner/Developer or its successors and/or assigns fails to cure such failure as set forth below.

7. This Agreement and the commitments contained herein shall be terminated, and the zoning designation reversed, upon the failure of Owner/Developer, or each subsequent owner or each person acquiring an interest in the Property, to comply with the commitments contained herein within two (2) years after the Effective Date, and after the notice and hearing requirements of Idaho Code Section 67-6509 have been complied with by City. Provided, however, no such termination or reversal shall occur unless City provides written notice of Owner/Developer's failure to comply with the terms and conditions of this Agreement to Owner/Developer and Owner/Developer fails to cure such failure within six (6) months of Owner/Developer's receipt of such notice. The two (2) year period of time for compliance with commitments may be extended by City for good cause upon application for such extension by Owner/Developer, and after complying with the notice and hearing provisions of Idaho Code Section 67-6509.

8. Except as specifically set forth in this Agreement, the rules, regulations and official policies governing permitted uses of land, density, design, improvements and construction standards and specifications applicable to the Property and the Property shall be those rules, regulations and official policies in effect as of the date of annexation. Provided, however, that the applicable building codes for structures shall be the codes in effect when a complete
application for a building permit is filed. Development impact fees, if imposed by ordinance, shall be payable as specified in said ordinance even if the effective date is after the date of this Agreement or the annexation pursuant thereto.

9. It is intended by the parties that this Agreement shall be recorded on the Effective Date or as soon as practicable thereafter. The parties further intend that the provisions of this Agreement shall run with the Property and shall be binding upon City, Owner/Developer, each subsequent owner of the Property, and each other person or entity acquiring an interest in the Property.

10. If any term or provision of this Agreement, to any extent, shall be held invalid or unenforceable, the remaining terms and provisions herein shall not be effected thereby, but each such remaining term and provision shall be valid and enforced to the fullest extent permitted by law.

11. This Agreement sets forth all promises, inducements, agreements, conditions and understandings between Owner/Developer and City relative to the subject matter hereof. There are no promises, agreements, conditions or understandings, oral or written, express or implied, between Owner/Developer and City, other than as are stated herein. Except as herein otherwise provided, no subsequent alteration, amendment, change or addition to this Agreement shall be binding upon the parties hereto unless reduced to writing and signed by the parties or their successors-in-interests or their assigns, and pursuant, with respect to the City, to a duly adopted ordinance or resolution of the City.

12. Should any litigation be commenced between the parties hereto concerning this Agreement, the prevailing party shall be entitled, in addition to any other relief as may be granted, to court costs and reasonable attorneys’ fees as determined by a court of competent jurisdiction.

13. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall constitute an original, all of which together shall constitute one and the same Agreement.

14. In the event Owner/Developer, its successors, assigns or subsequent owners of the Property or any other person acquiring an interest in the Property, or in the event City, fail to faithfully and materially comply with all of the terms and conditions included in this Agreement, enforcement of this Agreement may be sought by either City or Owner/Developer or by any successor or successors in title or interest or by the assigns of the parties hereto, in an action at law or in equity in any court of competent jurisdiction.

   a. A waiver by City of any default by Owner/Developer of any one or more of the covenants or conditions hereof shall apply solely to the breach waived and shall not bar any other rights or remedies of City or apply to any subsequent breach of any such or other covenants and conditions. A waiver by Owner/Developer of any default by City of any one or more of the covenants and conditions hereof shall apply solely to the breach waived and shall not bar any other rights of remedies of Owner/Developer or apply to any subsequent breach of any such or other covenants and conditions.
b. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary herein, in the event of a material default of this Agreement, the parties agree that City and Owner/Developer shall have thirty (30) days after delivery of notice of such default to correct the same prior to the non-defaulting party's seeking of any remedy provided for herein; provided, however, that in the case of any such default which cannot with diligence be cured within such thirty (30) day period and thereafter shall prosecute the curing of same with diligence and continuity, then the time within which such may be cured shall be extended for such period as may be necessary to complete the curing of the same with diligence and continuity, but in any event not to exceed six (6) months; and provided further, however, no default by a subsequent owner of a portion of the Property shall constitute a default by Owner/Developer for the portion of the Property still owned by Owner/Developer.

c. In the event the performance of any obligation to be performed hereunder by either Owner/Developer or City is delayed for causes that are beyond the reasonable control of the party responsible for such performance, which shall include, without limitation, acts of civil disobedience, strikes or similar causes, the time for such performance shall be extended by the amount of time of such delay.

d. In addition to the remedies set forth above, in the event of a default by Owner/Developer, or any other party claiming an interest herein, City may withhold Building Permits for any remaining lots within the development until such time as the default is cured.

15. Owner/Developer has the right, at its sole discretion without City approval, to assign this Agreement and all the rights herein to any entity that Owner/Developer chooses.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have hereunto set their hands on this day and year first above written.

CITY OF NAMPA

[Signature]

Tom Dale, Mayor

Attest: Diana Lambing, City Clerk

OWNER/DEVELOPER

[Signature]

Jeff Needs, Managing Member

Needs Koch LLC

STATE OF IDAHO )
               ) ss.
County of Canyon )

On this ___ day of August ____, in the year of 2007, before me _________ personally appeared Tom Dale, known or identified to me, to be the Mayor of the City of Nampa, whose name is subscribed to the within and foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that he executed the same, and was so authorized to do so for and on behalf of said City of Nampa.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal the day and year first above written.

[Signature]
Notary Public for State of Idaho
Residing at Canyon, Canyon County
Commission Expires: 01/12/2009

STATE OF IDAHO )
 ) ss.
County of Canyon )

On this 16th day of August, in the year of 2007 before me, Magdalena De Leon personally appeared Jeffrey Needs, known or identified to me, to be Managing Member, of Needs Koch LLC, the person whose name is subscribed to the within and foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that he executed the same for and on behalf of said Needs Koch LLC.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal the day and year first above written.

[Signature]
Notary Public for State of Idaho
Residing at Canyon County, ID
Commission Expires: January 17, 2013

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT – Page 6
State of Idaho  

Canyon County  

On this 14th day of August, 2007, before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for said State, personally appeared Diana Lambing known to be the City Clerk, of the City of Nampa, Idaho, a municipal corporation, who executed the foregoing instrument.

In Witness Thereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed by official seal, the day and year in this certificate first above written.

[Signature]

Julie Lockey  
Residing at: Nampa, Canyon County, Idaho  
My Commission Expires: 05/11/2011
EXHIBIT "A"

LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY

Project: 10-06-043
Date: July 6, 2006

That portion of the South 1/2 of the Southeast 1/4 of the Northwest 1/4 of Section 17, Township 3 North, Range 2 West, Boise Meridian, Canyon County, Idaho, more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the Southeast corner of said Southeast 1/4 of the Northwest 1/4 of Section 17; thence along the Southerly boundary line of said Southeast 1/4 of the Northwest 1/4, North 89°28'33" West, 79.97 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING;

thence continuing along said Southerly boundary line, North 89°28'33" West, 927.82 feet;
thence North 00°31'44" East, 275.00 feet;
thence North 89°28'33" West, 317.00 feet to the Easterly boundary line of New Karcher Estates No. 2;
thence along said Easterly boundary line North 00°31'44" East, 387.73 feet to the Northwest 1/16 corner;
thence along the Northerly boundary line of the South 1/2 of the Southeast 1/4 of the Northwest 1/4 of Section 17, South 89°29'36" East, 780.00 feet;
thence South 00°31'44" West, 318.07 feet;
thence South 89°29'36" East, 506.71 feet to the Westerly right-of-way line of Cassia Street;
thence along said right-of-way the following four courses:

1. South 09°05'11" West, 146.69 feet;
2. South 09°33'23" West, 140.01 feet;
3. South 49°32'23" West, 28.28 feet;
4. South 00°31'23" West, 40.00 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

Containing 13.721 acres, more or less.

END OF DESCRIPTION

Prepared by:
J-U-B ENGINEERS, Inc.
Ronald M. Hodge, P.L.S.
EXHIBIT "B"

ZONING

Bella Commons is a Planned Unit Development located on 13.72 acres legally described in Exhibit A above. As a Planned Unit Development ("PUD"), Bella Commons will contain mixed uses including single family residences, multi family dwelling units and a commercial building.

The single family component of Bella Commons is zoned RD, the multi family component of Bella Commons is zoned RMH and the commercial building component is zoned BN.

Because Bella Commons is being developed under the auspices of an approved Development Agreement and PUD whose mutual effect is to facilitate development of the project as depicted on Exhibit “C”, various zoning bulk requirements pertaining to building setbacks and lot density (size) are understood to be different from those normally required per the Nampa Zoning Ordinance. Differences or variations approved are iterated in Exhibit “D” hereafter attached.
EXHIBIT “D”

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

1. Prior to the third reading of the annexation and zoning ordinance the Owner/Developer shall dedicate right-of-way, as defined by the City Engineer, adjacent the sides of the Property required for the ultimate build out of all adjacent public roadways.

2. The Project as proposed by Owner/Developer on the Property shall conform to the following minimum design standards:
   a. The minimum allowable residential buildable lot size shall be 3,500 square feet.
   b. Because the Project is intended to be specifically a senior housing community, required parking spaces for the single-family residential portion thereof shall be required at a rate of 1 legal parking space for every 2 dwelling units (i.e., houses). Those houses not designed and/or built specifically for seniors are required to have 2 spaces per unit. Correspondingly, any multiple family residential structures shall be regulated in the same manner when it comes to parking requirements.
   c. Dwelling unit sizes shall be as per condition 3.a. below

3. The Owner/Developer shall establish and enforce Covenants, Conditions, and Restrictions to be recorded against the Property proposed for residential subdivision development which contain the following minimum design standards for single family dwellings:
   a. The minimum floor area or minimum dwelling size shall at least be one thousand two hundred and fifty (1,250) square feet in size/area, (exclusive of the garage area) for any single-family detached structure and for any single-family zero lot line (“townhouse”) structure -- but such minimum size standard shall not apply to any individual, apartment family rental or condo dwelling unit inside of a residential multiple family structure...
   b. All dwelling structures shall be provided with eaves which project not less than twelve (12) inches beyond the side of the exterior wall. If dwellings are constructed with a common wall than the eaves along the common wall do not apply.
   c. At least seventy-five (75) percent of the second story of two-story dwelling structures shall be set back a minimum of three (3) feet (from the wall plane) or set forward a minimum of two (2) feet (from the wall plane) when positioned over the garage; or two-story dwellings shall include architectural features such as but not limited to roof lines, belly bands, pop-outs, cantilevers, material variations, color variations, etc., and eave “eyebrows” constructed with a minimum overhang of thirty six (36) inches across the full width of the garage to break the plane of the lower and upper levels.
   d. The roof pitches for dwelling structures shall be a minimum of 5/12 pitch.
   e. Roof coverings for dwelling structures shall be of materials generally accepted as the industry standard. If the roof covering is asphalt shingles, shingles shall be "architectural" in style with a minimum warranty of twenty-five (25) years.
   f. Elevations of dwelling structures shall incorporate varied wall planes or roof forms, and main entries shall be defined by incorporating architectural elements such as roof gables, dormers, stairways, vestibules, wainscoting, lighting, etc.
   g. Elevations of dwelling structures, including the garage, shall include stucco, stone, brick, or similar material, covering at least twenty (20) percent of each façade oriented to a street.
h. Dwelling structures shall be encouraged which feature a rear entry garage but in any case the Project's CC&Rs shall govern with respect to this condition.

i. Dwelling structures shall include design features such as recessed windows and entrance doors, pop-outs, or other architectural details around windows, entrance doors, sliding glass doors, and garage doors. Window treatments may also include additional trim, Mullions, or shutters.

j. No building elevation of any dwelling structure shall have less than five (5) percent of the gross wall area in glazing, excluding garage or unconditioned areas.

k. Each dwelling structure shall be encouraged to contain a front porch, balcony or courtyard.

4. All other non-single family structures (e.g., commercial office or retail, multiple family or common area buildings like clubhouses, etc.) shall be and are required to have/feature residential style pitched roofs and have/feature exterior design elements on their facades that are harmonious with materials and colors used on the exteriors of the residential structures in the Project.
Date: December 20, 2018

Rev: 

To: Planning and Zoning

Cc: Daniel Badger, P. E., City Engineer

Cc: Tom Points, P. E., Nampa City Public Works Director

Cc:

From: Peter Nielsen – Engineering Division

Subject: Variance – Setback from 5’ to 3’ Bella Commons Sub PUD Ph2

Applicant: Chad Olsen

Applicant Address: 12790 W Telemark St, Boise, Idaho 83713

Owner: Chad Olsen

Owner Address: 12790 W Telemark St, Boise, Idaho 83713

Parcel Address: 2121 W Claira Rd, 1522 S Pilar Way, & other parcels addresses TBD

VAR-00062-2018 for the January 7, 2019 City Council Meeting

The Engineering Division does not oppose granting of this variance.
This will meet the State and IRC setbacks, so Building Department has no conditions at this time.

In my previous e-mail regarding the Variance request for reduced setbacks for Bella Commons Sub PUD, Ph 2, I neglected to include the application information.

That information is now attached.
1/2/19

Sylvia Mackrill
City of Nampa Planning & Zoning
via email: mackrill@cityofnampa.us

SUBJECT: VAR-00062-2018- Variance Application for Bella Commons Subdivision
Phase 2, Nampa, ID

Re: Review of proposed setback variance within Bella Commons Subdivision Phase 2,
lying within the Northwest Quarter of Section 71, Township 3 North, Range 2 West, Boise
Meridian, Canyon County, Idaho.

To Whom It May Concern:

QWEST CORPORATION d/b/a CENTURYLINK QC has reviewed the proposed variance to
reduce the setback from 5' to 3' along the side lot lines within Bella Commons Subdivision Phase
2 and has determined that it can approve the variance. There are existing CenturyLink facilities
within the platted utility easements along the front side of the lots within the subdivision.

It is the intent and understanding of CenturyLink that this approval shall not reduce our rights to
any other existing easement or rights we have on this site or in the area.

This variance review response is approved.

If CenturyLink facilities need to be relocated, the Owner will be required to grant any necessary
easement rights to CenturyLink for the relocate, which will be at the expense of the Applicant. All
relocation work will be performed by CenturyLink and/or its contractor.

If you have questions or concerns, please call Kerry Brent at 208-550-0264.

Sincerely,

Greg Hunt
Right of Way Agent
Qwest Corporation d/b/a CENTURYLINK QC

Leasenet #:P811311
PLANNING & ZONING DEPARTMENT
Before the Nampa City Council
Meeting of January 7, 2019

PUBLIC HEARING ITEM
STAFF REPORT

Applicant(s)/Engineer(s): Quatro Properties Nampa, Inc., Matt Schultz of Schultz Development LLC representing
File(s): DAMO 026-18
Analyst: Robert Hobbs (edited for City Council public hearing by Norman Holm)
Date: December 20, 2018

Planning and Zoning Commission Recommendation: Approval

REQUESTED ACTION, LOCATION, AND HISTORY

1. Modification of an Annexation and Zoning [related] Development Agreement
   (Ord. # 3335 recorded in 2004 as Canyon County Instrument # 200423257)
   To allow re-purposing/conversion of a single commercial building lot (originally approved in
   association with the Lava Springs PUD [planned unit development]) into a single-family
   residential development in care and keeping with the balance of the Lava Springs PUD
   Subdivision development; and,

   (Decision Required: Decision)

Pertaining to:
Land addressed as 3905 Southside Boulevard (a 6.85-acre lot located in the NE ¼ of the NE ¼
of Section 11, T2N, R2W, Boise Meridian, Canyon County, Nampa Idaho at the southwest
corner of the intersection of E. Locust Lane and Southside Boulevard (hereinafter the
“Property”) – see attached zoning “Vicinity Map”

History:
As cited by the Project representative: “The original Annexation, [zoning], and PUD [planned
unit development] applications for the overall 102-acre site [Lava Springs PUD] were approved
on March 1, 2004 with 266 residential and 1 commercial building lots [sic] (267 lots total) …
Lava Springs No. 1 was recorded on June 29, 2007 (46 lots); Lava Springs 2 was recorded on
December 11, 2014 (41 lots); Lava Springs 3 was recorded on December 14, 2015 (54 lots);
Lava Springs No. 4 was recorded on November 27, 2017 (42 lots); Lava Springs No. 5 was recorded on July 25, 2018 (49 lots). Lava Springs No. 6 is in process with recordation anticipated in June 2019 (18 lots). The total number of residential lots in Lava Springs No. 1 through No., 6 is 250 lots or 17 lots less than approved in 2004.

The original Lava Springs No. 3 had 31 additional residential lots, however, 5.40 acres of the plat was converted to the Legacy Charter School site in 2011."

**Note(s):**
Staff has provided the City Council with all the relevant report/packet documentation or visual information available to us at the time this report was generated. We anticipate that the Applicant's representative(s) may have visual displays of their concept plan for the build-out of the Property at the City Council's public hearing wherein the application associated with this report will be vetted.

Notification of the City Council hearing was done in accordance with legal requirements. An environmental impact study (EIS) was not called for in conjunction with the Application package submittal as such is not normal required saved when called for by City Engineering under special circumstances. Any extant street frontage improvements along Locust Lane or Southside Blvd., should the Application be approved, will be required to be emplaced at the time of Project build-out per adopted City policy and practice. No taking of other parties' property(ies) will be effectuated should the Project develop. In Nampa's case, street improvements and school construction accompany and follow, respectively, land development.

**DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT MODIFICATION**

Criteria to guide the Commission in making a recommendation to Council regarding a proposed Development Agreement (DA) Modification, and to subsequently guide the Council in deciding/decision whether to allow a Development Agreement Modification, are absent from state statute or City ordinance. Thus, approving -- or not -- Development Agreements and proposed modifications/amendments thereto becomes a purely subjective matter/decision on the part of the City in reaction to this DA contract modification application. Development Agreement [contracts] "run with the land".

Hereafter attached is a copy of Ordinance 3335 (Instrument No. 200423257) which has, as a part thereof, the Development Agreement imbedded therein and referenced by this report.

The process of amending a Development Agreement is a two-step endeavor. Finalization of an amended Agreement would occur prior to the 3rd reading of the ordinance that will/would enact the Development Agreement Modification if the request made the subject of this report is approved.

**Commentary:**
An approved Development Agreement associated with an approved, conceptual commercial plan is already assigned to the Original Property as afore-noted [again: Ord. # 3335]. That entitlement [still] "runs with the land".

Site re-configuring, as proposed by the current Applicant, is understood to contemplate the substitution of single-family detached structures for the afore-noted commercial corner development. A copy of the Agreement is hereto attached to provide an understanding of the
Applicant's intent with respect to the modification(s). Also attached hereafter is a copy of the elevation and other plan view exhibits provided by the Applicant. Thus, the RECITALS, CONDITIONS AND EXHIBITS of the original Agreement will be subjected to change if the application, made the subject of this report, is approved or approved in substantially the form proposed.

RECOMMENDED CONDITION(S) OF APPROVAL

As Pertaining to the Development Agreement Modification Request:
Should the Commission vote to recommend to the City Council that they approve the Development Agreement Modification request associated with this report, then Staff would recommend that the Commission consider recommending imposition of the following Condition(s) of Approval on the Project/applicant(s):

1. The Applicant, as Owner/Developer, [shall] enter into a Modified Development Agreement with the City of Nampa. The Agreement(s) shall contain such conditions, terms, restrictions, representations, exhibits, acknowledgments and timelines as necessary to facilitate development of the Property as contemplated by the Applicant and agreed to and conditioned by the City through its Council or executive departments or outside agencies properly involved in the review of the Applicant’s request for the Property’s entitlement(s), including insertion of [new] concept plans, Recital language and terms, commitments and conditions therein as requisite...

ATTACHMENTS

- Copy of public hearing notice pertaining to application (page 4)
- Copy of zoning “Vicinity Map” (page 5)
- Copy of Development Agreement Modification Application (page 6)
- Copy of Ordinance 3335, Instrument # 200423257 (pages 7-21)
- Copy of aerial photo with Property County Assessor parcel/acct. no. demarcated thereon (page 22)
- Copy of reduced copy of plat (page 23)
- Color copies of reduced sized images of Project’s new concept plan incorporating the proposed PUD Modification and re-platting of the commercial lot plus house images (pages 24-31)
- Copy of agency/department/citizen correspondence (pages 32-42)
- Planning and Zoning Commission Hearing Minutes (pages 43-45)
- Copy of draft Amendment to Development Agreement (pages 45-54)
NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING BEFORE THE CITY OF NAMPA, IDAHO

Notice is hereby given that on January 7, 2018 at 7:00 p.m. (or as soon after 7:00 p.m. as the matter may be heard), in the City Hall Council Chambers, 411 3rd Street South, Nampa, Canyon County, Idaho, public hearing on the following will be held before the Nampa City Council:

Modification of an Annexation and Zoning related Development Agreement (Ord. 3335 – Instr. # 200423257) between Lava Springs LLC and the City of Nampa by amending the Recitals Section and certain Commitments and Conditions thereof; also, annulling and rescinding Exhibits B & C [then substituting a new master plan in the stead of Exhibit B]; pertaining to Parcel # R29454 (3905 Southside Blvd.) a 6.85-acre property in a RS6 PUD zoning district at the SW corner of the intersection of E. Locust Lane & Southside Blvd. in the NE ¼ NE ¼ of Section 11, T2N, R2W, BM -- for Matt Schultz representing Quatro Properties Nampa, Inc. (DAMO 02618).

Details of the above hearing item are available for review in the Planning & Zoning Department of City Hall during normal business hours. Copies of staff report on the application will be available upon request for public review or available online for download through the staff reports link at http://www.cityofnampa.us/agendacenter on the Thursday prior to the hearing date. Individuals, who require language interpretation or special assistance to accommodate physical, vision or hearing impairments, please contact the Planning Department in City Hall or call (208) 468-5484.

Date: December 18, 2018

Norman L. Holm, Planning Director

PUBLISH: December 21, 2018
Exhibit

3905 Southside Blvd

Modification of an Annexation and Zoning related Development Agreement

DAMO-00026-2018

Visit Planning & Zoning at cityofnampa.us for more info.

12/19/2018

For administrative purposes only
APPLICATION FOR A DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT - MODIFICATION
PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT
411 3RD STREET S., NAMPA, IDAHO 83686  P: (208) 468-4487 F: (208) 465-2261
Nonrefundable Fee: $452.00 (1 acre or less) Nonrefundable Fee: $910.00 (more than 1 acre)

Applicant/Representative Name: Matt Schultz

Street Address: P.O. Box 1115
City: Meridian
Property Owner Name: Quadrant Properties Nampa Inc

Property Address: 13402 -13A Avenue
City: Survey BC

Home Number: Home Number
Mobile Number: (208) 880-1695
Email: SchultzDevelopment@nampaplanning.com

Applicant's interest in property: ( ) Own ( ) Rent ( ) Other
Manager / Agent

ADDRESS OF SUBJECT PROPERTY:

Please provide the following REQUIRED DOCUMENTATION to complete the Development Agreement Modification:

☑ Completed Application
☐ A copy of one of the following:
  □ Warranty Deed □ Proof of Option □ Earnest Money Agreement

☑ Original Legal description of property AND a legible WORD formatted document. (Must have for final recording) Old or illegible title documents will need to be retyped in a WORD formatted document.

Project Description

State (or attach a letter stating) the details of the requested development agreement modification for the subject property:

Dated this 31st day of October, 2013

Applicant Signature

This application will be referred to the Nampa Planning Commission for a recommendation on the development agreement. The Planning Commission shall hold a public hearing and will then make its recommendation to the City Council. The City Council will then hold a second public hearing. Notice of the public hearings must be published in the Idaho Press-Tribune 15 days prior to said hearings. Notice shall also be posted on the premises of the subject property not less than 1 week prior to the hearings. Notices will also be mailed to property owners or purchasers of record within 300 feet of the subject property. You will be given notice of the public hearings and should be present to answer any questions.

OFFICE USE ONLY

FILE NUMBER: DAMO-086-2013

PROJECT NAME: SW corner Locust Lane & Southside Blvd

07/11/16 Revised
ORDINANCE NO. 3335

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF NAMPA, IDAHO, ANNEXING APPROXIMATELY 102 ACRES OF REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF EAST LOCUST LANE & SOUTHSIDE BOULEVARD IN THE CITY OF NAMPA, CANYON COUNTY, IDAHO FOR LAVA SPRINGS PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT AND ZONING THE SAME RS-6 -- PUD, SUBJECT TO THE TERMS OF THAT CERTAIN DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT ENTERED INTO BETWEEN THE APPLICANT AND THE CITY OF NAMPA, AND DIRECTING THE CITY ENGINEER TO ALTER THE USE AND AREA MAP ACCORDINGLY.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NAMPA, IDAHO:

Section 1: That the following described real property, and all thereof, be, and the same is hereby, annexed and made a part of the City of Nampa, Idaho. That the real property hereby annexed is described as follows, to wit:

See Exhibit "A" attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference.

Section 2: That the real property so annexed, as described in Exhibit "A" above shall be zoned RS-6 -- PUD.

Section 3: That this annexation and zone ordinance is subject to and limited by that certain Development Agreement entered into between the parties, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "B" and incorporated herein by this reference.

Section 4: That the City Engineer is hereby directed to alter the Use and Area Map in accordance with this Ordinance.


APPROVED BY THE MAYOR OF THE CITY OF NAMPA, IDAHO, THIS 19th DAY OF April, 2004.
State of Idaho

Canyon County

On this 19th day of April, in the year 2004, before me, Criselda C. Luna, a Notary Public, personally appeared Tom Dale and Diana Lamborg, known or identified to me to be the Mayor and City Clerk, respectively, of The City of Nampa, who executed the instrument or the person that executed the instrument on behalf of said corporation, and acknowledge to me that such corporation executed the same.

Criselda C. Luna
Residing at: Nampa, Canyon County, Idaho
My Commission Expires: 10/02/07
EXHIBIT "A"
LEGAL DESCRIPTION
LAVA SPRINGS
SUBDIVISION
ANNEXATION

A parcel of land located in the Northeast ¼ of Section 11, Township 2 North, Range 2 West, B.M., and the Southeast ¼ of section 2, Township 2 West, Range 2 West, B.M., being further described as follows:

Beginning at a point 25 feet north of the north line of said Section 11 from which the Northeast corner of said Section 11 bears South 89°05'16" East a distance of 1524.32 feet;
thence along a line 25 feet North of and parallel to said North line, North 89°58'21" East a distance of 1549.30 feet;
thence along a line 25 feet East of and parallel to the East line of said section 11, South 00°19'30" West a distance of 2673.33 feet;
thence leaving said parallel line and following the south line of the Northeast ¼ of said Section 11, South 89°59'28" West to a point on the centerline of the New York Canal, a distance of 296.17 feet;
thence along said Centerline the following Three (3) courses:
thence South 40°48'29" West a distance of 386.01 feet,
along a tangent circular curve to the right with a radius of 300.00 feet and a central angle of 121°50'07" an arc length of 637.93 feet (Chord Bearing North 78°16'27" West, Chord distance 524.35 feet.)
thence along a tangent circular curve to the left with a radius of 400.00 feet and a central angle of 32°17'02" an arc length of 225.39 feet (Chord Bearing North 33°29'56" West, Chord distance 222.42 feet.)
to a point on said South line;
thence along said South line with a non-tangent line South 89°59'28" West a distance of 635.80 feet;
thence North 04°23'00" East a distance of 2404.84 feet;
thence North 89°58'00" East a distance of 101.20 feet;
thence North 00°16'00" East a distance of 274.96 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

Said parcel contains an area of approximately 110.50 acres.

Basis of Bearing:
The North line of the Northeast ¼ of Section 11 Township 3 North, Range 2 West, B.M., taken as South 89°58'20" West.

END OF DESCRIPTION

Prepared by:
Kenneth H. Cook, P.L.S. 9895
Leavitt & Associate Engineers
1324 1st Street South
DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT

THIS DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT (this "Agreement"), is made and entered into this 14th day of April, 2004 (the "Effective Date"), by and between the City of Nampa, a municipal corporation, hereinafter referred to as the "City," and Lava Springs, L.L.C., an Idaho limited liability, hereinafter referred to as the "Developer."

RECITALS

The Nampa City Code allows a property owner or developer to request that an application for annexation, zoning or zoning map amendment, and preliminary plat be processed in connection with the execution of a development agreement, wherein through such development agreement, a property owner or developer may agree to make written commitments concerning the use or development of a subject parcel in exchange for the change of zoning requested.

The Developer has applied to the City in connection with the execution of this Agreement for the concept plan approval of property owned by Allen Bros., Inc., which is approximately 108.09 acre portion of the NE 1/4 of Section 11, T2N, R2W, Boise Meridian, Canyon County, Idaho and more particularly described on the Exhibit A attached hereto and by this reference incorporated herein (the "Property"). The concept plan approval is for the Lava Springs Planned Unit Development at the southwest corner of East Locust Lane and Southside Blvd. (An approximately 5.7 acre Neighborhood Commercial area which will include the allowed uses and conditions indicated on Exhibit B, an approximate 91.49 acre single family residential subdivision area, an approximate 10.9 acre cluster home area, all inclusive of approximately 32.43 acres of open space).

The City had approved the requested concept plan approval for the Property, subject to certain terms or commitments and desires to formalize and clarify the respective obligations of the parties referenced in the City's file numbers 07 and 13-03102.

AGREEMENT

NOW THEREFORE, for good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged and agreed, and in consideration of the recitals above which are incorporated herein, and in consideration of the undertakings and agreements hereinafter contained, the parties agree as follows:

1. This Agreement shall not prevent the City in subsequent actions applicable to the Property from applying new ordinances and regulations of general application adopted by the City in exercise of its police powers that do not conflict with the Developer's property rights, the parties' commitments applicable to the Property as set forth herein, or in the concept plan approval, project 07-03102, previously approved by the City for the Property.

2. Any commitment contained herein, which requires that there be ongoing performance by the Developer after completion of the development of project 07-03102, which the Developer fails to comply with, may be dealt with by the City according to the violations and penalties provisions of the Nampa City code.

3. This Agreement is intended to be supplemental to all other local, city, state and federal code requirements, rules and regulations, and is established to help ensure compatibility of the resulting land use with the surrounding area and shall not abrogate the need to obtain all requisite permits to execute the development proposed in conjunction with this Agreement or relieve the Developer of the responsibility for determining which permits or other requirements for the proposed development are to be emplaced and maintained.
4. The provisions and stipulations of this Agreement shall be binding on the City, the Developer, each subsequent owner, and each other person acquiring an interest in the Property and are, in no particular order, as follows:

a. Developer shall comply with all applicable requirements of those authorities/agencies appropriately involved in the review of the request (e.g. including but not limited to deeding and dedication of land to the City to facilitate right-of-way expansion of Locust Lane and Southside Boulevard, as well as street naming, storm water and utility systems design, proper easement and pathway provision, fire department access, etc.);

b. Developer agrees that the actual development of Lava Springs Planned Unit Development shall be in accordance with the proposed layout, landscaping/amenities and lots sizes, as well as the temperance of the proposed commercial area, as depicted, or very nearly so, to those presented to the Nampa City Council by way of concept exhibits during their hearing on March 1, 2004. A reduced size copy of the exhibits are attached hereto as Exhibit C;

c. Developer agrees, if required by the Nampa City engineering group, that the incorporation into right-of-way improvements adjoining the Lava Springs Planned Unit Development of acceleration and deceleration traffic lanes;

d. Prior to any final plat approval for Lava Springs Planned Unit Development, Developer and Developer’s engineer will confirm with the City’s consulting engineer the manner of sewer delivery to the Property and shall pay any prorata cost related to an update of the City’s sewer model related to the Lava Springs Planned Unit Development;

e. Prior to any final plat approval for Lava Springs Planned Unit Development, Developer, with the assistance of the City’s consulting engineer, shall use all good faith efforts to plan and provide a location for a regional pressure irrigation pump station, and shall pay any prorata cost for such regional pressure irrigation pump station related to the Lava Springs Planned Unit Development;

f. Prior to any final plat approval for Lava Springs Planned Unit Development, Developer and Developer’s engineer will confirm with the City’s consulting engineer the length of sewer line to be extended from the existing terminus of the City’s sewer system and shall pay any prorata cost related to such sewer extension related to the Lava Springs Planned Unit Development. City and Developer shall use all good faith efforts to enter into a reimbursement agreement in connection with such sewer extension and any oversizing of such extension requested by City;

g. Prior to any final plat approval for Lava Springs Planned Unit Development, Developer, with the assistance of the City’s consulting engineer, shall use all good faith efforts to assist in an update of the City’s water system model, and shall pay any prorata cost related to an update of the City’s water system model related to the Lava Springs Planned Unit Development, and

h. Prior to any final plat approval for Lava Springs Unit Development, Developer will submit to the City a traffic impact study with emphasis on the intersections of Locust Lane and Southside Boulevard, and Greenhurst and Southside;

(Collectively hereinafter the "Conditions of Approval").

5. The Developer and subsequent persons or parties having ownership of the Property shall also abide by those other conditions of approval, if any, approved and adopted by the Nampa City Council and the Nampa Planning and Zoning Commission for the Property. Conditions of Approval involving improvements or dedications shall be emplaced or executed prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, as that term is defined in the Nampa City Code Section 10-1-4, unless approved otherwise by the City of Nampa public works director.
6. This Agreement may be modified only by written agreement of the Developer and the Nampa City Council after complying with any notice and hearing procedures that may be required under Idaho Code Section 67-6511A or Nampa City Code Section 10-2-6.

7. The execution of this Agreement and the written commitments contained herein shall be deemed written consent to change the zoning of the subject Property to its prior designation upon failure of the Developer to comply with the terms and conditions of this Agreement. Provided, however, that no such consent shall be deemed to have been given unless the City provides written notice of any such failure and the Developer fails to cure such failure within six (6) months of receipt of such notice.

8. This Agreement and the commitments contained herein shall be terminated, and the zoning designation upon which the use is based reversed, upon the failure of the Developer or each subsequent owner or each person acquiring an interest in the Property to comply with the commitments contained herein within two (2) years after the Effective Date, and after the notice and hearing requirements of Idaho Code Section 67-6509 have been complied with by the City. Provided, however, no such termination or reversal shall occur unless the City provides written notice of Developer's failure to comply with the terms and conditions of this Agreement to the Developer and the Developer fails to cure such failure within six (6) months of Developer's receipt of such notice. The two (2) year period of time for compliance of commitments may be extended by the City for just cause and application for such by the Developer, and after complying with the notice and hearing provisions of Idaho Code Section 67-6509.

9. Any provisions or conditions of this Agreement found to be more restrictive than the Conditions of Approval or the Developer's covenants for the same shall take precedence over the other regulations. Conversely, any restrictions of this Agreement found to be less restrictive than the Conditions of Approval or covenants shall still govern.

10. It is intended by the parties that this Agreement shall be recorded on the Effective Date or as soon as practicable thereafter, and run with the Property.

Any invalidation of any one or more of these covenants by judgment or court order shall not invalidate any of the remaining provision of this Agreement and the same shall remain in full force and effect.

This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall constitute one and the same Agreement.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have hereunto set their hands on this day and year first above written.

CITY OF NAMPA:

Tom Dale, Mayor
City of Nampa, Idaho

Attest: Diana Lambing, City Clerk

DEVELOPER:

LAVA SPRINGS, LLC,
an Idaho limited liability company

By David Allen, Member

PROPERTY OWNER:

ALLEN BROS., INC.,
An Idaho corporation

By David Allen, President

STATE OF IDAHO
County of Canyon

On this 19th day of Nov, in the year of 2004, before me Deborah L. Bishop, personally appeared Tom Dale, known or identified to me, to be the Mayor of the City of Nampa, whose name is subscribed to the within and foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that he executed the same, and was so authorized to do so for and on behalf of said City of Nampa.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal the day and year first above written.

Deborah L. Bishop
Notary Public for Idaho
Residing at Nampa, Canyon County, Idaho
STATE OF IDAHO

)) ss
County of Canyon

On this 14th day of April, in the year of 2004, before me personally appeared David Allen, known or identified to me, to be a Member of Lava Springs, L.L.C., the person whose name is subscribed to the within and foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that he executed the same for and on behalf of Lava Springs, L.L.C.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal the day and year first above written.

Debbie Caldwell
Notary Public for Idaho
Residing at Greenleaf, Idaho
My Commission expires: 11-29-06

STATE OF IDAHO

)) ss
County of Canyon

On this 14th day of April, in the year of 2004, before me personally appeared David Allen, known or identified to me, to be the President of Allen Bros., Inc., the person whose name is subscribed to the within and foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that he executed the same for and on behalf of Allen Bros., Inc.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal the day and year first above written.

Debbie Caldwell
Notary Public for Idaho
Residing at Greenleaf, Idaho
My Commission expires: 11-29-06
EXHIBIT A

LEGAL DESCRIPTION of the LAVA SPRINGS PARCEL
LEGAL DESCRIPTION
LAVA SPRINGS
SUBDIVISION
TOTAL BOUNDARY

A parcel of land located in the Northeast ¼ of Section 11, Township 2 North, Range 2 West, B.M., being further described as follows:

Beginning at the Northeast corner of said Section 11 from which the North ¼ corner of said Section 11 bears South 89°58'20" West, a distance of 2651.55 feet;
Thence along the East line of the Northeast ¼ of said Section 11, South 00°19'30" West, to the East ¼ corner of said section 11, a distance of 2648.32 feet;
Thence leaving the East line of the Northeast ¼ of Section 11 and following along the South line of the Northeast ¼ of said Section 11, South 89°59'28" West, to a point on the centerline of the New York Canal, a distance of 271.17 feet;
thence leaving said South line and following the centerline of said canal the following four (4) courses
South 40°48'29" West, a distance of 386.01 feet;
along a tangent circular curve to the right with a radius of 300.00 feet and a central angle of 121°50'07" an arc length of 637.93 feet;
along a tangent circular curve to the left with a radius of 400.00 feet and a central angle of 32°17'03" to a point on aforementioned south line, an arc length of 225.39 feet;
thence leaving said centerline and continuing along said South line with a non-tangent line South 89°59'28" West, a distance of 635.80 feet;
thence leaving said South line, North 04°23'00" East, a distance of 2404.84 feet;
thence North 89°58'00" East a distance of 101.20 feet;
thence North 00°16'00" East, to a point on the North line of the Northeast ¼ of said Section 11, a distance of 249.96 feet;
thence continuing along said North line North 89°58'21" East, a distance of 1524.25 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

Said parcel contains an area of approximately 108.09 acres.

Basis of Bearing:

The North line of the Northeast ¼ of Section 11 Township 3 North, Range 2 West, B.M., taken as South 89°58'20" West.

END OF DESCRIPTION

Prepared by:
Kenneth H. Cook, P.L.S. 9895
Leavitt & Associate Engineers
1324 1st Street South
Nampa, Idaho 83651
EXHIBIT B

NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL AREA

Allowed Uses:

Arboretum
Art Gallery
Botanical garden
Library
Museum or planetarium
Tourist information center
Recreation facility, indoor
Swimming pool, indoor or outdoor public
Tennis court, indoor or outdoor public
Bicycle shop
Book store
Confectionary
Convenience store
Daycare
Drugstore or pharmacy
Farm and garden supply
Florist
Gift shop
Grocery
Ice Cream
Meat market
Restaurant (not drive-in, thru or walk-up)
Small shopping center, neighborhood
Telephone, computer, etc.
Advertising
Apparel, repair or alteration
Bank
Barber or beauty shop
Carwash
Construction trade office (strictly office – no construction vehicles)
Dry cleaner, coin operated, no onsite cleaning plant
Finance and investment
Governmental building or facility
Hospital, small animal
Insurance and related
Laundromat self service cleaner
Photography, camera, supplies, developing
Post office
Real estate, title and related
Shoe repair
Studio (artist, interior decorator, photographer, etc.)
Veterinary

Conditions:

1. The general appearance of any proposed service station/convenience store, as well as possible neighborhood office/retail buildings in terms of roof slope, eve overhang, type and color of siding, roofing material, etc., shall be compatible with the surrounding residential scale and design. In addition, no buildings on the Property shall exceed one (1) story in height.
2. Exterior lighting shall not be a nuisance to surrounding property owners. Parking area lighting shall be of cut-off-arm type fixture which directs light downward and is designed to reflect light away from adjacent uses (maximum height 35').

3. The hours of operation for any retail or office use located on the Property shall be restricted to not prior to 6:00 a.m. or after 11:00 p.m.
October 30, 2018

RE: Lava Peak Subdivision – Preliminary Plat

To: Matt Shultz
cc: Sylvia Mackrill

The following changes must be made prior to submitting for signatures:

- Propose 2 unique street names to take the place of the common access driveways.

Sincerely,

Alex Main
GIS Tech I
Engineering Division
City of Nampa
(208) 468-5475
Hi Shellie,

Nampa Parks has reviewed the preliminary plat for Lava Peak Subdivision Project: SP-00031-2018 and has no requests.

Thank you,

Cody Swander
Parks Superintendent
O: 208.468.5890, F: 208.465.2321
Nampa Parks – Facebook Page

Notice: All communication transmitted within the City of Nampa Email system may be a public record and may be subject to disclosure under the Idaho Public Records Act (Idaho Code 74-101 et seq.) and as such may be copied and reproduced by members of the public. In addition, archives of all City emails are generally kept for a period of two years and are also subject to monitoring and review.
Shellie Lopez

From: Carolynn Murray
Sent: Wednesday, October 17, 2018 4:11 PM
To: Shellie Lopez
Subject: SP-00031-2018; Preliminary Plat; Lava Peak Sub

Shellie,

Earl reviewed the plans and marked them "ok" with the following note:

- Watch powerlines. Use only class I trees under lines

Carolynn Murray
Administrative Coordinator
O: 208.468.5890, C: 208.371.4877
Nampa Parks – Facebook Page

Celebrating Nampa's 20th year as a Tree City USA recipient!!!

Notice: All communication transmitted within the City of Nampa Email system may be a public record and may be subject to disclosure under the Idaho Public Records Act (Idaho Code 74-101 et seq.) and as such may be copied and reproduced by members of the public. In addition, archives of all City emails are generally kept for a period of two years and are also subject to monitoring and review.
Memo
To: Nampa Planning and Zoning Commission
From: Karla Nelson, Community Planner
Date: October 24, 2018
Re: Lava Peak Subdivision Preliminary Plat – Safe Routes to School and Comprehensive Plan Review

Comprehensive Plan
Nampa’s Future Land Use Map designates Lava Peak Subdivision for a residential mixed-use development. Similarly, the Planned Unit Development Agreement for Lava Springs Subdivision envisioned small scale commercial or office development at the southwest corner of Locust Lane and Southside Boulevard. Mixed-use developments of this type are meant to create interesting neighborhoods that are less dependent on automobiles. Nampa’s 2035 Comprehensive Plan supports mixed-use in several goals, objectives and strategies:

CHAPTER 3: HOUSING
GOAL 1: Provide an adequate supply and mix of housing that meets the needs of present and future residents in terms of cost, location, accessibility, housing type, lot size, design and neighborhood character.

OBJECTIVE 3: Locate housing in areas that promote employment opportunities.
   a. Support mixed use developments.

OBJECTIVE 10: Build strong, cohesive neighborhoods and communities.
   STRATEGY 1: Encourage the development of diverse communities that provide a mix of uses, a variety of employment options, social and recreational opportunities, and an assortment of amenities within walking distance of residential development.
   STRATEGY 2: Encourage the development of new neighborhoods that possess their own special sense of place, through attractive design of public places; proximity to schools, parks and other services.

CHAPTER 4: ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
GOAL 1: Utilize resources and amenities to stimulate economic development while preserving our natural resources and protecting our environment.

OBJECTIVE 4: Encourage the development of retail and service businesses in locations that are accessible by walking or biking and reduce the need for cross-town traffic.
   STRATEGY 1: Encourage mixed-use and infill development in areas identified on the comprehensive plan map.
   STRATEGY 2: Encourage the location of commercial services and areas of employment within walking or biking distance from residences, where possible.

CHAPTER 5: LAND USE
GOAL 12: Encourage the development of compact, mixed-use neighborhoods and districts and centers.
OBJECTIVE 26: Encourage the creation of compact, mixed-use development projects that includes a variety of land uses in close proximity to each other.

OBJECTIVE 27: Mixed-use development should be consistent with City adopted plans, design guidelines and land development regulations that apply to mixed-use developments.

STRATEGY 1: Adopt and implement land development regulations (i.e. zoning and subdivision ordinances, the zoning map, design standards) that facilitate the creation of compact, mixed-use neighborhoods, districts and centers.

GOAL 21: Use Smart Growth principles as guidelines for development, wherever possible.

OBJECTIVE 40: Include Smart Growth principles in ordinances and policy guidelines, wherever possible.

STRATEGY 1: Use Smart Growth principles as identified:

a. Providing a mixture land uses.

b. Taking advantage of compact building design.

c. Creating a range of housing opportunities and choices.

d. Creating walkable neighborhoods.

e. Fostering distinctive, attractive communities with a strong sense of place.

f. Preserving open space, farmland, natural beauty, and critical environmental areas.

g. Strengthen and direct development towards existing communities.

h. Providing a variety of transportation choices.

i. Making development decisions predictable, fair and cost effective.

j. Encouraging community and stakeholder collaboration in development decision.

CHAPTER 6: TRANSPORTATION

GOAL 1: Develop and maintain a coordinated and balanced transportation system that provides a variety of choices among transportation modes, including automobile, public transit, air, bicycle and pedestrian.

OBJECTIVE 12: Improve pedestrian connections among land uses in the City to create a continuous and seamless pedestrian system, and to enhance the walkability and pedestrian environment of the City.

STRATEGY 7: Enhance the pedestrian environment and pedestrian connections throughout the City of Nampa.

a. Encourage a scale of development and variety of land uses that make walking an attractive alternative to other means of travel.

The Planning and Zoning Commission will need to consider the market demand for more single-family residential housing alongside the desire to see more mixed-use developments.

Safe Routes

Nampa's Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan calls for a future bike lane along Locust Lane, any roadway dedication should account for the necessary width.

The subdivision is within walking distance of the associated Elementary and Middle Schools.
Associated Schools:

Ronald Reagan Elementary – The school is only .4 miles away from the proposed subdivision. Students will need to cross and walk along Southside Boulevard.

East Valley Middle School – Some students may choose to bike the 2 miles to school. The route can be made primarily on local roads.

Columbia High School – The subdivision is only 4.3 miles from the High School, a distance which some students might choose to bike. Half of the trip could be made on the Stoddard pathway, but the second half would be on a section of Amity Avenue which lacks bike facilities.
October 2, 2018

Norman L. Holm, Planning Director
City of Nampa
411 3rd Street South
Nampa, ID 83651

RE: PUD-00007-2018 & SPP-00031-2018; Lava Peak

Dear Norm:

Nampa & Meridian Irrigation District (NMID) has no comment on the above-referenced application. Please be aware that the District’s Ridenbaugh Canal course through this project on the southern boundary.

The easement for the Ridenbaugh Canal at this location is a minimum of eight feet (80’) total, forty feet (40’) from centerline each direction.

All private laterals and waste ways must be protected. All municipal surface drainage must be retained on-site. If any surface drainage leaves the site, NMID will need to review drainage plans. The developer must comply with Idaho Code 31-3805.

Please feel free to contact me with any further questions

Sincerely,

David T. Duvall
Crew Foreman
Nampa & Meridian Irrigation District
DTD/ gnf

Cc: Office/ file
October 29, 2018

Sylvia Mackrill  
City of Nampa  
411 3rd Street South  
Nampa, Idaho 83651

VIA EMAIL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Development Application</th>
<th>SPP-00031-2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Name</td>
<td>LAVA PEAK SUBDIVISION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Location</td>
<td>Southeast corner of Southside Boulevard and East Locust Lane, east of SH-45 milepost 24.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>Construct a subdivision consisting of 29 residential lots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant</td>
<td>Schultz Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Representing</td>
<td>Quatro Properties Nampa Inc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Idaho Transportation Department (ITD) reviewed the referenced preliminary plat application and has the following comments:

1. This project does not abut the State highway system.
2. Idaho Code 40-1910 does not allow advertising within the right-of-way of any State highway.
3. IDAPA 39.03.60 rules govern advertising along the State highway system. The applicant may contact Justin Pond, Right-of-Way Section Program Manager, at (208) 334-8832 for more information.
4. ITD does not object to the construction of a subdivision consisting of 29 single family lots as presented in the application.

If you have any questions, you may contact Ken Couch at (208) 332-7190 or me at (208) 334-8338.

Sincerely,

Sarah Arjona  
Development Services  
Sarah.Arjona@itd.idaho.gov
DATE: November 20, 2018

TO: Planning and Zoning Commission

FROM: Daniel Badger, P.E.

SUBJECT: Lava Peak Subdivision, Preliminary Plat and Development Agreement Modification

The Engineering Division has reviewed the preliminary plat and development agreement modification for Lava Peak Subdivision and have the following comments:

- Preliminary Plat
  - General
    - The City's water and sewer and irrigation have adequate capacity to serve this development.
  - Roadway
    - Revise the ped ramps at the intersection of Locust and Southside to be two directional ramps.
    - Revise the service drive to have a 20-foot asphalt width.
- Reports and Studies
  - The original proposed use of this area in the Lava Springs plat was commercial, therefore the assumption was that this area would retain its stormwater on site. Please provide drainage calculations and location or proposed storm water facilities for the plat.
November 30, 2018

Schulz Development  
attn.: Matt Schultz  
P.O. Box 1115  
Meridian, Idaho 83680

Re: Modification of an Annexation and Zoning [related] Development Agreement  
(Ord. # 3335 recorded in 2004 as Canyon County Instrument # 200423257) to allow re-purposing/conversion of a single commercial building lot (originally approved in association with the Lava Springs PUD [planned unit development]) into a single-family residential development in care and keeping with the balance of the Lava Springs PUD Subdivision development; and, Modification of a Planned Unit Development to [also] allow re-purposing/conversion of a single commercial building lot (originally approved in association with the Lava Springs PUD into a single-family residential development in care and keeping with the balance of the Lava Springs PUD Subdivision development; and, Preliminary Plat Approval of/for Lava Peak Subdivision (hereinafter the “Project”, “Development” or “Lava Peak Subdivision”) comprising 29 single-family residential building lots and 3 common lots [in the stead of 1 commercial building lot] on land addressed as 3905 Southside Boulevard (a 6.85-acre lot located in the NE ¼ of the NE ¼ of Section 11, T2N, R2W, Boise Meridian, Canyon County, Nampa Idaho at the southwest corner of the intersection of E. Locust Lane and Southside Boulevard — for, and in behalf of, Quatro Properties Nampa, Inc., Matt Schultz of/with Schultz Development; City case file nos. DAMO 026-18, m PUD 078-18 & SPP 031-18

Dear Mr. Schultz:

The Nampa City Planning and Zoning Commission, during their regularly scheduled public meeting of November 27, 2018, voted to recommend to the City Council that they approve the above referenced Development Agreement Modification. The Commission subsequently voted to approve the above captioned Planned Unit Development Modification and Preliminary Plat Approval requests. The Commission made their approval of the preliminary plat contingent on Developer/Development with the following Conditions of Approval:

1. Generally: The Developer/Development shall comply with all requirements imposed by City agencies involved in the review of this matter including, specifically the following:

   a. Those authored by Daniel Badger with City Engineering. Any required plat revisions/changes shall be reflected, as relevant, on construction drawings and any forthcoming final plat submitted to the City in conjunction with submittal of a final plat application; and,

   b. That listed in an October 30, 2018 memorandum from the Nampa Engineering
Division, GIS Section, authored by Alex Main (1 page – copy hereto attached); and,

c. That listed in an October 17, 2018 email printout from the Nampa Parks Department, Forestry Division, authored by Carolynn Murray; and,

2. The water system for the Development shall be completely installed and able to deliver water prior to any Building Permits being issued within the development. The water shall be sufficient in volume and pressure to provide sufficient adequate fire suppression for the Development in accordance with Fire Department policy or International Fire Code requirements as applicable; and,

3. Notes Correction(s): Developer’s engineer shall correct any spelling, grammar, punctuation and/or and numbering type errors that may be evident on the plat face and/or in the proposed plat development notes and include said corrections in a revised preliminary plat.

4. Any exceptions to City adopted subdivision design standards shall/will require separate design [exception] approval from the City Council...

   In a forthcoming meeting, the Council will take action on the Development Agreement Modification request. Given the nature of the proposed modification(s)/amendment(s), the PUD and Preliminary Plat entitlement requests will, of necessity, be of force and effect only if the Council agrees to your requests.

   If you should have any questions concerning this matter, please contact me during normal business hours Monday through Friday at 468-5457.

Sincerely,

Robert Hobbs
Assistant Director
On Behalf of the Commission

GRH/rh

cc: Daniel Badger, City Engineering
    DAMO 026-18, PUD 07-18 & SPP 031-18

Attachment(s)
Public Hearing No. 1:
A multi part Application:

a) Modification of an Annexation and Zoning related Development Agreement (Ord 3335 – Instrument No. 200423257) between Lava Springs LLC and the City of Nampa by amending the Recitals Section and certain Commitments and Conditions, also annulling and rescinding Exhibits B and C [then substituting a new Master Plan in the stead of Exhibit B]; and,

b) Modification of a Planned Unit Development Permit approval March 1, 2004 to re-purpose 1 commercial lot for/into 29 home lots; and

c) Subdivision Preliminary Plat Approval for “Lava Peak Subdivision” comprising 29 single family residential lots and 3 common lots, in the stead of 1 commercial building lot.

All actions pertaining to Parcel No. R29454 (3905 Southside Blvd) a 6.85-acre property in an RS-6 PUD zoning district at the SW corner of the intersection of E Locust Lane and Southside Blvd, in the NE ¼ of Section 11 TN R2W BM – for Matt Schultz, representing Quatro Properties Nampa, Inc. (DAMO-00026-2018, PUD-00007-2018 and SPP-00031-2018).

Chairman McGrath proceeded to public hearing.

Matt Schultz of 8421 S Ten Mile, Meridian, representing the applicant, Quatro Properties:

- Mr Schultz advised several phases of the Lava Springs Subdivision had already been accomplished.
- The development, added Mr Schultz, started back in 2004 and things came to a halt with the recession.
- According to Mr Schultz, the developers sold off a portion of the site to Legacy Charter School in place of the planned townhome/triplex/duplex lots.
- Mr Schultz noted Lava Springs Sub Phase 6 had recently been approved, leaving the remaining northeast corner that had originally been set aside as a future commercial lot.
- It had been determined by the developer there was not a lot of demand at the present time for a commercial lot in that location.
- Therefore, the developer would like to finish off the development with residential lots.
- Mr Schultz compared the proposed plat for Lava Peak Subdivision at the southwest corner of E Locust Ln and Southside Blvd, with the area to the west that had replaced 31 residential lots similar to those proposed for Lava Peak Subdivision with the Charter School.
- Mr Schultz discussed the existing development relating to Lava Springs Subdivision.
- The developer desired 50 ft x 105 ft deep lots, advised Mr Schultz, which would allow for a 2 car garage and standard setbacks, with homes valued between $230,000 and $290,000.
- Mr Schultz explained there would be a requirement for Modification of the Development Agreement to go forward with the development for residential use in place of the commercial lot; as well as a Modification of the Planned Unit Development; and, the Preliminary Plat for Lava Peak Subdivision.
- The 25 ft common lot requirement for E Locust Ln, was noted and Mr Schultz explained the Lava Peak Subdivision would have 25 ft from back of curb in order to keep the lot depth.
- Staff, added Mr Schultz, also wanted the common driveway to be made into its own lot, as opposed to an easement, and indicated there were already 39 lots in Lava Springs with an easement.
- Mr Schultz indicated the internal buffers along the main Lava Springs Loop, and the main entry way with a wide buffer, also along E Locust Ln and Southside Blvd.
- Kirkman inquired if there was any connection from the greenbelt to Lava Springs and Mr Schultz pointed out the two ramps from Lava Springs for access to the greenbelt.

Planning Director Hobbs:

- Hobbs reported on the three-part application before the Commission, including the Modification of Development Agreement, Modification of the Planned Unit Development, and Preliminary Plat for Lava Peak Subdivision.
- Hobbs discussed the original layout for Lava Springs Subdivision and the changes made since 2004.
- City Council, added Hobbs, would have the authority to make the decision for the Modification of the Development Agreement.
- The Modifications for Planned Unit Developments now go through the Planning and Zoning Commission.
- Hobbs reviewed the Planned Unit Development Code.
- Another advantage of the P-U-D, advised Hobbs, was the ability for reduced lot sizes.

Nampa Planning and Zoning Commission Meeting – November 27, 2018
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• Hobbs reviewed the Staff Report in relation to the Lava Peak Subdivision and recommended conditions of approval.
• Hobbs indicated the photos of similar homes proposed for Lava Peak Subdivision.
• Kirkman inquired about the common drive, rather than easement for lot access.
• Hobbs explained the requirements for street frontage for the lots in relation to common driveways.
• Kehoe inquired if there were any plans to upgrade the E Locust Ln/Southside Blvd intersection and Badger replied the City had recently worked with the Nampa Highway District to do some modifications to speed limits and signage. That intersection, added Badger, was under evaluation and will at some point become a signalized intersection when warranted and funding becomes available.

Chairman McGrath proceeded to public testimony.

Matt Schultz:
• Mr Schultz explained the easement access was more to do with consistency with the CC&Rs. The lots are nice and straight added Mr Schultz, and the plat will show the easements, access, and utility corridor.
• In response to a question from Kirkman, Mr Schultz explained there was an extra wide landscape buffer at the entrance to provide for storm water retention.

Kropp motioned and Kirkman seconded to close public hearing. Motion carried.

a) Kropp motioned and Kehoe seconded to recommend to City Council Modification of the Development Agreement (Ord 3355 – Inst. No. 200423257) between Lava Springs LLC and the City of Nampa by amending the Recitals Section and certain Commitments and Conditions thereof, also annulling and rescinding Exhibits B & C [then substituting a new Master Plan in the stead of Exhibit B], for Schultz Development representing Quatro Development subject to:

1) The Applicant, as Owner/Developer, [shall] enter into a Modified Development Agreement with the City of Nampa. The Agreement(s) shall contain such conditions, terms, restrictions, representations, exhibits, acknowledgments and timelines as necessary to facilitate development of the Property as contemplated by the Applicant and agreed to and conditioned by the City through its Council or executive departments or outside agencies properly involved in the review of the Applicant’s request for the Property’s entitlement(s) including insertion of [new] concept plans, Recital language and terms, commitments and conditions therein as requisite.

Motion carried.

b) Kropp motioned and Kehoe seconded to approve the Modification of the Planned Unit Development Permit approved March 1, 2004 to re-purpose 1 commercial lot for/into 29 home lots for Lava Peak Subdivision, for Schultz Development representing Quatro Development.

Motion carried.

c) Kropp motioned and Kehoe seconded to approve the Preliminary Plat for Lava Peak Subdivision for Parcel R294540 (3905 Southside Blvd) a 6.85 acre property within an RS-6 PUD zoning district at the SW Corner of the intersection of E Locust Lane and Southside Blvd in the NE ¼ of Section 11 T2N R2W BM – for Schultz Development, representing Quatro Properties Nampa, Inc, subject to:

i) Generally: The Developer/Development shall comply with all requirements imposed by City agencies involved in the review of the matter including, specifically the following:
   i. Those authored by Daniel Badger with City Engineering. Any required plat revisions/changes shall be reflected, as relevant, on construction drawings and any forthcoming final plat submitted to the City in conjunction with submittal of a final plat application.
   ii. That listed in an October 30, 2018 memorandum from the Nampa Engineering Division, GIS Section, authored by Alex Main.
   iii. That listed in an October 17, 2018 e-mail printout from the Nampa Parks Department, Forestry Division, authored by Carolynn Murray.

Nampa Planning and Zoning Commission Meeting – November 27, 2018
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2) The water system for the Development shall be completely installed and able to deliver water prior to any Building Permits being issued within the development. The water shall be sufficient in volume and pressure to provide sufficient adequate fire suppression for the Development in accordance with Fire Department policy or International Fire Code requirements as applicable.

3) Notes Correction(s): Developer's engineer shall correct any spelling, grammar, punctuation and/or and numbering type errors that may be evident on the plat face and/or in the proposed plat development notes and include said corrections in a revised preliminary plat.

4) Any exceptions to City adopted subdivision design standards shall/will require separate design [exception] approval from the City Council.

Motion carried.
AMENDMENT TO DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT

This Amendment to a Development Agreement (i.e., hereinafter, the "Second Amendment") is entered into this ______ day of __________, 2018 (the "Effective Date") by and between the City of Nampa, a municipal corporation (the "City") and Quatro Properties Nampa hereinafter referred to as the "New Owner/Developer(s)".

RECITALS

A. The City and Lava Springs LLC, the "Original Owner(s)/Developer(s)", entered into a certain Development Agreement (hereinafter the "Original Agreement") dated 19 April 2004 and recorded in the records of Canyon County, Idaho as Instrument No. 200423257, Ordinance No. 3335.

B. The Original Agreement was created in conjunction with the annexation and zoning assignment of some 102 acres of real property legally described in Exhibit "A-1" and made a part thereof (as well as planned unit development followed by platting approvals thereafter).

C. The City thereafter authorized a/an [First] Amendment to the Original Agreement on April 01, 2011 in order to allow conversion of a portion of the northwestern, residential portion of the Lava Springs PUD development into an area suitable for construction of a charter school [Legacy] (Ordinances 3971 and 3973).

C. The City and New Owner/Developer(s) as parties to this Amendment, wish, and mutually consent, to amend the Original Agreement by executing a "second amendment" (i.e., a Development Agreement Modification) as set forth herein and hereafter which shall serve to modify the former Original Agreement and shall be hereinafter known as the/this "New Agreement").

E. Accordingly, the New Owner/Developer(s) applied to City on or about 19 September 2018 (the "date of application") for Development Agreement Modification approval in order to amend the Original Agreement by converting 6.85 acres of the Lava Springs PUD project's northeast corner from one (1) commercial building lot into 29 single-family detached residential building lots and three (3) common lots (hereinafter, collectively, the [new] "Project") on the a portion of the property identified by Exhibit A-1. The land affected by the Second Amendment is described in Exhibit A-2 [hereto attached] and is hereinafter referred to as the "Property".

F. City, pursuant to Section 10-2-5 of Nampa City Code, and, Idaho Code Section 67-6511A, has the authority to modify/amend a Development Agreement for the purpose of allowing, by agreement, a specific development to proceed in a specific area and for specific purposes and/or uses that are appropriate in the area or for modifying or nullifying former approvals.
G. City's Planning and Zoning Commission and Council have held public hearings as prescribed by law with respect to this Second Amendment and associated entitlement requests -- including, to date: a Development Agreement Modification, a Planned Unit Development Modification, and, a Preliminary Plat Application request intended to re-purpose and [re]plat the Property.

H. City has approved the afore-noted entitlements requests.

I. Further, City (pursuant to Section 10-2-5 of Nampa City Code and Idaho Code Section 67-651A), has subsequently authorized this Second Amendment.

AMENDMENT

NOW, THEREFORE, for good and valuable consideration, including the covenants contained herein, the parties agree as follows:

1. Defined Terms. Except as set forth herein, the defined terms used in the Original Agreement shall have the same meaning in this Second Amendment.

2. Development Agreement Recission. The Original Agreement is, and shall be, hereby rescinded, canceled, and annulled in its entirety and replaced with those Recitals, Terms, Commitments and Exhibits incorporated herein and made a part hereof.

AGREEMENT

1. This Second Amendment shall not prevent the City, in subsequent actions applicable to the Property, from/in applying new ordinances and regulations of general application adopted by City in the exercise of its police powers that do not conflict with the parties' commitments applicable to the Property as set forth herein, or the zoning designation approved hereby, or the Original Agreement save that the modification to the original Lava Springs PUD subdivision -- memorialized in part through enactment of this Second Amendment -- shall supersede the underlying entitlement/project plan approval made a part of Ordinance 3335 as the Property has been deemed suitable for the use(s) contemplated by the Project as they are allowed within the RS 6 PUD zoning designation.

2. This Second Amendment is intended to be supplemental to all other local, city, state and federal code requirements, rules and regulations, and is established to help assure the compatibility of the resulting land use with the surrounding area. Provided, however, that to the extent this Second Amendment conflicts with any provision of the City's adopted codes, this Second Amendment shall prevail to the extent permitted by law and providing its terms and commitments are more restrictive than City statutes.

3. The Project shall be developed (i.e., its site layout, landscaping and building design/construction, etc.) in substantial conformance with the exhibits approved by the
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City’s Council attached hereto as the “Exhibits B Set” and made a part hereof; provided however, that the New Owner/Developer(s) shall have limited flexibility to develop the Property to meet market conditions subject to pre-approval by the City, and that the only specific commitments concerning development of the Project which the New Owner/Developer(s) is making are set forth herein. Upon recordation of this New Agreement, the New Owner/Developer(s) shall have all general zoning-based land use permission-based entitlement approval(s) required from the City for development of the Project.

4. The provisions and stipulations of this New Agreement shall be binding on City, New Owner(s)/Developer(s), each subsequent owner of the Property or portion thereof, and each other person acquiring an interest in the Property and as may be iterated, in no particular order, in an exhibit hereafter attached titled “CONDITION(S) OF APPROVAL” which, if attached hereto, are [or shall be] memorialized as part of “Exhibit C”, and by this reference incorporated herein.

5. This Second Amendment may be modified only by the written agreement of New Owner(s)/Developer(s) and the City after complying with the notice and hearing procedures required under Idaho Code Section 67-6511A or Nampa City Code Section 10-2-5(D) or successor provisions.

6. The execution of this Second Amendment and the written commitments contained herein shall be deemed written consent to change the zoning of the Property to its prior designation upon failure of Owner(s)/Developer(s) to comply with the terms and conditions of this Second Amendment. Provided, however, that no such consent shall be deemed to have been given unless the City provides written notice of any such failure and Owner(s)/Developer(s) or its successors and/or assigns fails to cure such failure as set forth below.

7. This Second Amendment and the commitments contained herein shall be terminated, and the zoning designation reversed, upon the failure of Owner(s)/Developer(s), or each subsequent owner or each person acquiring an interest in the Property, to comply with the commitments contained herein within two (2) years after the effective date thereof, and after the notice and hearing requirements of Idaho Code Section 67-6509 have been complied with by City. Exception: the failure to begin site development of all or a portion of the Project proposed under this Second Amendment does not necessarily serve as impetus to allege that the commitments contained herein are not being fulfilled. Rather, commencement of site work and/or construction then left in abandon or failure to abide by the terms of this Second Amendment, as herein iterated, shall serve as impetus to consider termination of this Second Amendment and reversion of zoning. Provided, however, no such termination or reversal shall occur unless City provides written notice of the New Owner(s)/Developer(s) failure to comply with the terms and conditions of this Second Amendment to New Owner(s)/Developer(s) and the New Owner(s)/Developer(s) fails to cure such failure within six (6) months of New Owner(s)/Developer(s) receipt of such notice. The two (2) year period of time for compliance with commitments may be
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extended by City for good cause upon application for such extension by New Owner(s)/Developer(s), and after complying with the notice and hearing provisions of Idaho Code Section 67-6509.

8. Except as specifically set forth in this Second Amendment, the rules, regulations and official policies governing permitted uses of land, density, design, improvements and construction standards and specifications applicable to the Project and the Property shall be those rules, regulations and official policies in effect as of the date of approval of the Second Amendment, and associated annexation/zoning and platting application approvals. Provided, however, that the applicable building and fire codes for structures shall be the codes in effect when a complete application for a building permit is filed. Development impact fees, if imposed by ordinance, shall be payable as specified in said ordinance even if the effective date is after the date of this Second Amendment or the annexation pursuant thereto.

9. It is intended by the parties that this Second Amendment shall be recorded on the effective date of the Second Amendment or as soon as practicable thereafter. The parties further intend that the provisions of this Second Amendment shall run with the Property and shall be binding upon City, New Owner(s)/Developer(s), each subsequent owner of the Property, and each other person or entity acquiring an interest in the Property.

10. If any term or provision of this Second Amendment, to any extent, shall be held invalid or unenforceable, the remaining terms and provisions herein shall not be affected thereby, but each such remaining term and provision shall be valid and enforced to the fullest extent permitted by law.

11. This Second Amendment sets forth all promises, inducements, agreements, conditions and understandings between the New Owner(s)/Developer(s) and City relative to the subject matter hereof. There are no promises, agreements, conditions or understandings, either oral or written, express or implied, between the New Owner(s)/Developer(s) and City, other than as are stated herein. Except as herein otherwise provided, no subsequent alteration, amendment, change or addition to this Second Amendment shall be binding upon the parties hereto unless reduced to writing and signed by the parties or their successors-in-interests or their assigns, and pursuant, with respect to the City, to a duly adopted ordinance or Resolution of the City.

12. Should any litigation be commenced between the parties hereto concerning this Second Amendment, the prevailing party shall be entitled, in addition to any other relief as may be granted, to court costs and reasonable attorneys’ fees as determined by a court of competent jurisdiction.

13. This Second Amendment may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall constitute an original, all of which together shall constitute one and the same Second Amendment.
14. In the event the **New Owner(s)/Developer(s)**, its successors, assigns or subsequent owners of the **Property** or any other person acquiring an interest in the **Property**, or in the event **City**, fail to faithfully and materially comply with all of the terms and conditions included in this **Second Amendment**, enforcement of this **Second Amendment** may be sought by either the **City** or **New Owner(s)/Developer(s)** or by any successor or successors in title or interest or by the assigns of the parties hereto, in an action at law or in equity in any court of competent jurisdiction.

a. A waiver by **City** of any default by the **New Owner(s)/Developer(s)** of any one or more of the covenants or conditions hereof shall apply solely to the breach waived and shall not bar any other rights or remedies of **City** or apply to any subsequent breach of any such or other covenants and conditions. A waiver by the **New Owner(s)/Developer(s)** of any default by **City** of any one or more of the covenants and conditions hereof shall apply solely to the breach waived and shall not bar any other rights of remedies of the **New Owner(s)/Developer(s)** or apply to any subsequent breach of any such or other covenants and conditions.

b. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary herein, in the event of a material default of this **Second Amendment**, the parties agree that **City** and **New Owner(s)/Developer(s)** shall have thirty (30) days after delivery of notice of such default to correct the same prior to the non-defaulting party’s seeking of any remedy provided for herein; provided, however, that in the case of any such default which cannot with diligence be cured within such thirty (30) day period and thereafter shall prosecute the curing of same with diligence and continuity, then the time within which such may be cured shall be extended for such period as may be necessary to complete the curing of the same with diligence and continuity, but in any event not to exceed six (6) months; and provided further, however, no default by a subsequent owner of a portion of the **Property** shall constitute a default by the **New Owner(s)/Developer(s)** for the portion of the **Property** still owned by the **New Owner(s)/Developer(s)**.

c. In the event the performance of any obligation to be performed hereunder by either the **New Owner(s)/Developer(s)** or **City** is delayed for causes that are beyond the reasonable control of the party responsible for such performance, which shall include, without limitation, acts of civil disobedience, strikes or similar causes, the time for such performance shall be extended by the amount of time of such delay.

In addition to the remedies set forth above, in the event of a default by the **New Owner(s)/Developer(s)**, or any other party claiming an interest herein, **City** may withhold building permits sought for issuance upon land(s) within the **Project** until such time as the default is cured.
EXHIBITS A
LEGAL DESCRIPTION(S)
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NEW EXHIBITS B SET
(CONCEPT PLANS)
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have caused this New Agreement [an amendment to a Development Agreement] to be executed as of the Effective Date specified above.

[NEW]
OWNER/DEVELOPER(S):


Notary Public for State of Idaho
Residing at ______________________
Commission Expires: ______________________

STATE OF IDAHO)

) ss.
County of Canyon  )

On this _____ day of ________________, in the year of 2018, before me, ______________________, personally appeared ______________________, the person or party whose name is subscribed to the within and foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that be executed the same for and on behalf of ______________________.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal the Day and year first above written.
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[for] CITY: City of Nampa,
A municipal corporation

______________________________
Debbie Kling

Notary Public for State of Idaho
Residing at ____________________________
Commission Expires: _______________

STATE OF IDAHO)
) ss.
County of Canyon )

On this _____ day of __________________, in the year of 2018, before me
__________________________, personally appeared Debbie Kling, known or identified
to me, to be the Mayor of the City of Nampa, whose name is subscribed to be the within and
foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that he executed the same, and was so
authorized to do so for and on behalf of said City of Nampa.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal
the Day and year first above written.